

Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 1 Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 THE CHANLER GROUP 2 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 3 Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 4 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 RUSSELL BRIMER 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 9 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 10 11 RUSSELL BRIMER. Case No. RG09436586 (wsc) 12 Plaintiff. 13 ٧. JUDGMENT 14 Date: 15 DAISO CALIFORNIA, LLC; et al., Time: 16 Dept.: Defendants. Judge: 17 Action Filed: 18 Reservation No.: 1080588 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

FILED

AUG 1 8 2010

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

HOPOSED JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT

August 18, 2010

8:30 a.m.

Hon. Wynne Carvill

February 18, 2009

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendants DAISO CALIFORNIA, LLC, and DAISO HOLDING U.S.A., INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and following issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement on August 18, 2010.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8 18 2010

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Wynne Carvill

# Exhibit 1

	DUCHENETICAL AS SETTI FACENT	AND [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUXGMENT	
20			
28			
27	·		
26			
25			
24	*		
23	Defendants.		
22	DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC; et al.,	JUDGMEN! WSC	
21	v.	PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND (PROPOSED) CONSENT JUDGMENT	
20	Plaintiff.	PUODOCETTON 45 CLUPTI FMENT	
18 19	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. RG-09-436586	
17	CHLIMITE		
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA  UNLIMITED JURISDICTION		
15	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	CHRESTAS CALMT AT	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13		•	
12	DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC and DAISO HOLDING, U.S.A. INC.		
11	Attomeys for Defendants		
10	Telephone: (415) 981-3100 Facsimile: (415) 981-0222		
9	TERAOKA & PARTNERS LLP One Embarcadero Center, Suite 1020 San Francisco, CA 94111		
8	Steven G. Teraoka, State Bar No. 60094 Elizabeth P. Shoemaker, State Bar No. 24557	7	
7	RUSSELL BRIMER	V.	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
5.	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
4	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565		
3	THE CHANLER GROUP  2560 Ninth Street		
2	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711 Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965		
• 1	Clifford A Chaples Costs Day No. 128824		

١.

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendant. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and defendants Daiso California LLC and Daiso Holding U.S.A. Inc. (hereinafter collectively "Daiso" or "Defendant"), with Brimer and Daiso collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Defendant each being a "Party."
- 1.2 Plaintiff. Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or climinating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California drinking glasses and glassware items intended for the consumption of food or beverages containing lead in the decorative designs on the exterior surface. Lead is listed pursuant to California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65") as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead is referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."
- 1.4 Product Description. The products covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: drinking glasses and glassware items intended for the consumption of food or beverages containing the Listed Chemical in and on decorative designs on the exterior surface including, but not limited to, Colorful Dot Glass (#4 98-1343 317673). All such items shall be referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notice of Violation. On June 5, 2008, Brimer served Daiso and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided Daiso and such public enforcers with notice that Daiso was alleged to be in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to lead. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice").

.13

- 1.6 Complaint. On February 18, 2009, Brimer, acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint in Alameda County Superior Court, alleging violations by Defendant of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq., based, inter ulia, on the alleged exposures to lead contained in the Products (the "Complaint").
- 1.7 No Admission. Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been, and are in, compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law. Nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, nothing in this section shall diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Defendant under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the Parties concerning the alleged violations at issue, and personal jurisdiction over Defendant as to the acts alleged, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce its provisions.
- 1.9 Effective Date. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Effective Date" shall be June 22, 2010.

#### INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

## 2.1 Reformulation and Warnings.

(a) Reformulation. Daiso shall ship, sell, or offer for sale in California only those Products that are "Lead Free", unless warnings are given in accordance with one of the two options in Subsection (b) below. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Lead Free" is defined as Products with exterior decorations containing less than 0.009% of lead by weight when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B, or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining lead content in a solid substance.

Pu

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

27

**(b)** 

for 3

# [list products for which warning is required]

Warnings issued for the Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use or purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which specific Products the warnings apply so as to minimize, if not eliminate, the chances that an over-warning situation will arise.

- (iii) Exceptions. Daiso shall not, under any circumstances and without regard to whether warnings are provided, ship, sell or offer for sale in California any Products with exterior decorations that contain in excess of 0.009% of lead by weight in the Lip and Rim Area of the Product when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B, or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining lead content in a solid substance. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Lip and Rim Area" is defined as the interior and exterior top two centimeters (2 cm) of the Product.
- (c) Stricter Laws Apply. The standards set forth in Subsection 2.1(a) shall be commensurate with any more stringent standard required by any applicable state or federal law or regulation which is already in effect or becomes effective subsequent to the Parties' execution of this Consent Judgment so that the stricter standard applies to the Products.

