1 Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2 566 W. Adams, Suite 450 Chicago, IL 60661 3 Telephone: (312) 376-1801 Facsimile: (312) 376-1804 4 JUN 1 3 2008 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RUSSELL BRIMER 6 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 11 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 12 13 Case No. RG-06-302793 14 RUSSELL BRIMER, 15 Plaintiff, [PROPOSES] ORDER PURSUANT 16 ٧. TO TERMS OF STIPULATION AND **ORDER RE: SETTLEMENT** 17 **AGREEMENT** DFI (SAN JOSE) INC.; and DOES 1 through 18 150, inclusive, Date: February 20, 2008 19 Defendants. 9:00 A.M. Time: 20 Dept.: 31 Judge: Hon. Winifred Y. Smith Hon. Frank Roesch 21 Reservation #756018 22 23 24 25

26

27

28

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant DFI (SAN JOSE) INC. have agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the above-referenced parties and attached hereto as **Exhibit A.** After consideration of the papers submitted and the arguments presented, the Court finds that the settlement in the attached Consent Judgment meets the criteria established by Senate Bill 471, in that:

- 1. The health hazard warning that is required by the Consent Judgment complies with Health & Safety Code section 25249.7 (as amended by Senate Bill 471);
- The reimbursement of fees and costs to be paid pursuant to the parties' Settlement
   Agreement is reasonable under California law; and
- The civil penalty amount to be paid pursuant to the parties' Consent Judgment is reasonable,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment be entered in this case, in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

2/20/08

Hon. Winified Y. Smith Frank Roesch
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

# Exhibit A

•	D. Joshua Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436		
2	HIRST & CHANLER LLP		
3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565		
4	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
5			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
7	Joanne Carlson, State Bar No. 160898 BURISS LAW FIRM	•	
8	Old Mill Office Center		
9	201 San Antonio Circle, Suite 160		
7	Mountain View, CA 94040		
10	Telephone: (650) 948-7127		
11	Facsimile: (650) 941-6709		
12	Attorneys for Defendant		
13	DFI (SAN JOSE) INC.		
14			
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
16			
17	FOR THE COUNTY O	F ALAMEDA COUNTY	
17	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
18			
19			
20	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. RG-06-302793	
21	71.4.400		
22	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	
23	ν.	The second of th	
24	DFI (SAN JOSE) INC.; and DOES 1 through		
	150, inclusive,		
25			
26	Defendants.		
27	,		
-/			

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

28

## 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

#### 1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (Brimer or plaintiff) and defendant DFI (San Jose) Inc., (defendant or DFI), with Brimer and DFI referred to as the "parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in Alameda County in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

#### 1.3 Defendant

DFI is a California corporation located in Hayward, California. From October 2005 through October 2006, DFI employed ten or more persons and was a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 et seq. (Proposition 65).

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Plaintiff alleges that defendant has manufactured, distributed, and/or sold motherboards with solder containing lead in the State of California without the requisite health hazard warnings.

Lead is a substance known to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm and is listed pursuant to Proposition 65. Lead shall be referred to hereinafter as the "listed chemical."

#### 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: motherboards with lead-containing solder, such as the DFI NF4X Infinity Motherboard (# 8 46976 00026 8), and components utilized with motherboards that contain lead solder. Examples of forms

of solder include, but are not limited to, solder, solder balls, solder spheres, solder paste, wave solder, solder joints, die bumps, and flip-chip bumps. All such motherboards with lead-containing solder, and components utilized with such motherboards that contain lead solder, shall be referred to hereinafter as "products." Products that are integrated into a desktop computer system as a component thereof, prior to the sale or acquisition of the computer system, shall be referred to hereinafter as "integrated products." Products that are sold individually and not as a component integrated into a computer system shall be referred to hereinafter as "non-integrated products."

#### 1.6 Notices of Violation

On October 13, 2006, Brimer served defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (Notice) that provided defendant and such public enforcers with notice that alleged that defendant was in violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers, workers and others that the products that defendant sold exposed users in California to the listed chemical.

#### 1.7 Complaint

On December 18, 2006, Brimer, who is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (Complaint or Action) in the Superior Court of Alameda County against DFI (San Jose) Inc., and Does 1 through 150, Brimer v. DFI (San Jose) Inc., and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG-06-302793, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to the listed chemical contained in the products defendant sold.

#### 1.8 No Admission

Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Brimer's Notice and Complaint, denies any and all liability under Proposition 65, and maintains that all products

that it has sold and distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by defendant. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect defendant's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "effective date" shall mean July 25, 2007.

#### 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION</u>

#### 2.1 **Product Warnings**

After the effective date, defendant shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California products containing the listed chemical unless such products are sold or shipped with the clear and reasonable warning set out in this Section 2.1, comply with the reformulation standards set forth in Section 2.3 or are otherwise exempt pursuant to Section 2.2.

Any warning issued for products pursuant to this Section 2.1 shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions

before purchase or, for products shipped directly to an individual in California or used in the workplace, before use. Any warning issued pursuant to this Section 2.1 shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which *specific* product the warning applies, so as to minimize if not eliminate the chance that an overwarning situation will arise. Since November 1, 2006, DFI has employed fewer than ten persons and hence is no longer a "person" under Proposition 65. DFI has no plans to increase the number of its employees. As a company with fewer than 10 employees, DFI is not currently subject to the requirements of Proposition 65, and agrees to provide warnings required by this Consent Judgment as a matter of compromise and cooperation.

Sections 2.1(a)-(c) describe defendant's options for satisfying its warning obligations depending, in part, on the manner of sale. The warning requirement of Section 2.1(d) shall apply regardless of the manner of sale. The following warnings will be applicable when the product is sold either to consumers or in a business-to-business transaction:

## (a) Retail Store Sales of Non-Integrated Products

(i) Product Labeling. From the effective date, a warning will be affixed to packaging, labeling, or directly on each non-integrated product sold in retail outlets by defendant or its agents, that states:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

(ii) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Alternatively, defendant may perform its warning obligations by directing that signs are posted at retail outlets in the State of California where the non-integrated products are sold. In order to avail itself of the point-of-sale option,

defendant shall provide a written notice in the first quarter of each calendar year or at the time of the first sale in a calendar year to each retailer or distributor to whom defendant sells or transfers the non-integrated products directly, which informs such retailers or distributors that point-of-sale warnings are required at each retail location in the State of California. Defendant shall include a copy of the warning signs and posting instructions with such notice. Further, defendant shall maintain a written record of the written notices provided herein, and shall make those records available to Brimer upon written request. Point-of-sale warnings shall be provided through one or more signs posted in close proximity to the point of display of the non-integrated products that states:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

## (b) Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales of Non-Integrated Products.

DFI does not at the present sell products by mail order or via the Internet and has no plans to do so. However, in the unlikely event that DFI at some future date does sell non-integrated products by mail order catalog or via the Internet to California residents it agrees to provide a warning: (i) in the mail order catalog; (ii) on the website; and/or (iii) with the product when it is shipped to an address in California. Warnings given in the mail order catalog or on the website shall identify the specific product to which the warning applies as further specified in Sections 2.1(b)(i), (ii), and/or (iii) as applicable:

(i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger as the non-integrated product description text

within the catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the non-integrated product:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the non-integrated product, defendant may utilize the designated symbol attached hereto as Exhibit A to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the non-integrated product(s):

WARNING: The solder used in certain products identified with this symbol ▼ and offered for sale in this catalog contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the non-integrated product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, defendant must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If defendant elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more non-integrated products printed after July 25, 2007.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the non-integrated product via the Internet, provided it appears either:(a) on the same web page on which the non-integrated product is displayed; (b) on the same web

page as the order form for the non-integrated product; (c) on the same page as the price for any non-integrated product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the nonintegrated product for which it is given in the same type size or larger as the product description text:

WARNING:

The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the non-integrated product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page:

WARNING:

Products identified on this page with the following symbol use solder containing lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm: \(\nbbeta\)

20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Package Insert or Label Warning. For all products sold by (iii) catalog or via the Internet, a warning may be provided with the non-integrated product when it is shipped directly to an individual in California by either: (a) affixing the following warning language to the packaging, labeling, or directly to a specific non-integrated product; (b) inserting a warning card measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton which contains the following warning language; or (c) placing the following warning statement on the packing slip or customer invoice on the line directly below the description of the non-integrated product on the packing slip or customer invoice:

WARNING:

The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm

Alternatively, defendant may place the following language on the packing slip or invoice and specifically identify the non-integrated product in lettering of the same size or larger as the description of the product:

WARNING:

The solder used in the following product(s) contain(s) lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

[list products for which warning is given].