# 3. PAYMENTS MADE PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE \$25249.6

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment against it, Daiso shall pay \$20,000.00 in civil penalties to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code \$25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Brimer as provided by California Health and Safety Code § 25249.12(d). Daiso shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payments: (a) one check made payable to The Chanler Group in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of \$15,000.00, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to The Chanler Group in Trust for Brimer in the amount of \$5,000.00, representing 25% of the total

fer, 4

penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$15,000.00. The second 1099 shall be issued to Brimer in the amount of \$5,000.00, whose address and tax identification number have been furnished to Defendant's attorneys.

3.1 Payment Timing. Not later than three (3) calendar days after the Effective Date, at the sole election of Daiso, the penalty payment shall be made to either The Chanler Group or Teraoka & Partners, LLP ("Teraoka"), to be held in a client Trust Account. In the event Daiso elects to make the penalty payment to Teraoka, Teraoka shall immediately notify The Chanler Group, in writing, of its receipt thereof. For the purposes of such notification, writing sent by email shall be acceptable. Such penalty payment shall remain in the Trust Account until this Consent Judgment has been approved by the Court.

If the penalty payment is held by The Chanler Group, it shall be disbursed upon Court approval of this Consent Judgment. If the penalty payment is held by Teraoka, it shall be delivered to the following address no later than two (2) business days following the Court approval of this Consent Judgment.

THE CHANLER GROUP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

#### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties reached an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine, Heath & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. and principles of contract law. Under these legal principles, Daiso shall reimburse Brimer's counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Daiso's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Daiso shall pay Brimer and his counsel \$80,000.00 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and related costs. The payment shall be made payable to The Chanler Group and shall be delivered with the payments required by Section 3 of this Consent Judgment.

×

E1

4.1 Payment Timing. Not later than three (3) calendar days after the Effective Date, at the sole election of Daiso, the payment required pursuant to Section 4 above, shall be made to either The Chanler Group or Teraoka, to be held in a client Trust Account. In the event Daiso elects to make the penalty payment to Teraoka, Teraoka shall immediately notify The Chanler Group, in writing, of its receipt thereof. For the purposes of such notification, writing sent by email shall be acceptable. Such penalty payment shall remain in the Trust Account until this Consent Judgment has approved by the Court.

If the payment is held by The Chanler Group, it shall be disbursed upon Court approval of this Consent Judgment. If the payment is held by Teranka, it shall be delivered no later than two (2) business days following the Court approval of this Consent Judgment, to the following address:

THE CHANLER GROUP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Daiso shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and cost paid in the amount of \$80,000.00 to The Chanler Group, 2560 Ninth Street, Parker Plaza, Suite 214, Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 (ETN: 20-3929984).

# 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

In further consideration of the representations, warranties and commitments herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors assignees, or any person or entity who may now or in the future claim through him in a derivative manner, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatswever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendant and each of its distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensors, retailers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent company,

corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, representatives, insurers and employees and any other persons or entities to whom Defendant may be liable (collectively, "Defendant's Releasees") arising under Proposition 65 related to Defendant's or Defendant's Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of the Listed Chemical contained in the Products. It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties and the Court intend that Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (provided that the Defendant complies with the terms of this Consent Judgment) concerning Defendant and the Defendant's Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to the Listed Chemical present in the exterior decorations on the Products.

### 6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

#### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Daiso shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

#### 9. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail.

return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the addresses listed

fm 7

28

below. Either Party, from time to time, may specify a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

For Plaintiff:

For Defendant:

THE CHANLER GROUP Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Steven G. Teraoka, Esq. TERAOKA & PARTNERS LLP One Embarcadero Center Suite 1020 San Francisco, CA 94111

# 10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. If necessary, a noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will be served on the Attorney General's Office, in which event, the Parties hereby agree to mutually employ their best efforts to obtain approval by the Court of the Consent Judgment in a timely manner.

#### 12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

t	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2	Date: 6.18.10	
3	Date: (2) 10	Date:
4	B	
5	Plaintiff, RUSSELL BRIMER	By:
6		Yoshihide Murata, Managing Member, Defendant DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC and Senior Vice President, Defendant
7		and Senior Vice President, Defendant DAISO HOLDING U.S.A., INC.
8		•
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10		(had sae)
11	Date:	
12		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
13	·	
14 15		
.16		
17		
18		
19		,
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

	}	
1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2		
3	Date:	Date: 6/17/2010
4		
5	By: Plaintiff, RUSSELL BRIMER	By:
6	PIBINOΠ, KUSSELL BRIMER	Voshihide Murata, Managing Member, Defendant DAISO CALIFORNIA LLC
7	·	and Senior Vice President. Defendant DAISO HOLDING U.S.A., INC.
8	•	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	II IS SO ORDERED,	
11	Date: 8/18/2010	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
12		
13	•	The ser Early Country
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		,
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	•	
26		
27		
28		
		9

- ;