Defendant shall, in any of these instances, in conjunction with providing the warning, also inform the consumer, in a conspicuous manner, that he or she may return the non-integrated product for a full refund (including shipping costs for both the receipt and the return of the product) within thirty (30) days of his or her receipt of the product.

## (c) Sales to Known Integrators

Where defendant sells products to individuals or entities it knows to be in the business of integrating its products into computer systems for resale ("integrator(s)"), defendant shall provide the integrator with written instructions in the first quarter of each calendar year that inform such integrators of the requirements of Proposition 65 and instruct such integrators to attach appropriate sticker warnings, as required by Proposition 65, to any item which contains a DFI product containing lead solder as an integrated component, prior to the resale of the integrated product. Further, defendant shall maintain a written record of the written instructions provided herein, and shall make those records available to Brimer upon written request.

## (d) Manual Warnings

On or before July 25, 2007, defendant shall include the following warning in twelve point font or greater on the inside front cover of its product user manuals shipped with any non-reformulated product and available for viewing on its website, if so provided, and where defendant otherwise warns or informs its customers of the content of its products:

WARNING: The solder used in this product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

## 2.2 Exceptions To Warning Requirements

The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.1 shall not apply to:

- (i) Any product received in inventory before the effective date, subject to Section 2.5;
- (ii) Reformulated products (as defined in Section 2.3 below); or
- (iii) Any product in which the listed chemical is embedded in a manner that a consumer or worker would not come into contact with the listed chemical under any reasonably anticipated use.

## 2.3 Reformulation Standards

Reformulated products are defined as follows: any product containing less than or equal to one-tenth of one percent (0.1%) lead by weight in each solder material, including all forms of solder as identified in Section 1.5, unless that material is embedded in a manner that a consumer or worker ordinarily would not come into contact with the lead under any reasonably anticipated

use. The warnings required pursuant to Section 2.1 above shall not be required for reformulated products.

#### 2.4 Reformulation Commitment

Defendant hereby commits that all desktop products that it offers for sale in California after December 31, 2007, shall qualify as reformulated products or be exempt from the warning requirements of Section 2.1 pursuant to Section 2.2. Further, defendant commits to use commercially reasonable efforts to reformulate all products in addition to those used for desktop computers (e.g., motherboards used for servers) to eliminate the presence of lead, except for those instances outlined in Section 2.2(iii), on or before December 31, 2008.

#### 2.5 Public Information Commitment

In a good faith effort to inform the public about the risk of exposure to lead in defendant's products sold before December 31, 2007, defendant hereby commits to provide the following warning on its main products web page for a period of three years:

WARNING: Certain desktop motherboards sold in California prior to December 31, 2007, contain lead solder.

Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Immediately following the above warning, defendant shall provide a hyperlink titled "List of Motherboards That May Contain Lead Solder" which will link to a web page that defendant shall create and maintain for a period of three years. On that page, defendant shall provide the following warning:

 $/\!/\!/$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For purposes of this consent judgment, the lead by weight standard shall not apply to ingredient components of solder, such as glass and ceramic additives, but shall apply to the final solder materials used in the products.

WARNING: The following desktop motherboards sold in California prior to December 31, 2007, may contain lead solder. Lead is a chemical known to

contain lead solder. Lead is a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth

defects and other reproductive harm.

Immediately following that warning, defendant shall list all products that contain lead solder which defendant sold in California before December 31, 2007. Defendant shall identify each product by name and model number.

### 3. <u>MONETARY PAYMENTS</u>

## 3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), the total civil penalty assessed shall be \$25,000, which shall be apportioned as follows:

- (a) Defendant shall receive a credit of \$8,000 in light of its prompt cooperation with Brimer in resolving this matter and its commitment to sell only reformulated products in California;
- (b) Defendant shall pay \$5,000 in civil penalties by sending payment via certified mail to the address provided below on or before July 23, 2007;
- (c) Defendant shall pay \$6,000 in civil penalties on or before February 1,

  2008. This payment will be waived provided that defendant complies with
  the public information commitment on or before January 1, 2008, as set
  forth in Section 2.5 and submits a compliant web link to Brimer for his
  review; and
- (d) Defendant shall pay the remaining \$6,000 in civil penalties on or before February 1, 2008. This payment will be waived provided that defendant submits a report to Brimer on or before January 1, 2008, which sets forth, in

sufficient detail, its efforts to remove lead from its motherboards not intended for use in desktop computers.

All payments made pursuant to this Section 3.1 shall be payable to the "HIRST & CHANLER LLP in Trust For Russell Brimer" and shall be delivered to plaintiff's counsel at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

## 3.2 Apportionment of Penalties Received

All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Brimer in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted by Brimer to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies retained by Brimer as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Brimer shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this Section.

## 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendant then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then reached an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Court's approval of this agreement. Under the private

attorney general doctrine, defendant shall reimburse Brimer and his counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to defendant's attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest and seeking the Court's approval of the settlement agreement. Defendant shall pay Brimer and his counsel \$23,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, litigation, and related costs. The payment shall be made payable to HIRST & CHANLER LLP and shall be sent by certified mail on or before July 23, 2007, to the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

## 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

## 5.1 Release of Defendant and Downstream Customers

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against defendant and each of its downstream wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys,

representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, sister and parent entities, and original equipment manufacturers and distributors (collectively "releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to defendant's alleged failure to warn about exposures to the listed chemical contained in the products.

The parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the products or any component parts thereof to defendant. This settlement does not release any downstream party (including integrators and retailers) that either caused exposure to lead from components not supplied by defendant or, as to the future, alters the product purchased from defendant in such a way as to cause it to violate the reformulation standards or fails to transmit the requisite warnings provided by defendant in paragraph 2.1 set forth in this Consent Judgment.

#### 5.2 Defendant's Release of Brimer

Defendant waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the products.

#### 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to plaintiff or his counsel, pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be

refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from defendant that the one-year period has expired.

#### 7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that, after Court approval: (1) Defendant or any third party seeks modification of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Section 14 below; or (2) Brimer takes reasonable and necessary steps to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment, Brimer shall be entitled to his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to CCP §1021.5.

## 9. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the products, then defendant shall provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the products are so affected.

#### 10. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

To Defendant:

Joanne Carlson
BURISS LAW FIRM
Old Mill Office Center
201 San Antonio Circle, Suite 160
Mountain View, CA 94040

To Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

## 11. COUNTERPARTS: FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

## 12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).

## 13. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Brimer and defendant agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the parties agree to file a Motion to Approve the Agreement (motion). Defendant shall have no

additional responsibility to plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the motion or with regard to plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing thereon.

#### 14. **MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

/// ///

III

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

27 İII 28

,11 

15. **AUTHORIZATION** 

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 7:19-07	Date:
By Raintiff, RUSSELL BRIMER	By:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date: 7/7/67 HIRST & CHANLER LLP  By: Christopher M. Martin Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Date: BURISS LAW FIRM  By: Joanne Carlson Attorneys for Defendant DFI (SAN JOSE) INC.

IT IS SO	ORDERED.
----------	----------

Date:

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

1 15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: 7/12/07
By:Plaintiff, RUSSELL BRIMER	By: Defendant, DFI (SAN JOSE) INC.
APPROVED AS TO FORM:  Date: HIRST & CHANLER LLP  By: Christopher M. Martin Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	APPROVED AS TO FORM:  Date: 7/19 2007  BURISS LAW FIRM  By: Carlson  Attorneys for Defendant  DFI (SAN JOSE) INC.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

## Exhibit A

 The Designed Symbol [Yellow Triangle] that Defendants will use to identify Products containing the Listed Chemical which are sold through its catalogs or on its website is:

