: 608		◆ *8952789*		
* 1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534			
2	Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319 THE CHANLER GROUP	FILED		
3	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	ALAMEDA COUNTY		
4	Berkeley, California 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880	JAN 26 2011		
5	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	Deputy		
7		N		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9		FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION			
11				
12	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. RG10492983		
13 14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF		
14	v.	PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT		
16	FOREVER 21, INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	Date: January 26, 2011		
17	Defendants.	Time: 2:30 P.M. Dept.: 608		
18		Judge: Hon. Richard Keller		
1 9		Res. No.: 1108614 Action Filed: January 8, 2010		
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT			

• •			
- j	1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant FOREVER 21,	
	2	INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that a judgment be entered pursuant to the terms	
	3	of the Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") entered into	
	4	by the parties in resolution of this Proposition 65 action, and following the issuance of an order	
	5	approving the Consent Judgment on January 26, 2011,	
	6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Health & Safety	
	7	Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance	
	8	with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties,	
	9	the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.	
	10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	11		
	12	Dated: 1-26-2011 Curaul V. Cura	
	13	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
:	20		
:	21		
:	22		
:	23		
:	24		
:	25		
	26		
	27		
2	28		
		1	
		[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT	

Exhibit 1

	· ·			
1 2 3 4	THE CHANLER GROUP CLIFFORD A. CHANLER, STATE BAR NO. 135534 LARALEI S. PARAS, STATE BAR NO. 203319 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118			
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER			
6 7 8 9				
10	Attorneys for Defendant			
11	FOREVER 21, INC.			
12				
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
14				
15		TL JURISDICTION		
16	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. RG10492983		
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		
18	VS.	Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, et seq.		
19	FOREVER 21, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,			
20	Defendant.			
21				
22	 INTRODUCTION INTRODUCTION On January 8, 2010, plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Plaintiff") filed the original 			
23				
24	complaint for civil penalties and injunctive relief in this Court ("Complaint"). The			
25	Complaint alleged that defendants violated the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic			
26	Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") by selling belts containing lead. Lead is a			
27	chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive			
28	harm.			
LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP	862189.05/LA	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1.2 The parties to this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re: Consent Judgment
 2 ("Consent Judgment") are Plaintiff and defendant Forever 21, Inc. ("Forever 21"). Forever
 3 21 is referred to herein as the "Settling Defendant" with Plaintiff and Settling Defendant
 4 collectively referred to as the "Parties."

5 1.3 Plaintiff is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote
6 awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or
7 eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

8 1.4 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this
9 Court has jurisdiction over the allegations contained in the Complaint and personal
10 jurisdiction over Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, venue is proper
11 in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent
12 Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised
13 in the Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.

14 1.5 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of 15 all claims that were raised in the Complaint, or which could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. The Parties do not admit 16 any facts or conclusions of law, including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of 17 law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, 18 19 common law or equitable requirements relating to lead in Covered Products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, 2021 conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent 22 Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion 23 of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, 24 waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in this or any 25 other or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and 26 compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and 27 resolving issues disputed in this action.

28 ///

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

1 2. **DEFINITIONS**

2 2.1 "Accessible Component" means a functional or decorative part of a Covered
3 Product that could be touched by a person during normal and reasonably foreseeable use.

4 2.2 "Covered Products" means belts made of any fabric or material that are worn
5 as fashion accessories on garments either for functional use or as decorative elements and
6 that are offered for sale in California either separately or as part of the garment itself.

7 2.3 "Lead Limits" means the maximum concentrations of lead by weight
8 specified in Section 3.2.

9 2.4 "Execution Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is signed
10 by the Parties.

11 2.5 "Effective Date" means the date on which this Consent Judgment is entered by
12 the Court.

13 2.6 "Paint or Surface Coatings" has the meaning defined in 16 C.F.R.
14 § 1303.2(b),¹ as amended from time to time.

15 **3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

3.1 Specification Compliance Date. To the extent is has not already done so,
no more than thirty (30) days after the Execution Date, Settling Defendant shall provide
the Lead Limits to its Vendors of Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale to
California consumers and shall instruct each Vendor to use reasonable efforts to provide
Covered Products that comply with the Lead Limits on a nationwide basis.

3.2 Lead Limits. Commencing on December 1, 2010, Settling Defendant shall
not purchase, import, manufacture, or supply to an unaffiliated third party any Covered
Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers that exceeds the
following Lead Limits:

25

As of the Effective Date, "Paint or other Surface Coatings" means a fluid, semi-fluid, or other material, with or without a suspension of finely divided coloring matter, which changes to a solid film when a thin layer is applied to a metal, wood, stone, paper, leather, cloth, plastic, or other surface. This term does not include printing inks or those materials which actually become a part of the substrate, such as the pigment in a plastic article, or those materials which are actually bonded to the substrate, such as by electroplating or ceramic glazing.

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

862189.05/LA

3.2.1 Paint or other Surface Coatings on Accessible Components: 90 parts
 per million ("ppm");

3 3.2.2 Leather (including composited leather) Accessible Components: 300
4 ppm;

3.2.3 Polyvinyl chloride ("PVC") Accessible Components: 200 ppm; and

6**3.2.4** For all other Accessible Components other than cubic zirconia7(sometimes called cubic zirconium, CZ), crystal, glass or rhinestones: 300 ppm.

3.3 Final Retail Compliance Date. Commencing on December 1, 2011, (the 8 9 "Final Retail Compliance Date"), Settling Defendant shall not sell or offer for sale in 10 California any Covered Product that exceeds the Lead Limits specified in Section 3.2 as being effective on December 1, 2010, and, if applicable, the Additional Lead Limit 11 specified in Section 5.1.1.1. For purposes of this Section 3.3, when a Settling Defendant's 12 direct customer sells or offers for sale to California consumers a Covered Product after the 13 applicable Final Retail Compliance Date, the Settling Defendant is deemed to "offer for 14 sale in California" that Covered Product. 15

16 4. ENFORCEMENT

5

4.1 Any Party may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an
order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this
Consent Judgment. Any action to enforce the terms of Sections 3.2, 3.3 and 5.1.1.3 of this
Consent Judgment shall first comply with the terms of this Section 4.

4.2 In the event, after the Effective Date, Plaintiff identifies one or more
Covered Products that he believes in good faith do not meet the Lead Limits and/or, if
applicable, the Additional Lead Limit, and are not otherwise exempt from the Lead Limits
under Section 3 or Section 5.1.1.3, Plaintiff may issue a Notice of Violation to enforce the
Lead Limits or the Additional Lead Limit. The Notice of Violation shall be served on the
Settling Defendant and on the Supplier of each noticed Covered Product that Plaintiff
knows has offered the noticed Covered Product for sale in California.

28

n Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

LAW OFFICES

4.3 Any Notice of Violation shall be sent to the person(s) identified in Section 8
 to receive notices for Settling Defendant and must be served within forty-five (45) days of
 the date the alleged violation is observed.

4 4.4 The Settling Defendant shall provide to Plaintiff within forty-five (45) days
5 written notice whether it elects to contest the allegations contained in a Notice of Violation
6 ("Notice of Election").

7 4.5 If a Notice of Violation is contested, the Notice of Election shall include all
8 then-available documentary evidence regarding the alleged violation, including all test
9 data, if any. Any Party may file a motion or application pursuant to Section 4.1 above
10 should the contested Notice of Violation not be resolved through the meet and confer
11 process.

If a Notice of Violation is not contested, the Notice of Election delivered by Settling 12 13 Defendant shall include a detailed description of the corrective action that Settling Defendant has taken or proposes to undertake to address the alleged violation with respect to 14 15 the Covered Product. Any such corrective action shall, at a minimum, provide reasonable assurance that the Covered Product will no longer be offered by the Settling Defendant for 16 sale in California and such corrective action shall be initiated within thirty (30) days after 17 serving its Notice of Election. In addition to the corrective action, the Settling Defendant 18 19 shall reimburse Plaintiff for his attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$10,000 unless 20 the Settling Defendant, prior to receiving and accepting for distribution or sale the Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation, obtained test results demonstrating that all of 21 22 the Accessible Components in the Covered Product identified in the Notice of Violation 23 complied with the applicable Lead Limits and, if applicable, the Additional Lead Limit.

4.6 If there is a dispute over the corrective action, the Parties shall meet and
confer before seeking any remedy in Court for a period of no more than thirty (30) days.
///

27 111

28 ////

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

1 5. PAYMENTS

2

5.1 Payments Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

3 5.1.1 In settlement of all claims related to the Covered Products and Listed 4 Chemical referred to in the Complaint and this Consent Judgment pursuant to Health & 5 Safety Code § 25249.7(b), Settling Defendant shall pay civil penalties as follows:

6 5.1.1.1 **Initial Civil Penalty.** Settling Defendant shall pay an 7 initial civil penalty of \$8,000 on or before September 14, 2010. Settling Defendant shall 8 issue two separate checks for the Initial Civil Penalty payment pursuant to Health & Safety 9 Code § 25192: (a) one check made payable to The Chanler Group in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the 10 amount of \$6,000, representing 75% of the total; and (b) one check to The Chanler Group 11 in Trust for Russell Brimer in the amount of \$2,000, representing 25% of the total. Two 12 13 separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments. The first 1099 shall be issued to OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of 14 15 \$6,000. The second 1099 shall be issued in the amount of \$2,000 to Russell Brimer, 16 whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished, upon the execution of this 17 Agreement.

18

5.1.1.2 Second Civil Penalty. Settling Defendant shall pay a 19 second civil penalty of \$6,000 no later than fifteen (15) days after the Effective Date. Settling Defendant shall issue two separate checks pursuant to Health & Safety Code 20 21 § 25192: (a) one check made payable to The Chanler Group in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount 22 23 of \$4,500, representing 75% of the total; and (b) one check to The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer in the amount of \$1,500, representing 25% of the total. As an incentive 24 25 for achievement of early reformulation of the Covered Products however, the Second Civil 26 Penalty shall be waived in its entirety for each Settling Defendant whose Officer certifies 27 in Writing that the Settling Defendant has, as of September 1, 2010, not purchased, imported, manufactured, or supplied to an unaffiliated third party any Covered Product that 28

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers that exceeds the Lead Limits and
 that the Settling Defendant will not thereafter purchase, import, manufacture, or supply to
 an unaffiliated third party any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale to
 California consumers that exceeds the Lead Limits. In order for this waiver of the Second
 Civil Penalty to take effect, such certification must be received by The Chanler Group on
 or before the Effective Date.

7 Final Civil Penalty. Each Settling Defendant shall pay a 5.1.1.3 8 final civil penalty of \$6,000 on or before July 31, 2011. Settling Defendant shall issue two separate checks pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25192: (a) one check made payable to 9 The Chanler Group in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health 10Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) in the amount of \$4,500, representing 75% of the total; and 11 (b) one check to The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer in the amount of \$1,500, 12 13 representing 25% of the total. As an incentive for achievement of further reformulation of the Covered Products however, the Final Civil Penalty shall be waived in its entirety for 14 the Settling Defendant whose Officer certifies in Writing that the Settling Defendant has, 15 as of July 1, 2011, not purchased, imported, manufactured, or supplied to an unaffiliated 16 third party any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers 17 18 that exceeds the Additional Lead Limit of 1.0 micrograms ("ug") of lead as applied to all painted or coated portions of all surfaces of the Covered Products performed as outlined in 19 NIOSH Test Method No. 9100 and that the Settling Defendant will continue to not 20purchase, import, manufacture, or supply to an unaffiliated third party any Covered 21 Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers that exceeds the 22 23 Additional Lead Limit. In order for this waiver of the Final Civil Penalty to take effect, 24 such certification must be received by The Chanler Group on or before July 15, 2011.

25

5.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

5.2.1 Settling Defendant shall pay a total of \$40,750 to Plaintiff in
reimbursement of his attorneys' fees and costs. Such payment shall be made to counsel for
the Settling Defendant, to be held in a Trust Account, on or before September 14, 2010.

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

1	Counsel for the Settling Defendant will provide to The Chanler Group, in writing,			
2	2 confirmation that such payment has been received. No later than five (5) business days			
3	after the Effective Date, counsel for the Settling Defendant shall then deliver the payment			
4	to The Chanler Group.			
5	5.2.2 Settling Defendant shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs paid			
6	in the amount of \$40,750 to The Chanler Group, 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214, Berkeley,			
7	CA 94710-2565 (EIN: 94-3171522).			
8	5.2.3 The payments made pursuant to this Section 5 shall be delivered to			
9	9 The Chanler Group at the following address:			
10	The Chanler Group			
11	Attn : Proposition 65 Controller Re: <i>Russell Brimer v. Forever 21, Inc. et al.</i> Matter Parker Plaza			
12				
13				
14	6. <u>MODIFICATION AND DISPUTE RESOLUTION</u>			
15	6.1 This Consent Judgment may be modified from time to time by express			
16	written agreement of the Parties with the approval of the Court, or by an order of this Court			
17	upon motion and in accordance with law.			
18	6.2 Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good			
19	9 faith to meet and confer with all affected Parties prior to filing a motion to modify the			
20	20 Consent Judgment. A party who unsuccessfully brings or contests a motion to modify this			
21	Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees			
22	and costs.			
23	7. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>			
24	7.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between			
25	Plaintiff, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest and on behalf of the general			
26	public, and Settling Defendant, their parents, shareholders, divisions, subdivisions,			
27	27 subsidiaries, partners, sister companies and their successors and assigns ("Releasees") or			
28	any violation of Proposition 65, or any other statutory or common law claims that were or			
LAW OFFICES Alten Matkins Leck Gamble ' Mallory & Natsis LLP	-8- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			

.

could have been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendant regarding the failure
 to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products
 manufactured, distributed, or sold by Settling Defendant prior to the Effective Date, or any
 claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the Complaint whether based on actions
 committed by Settling Defendant or Releasees prior to the Effective Date.

7.2 Plaintiff on his own behalf and on behalf of the public interest pursuant to 6 7 Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), releases, waives, and forever discharges any and all 8 claims against Settling Defendant and Releasees, arising from any violation of Proposition 9 65, or any other statutory or common law claims that have been or could have been 10 asserted in the public interest or on behalf of the general public regarding the failure to warn about exposure to Lead arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, 11 distributed or sold by Settling Defendant prior to or after the Effective Date, or any claim 12 13 based on the facts or conduct alleged in the Complaint.

7.3 Settling Defendant waives any and all claims against Plaintiff, his attorneys
and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that
could have been taken or made) by Plaintiff and his attorneys and other representatives,
whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of
Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.

7.4 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Settling Defendant
constitutes Compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to lead in Settling Defendant's
Covered Products.

22 8. <u>NOTICE</u>

8.1 Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notices required
by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) firstclass, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any
party by the other party at the following addresses:

- 27 1///
- 28 ///

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

862189.05/LA

1 **To Forever 21:**

2 John J. Allen, Esq.

- Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP
- 515 South Figueroa, 9th Floor
- Los Angeles, CA 90071-3309

5 To Brimer:

3

4

6

7

8

- Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565
- 9 9. COURT APPROVAL

10 9.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court. 9.2 The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, 11 a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. The 12 Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to obtain approval of the Consent Judgment 13 14 by the Court in a timely manner and support the entry of the Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree that Plaintiff will file a Motion to Approve the Consent 15 Judgment ("Motion for Approval"), the first draft of which Settling Defendant's counsel 16 17 shall prepare and provide to Plaintiff's counsel on or before September 14, 2010.

18 9.3 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force
19 or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding
20 for any purpose.

21 10. ATTORNEYS FEES

10.1 A party who unsuccessfully brings or contests an action arising out of this
Consent Judgment shall be required to pay the prevailing party's reasonable attorney's fees
and costs unless the unsuccessful party has acted with substantial justification. For
purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same
meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, *et seq.*

28 ////

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis LLP

862189,05/LA

10.2 Notwithstanding Section 10.1, a party who prevails in a contested
 enforcement action brought pursuant to Section 4 may seek an award of attorney's fees
 pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5 against a party that acted with substantial
 justification. The party seeking such an award shall bear the burden of meeting all of the
 elements of § 1021.5, and this provision shall not be construed as altering any procedural
 or substantive requirements for obtaining such an award.

7 10.3 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear
8 its own attorney's fees and costs. -

9 10.4 Nothing in this Section 10 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of
10 sanctions pursuant to law.

11 11. OTHER TERMS

12 11.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the
13 State of California.

11.2 14 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and 15 16 all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, 17 are hereby merged herein and therein. There are no warranties, representations, or other 18 agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, 19 oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this 20Consent Judgment have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to 21 22 bind any of the Parties hereto. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination 23 of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be 24 bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be 25 deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not 26 similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.

27 11.3 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the
28 Consent Judgment.

LAW OFFICES Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mailory & Natsis LLP

1 11.4 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts
 2 and by means of facsimile, which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one
 3 document

4 11.5 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully
5 authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to
6 enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and legally
7 to bind that Party.

11.6 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of 8 9 this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the 10 Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties 11 and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. 12 Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be 13 interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent 14 Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should 15 16 not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654. 17 18 IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: August H, 2010 19 THE CHANLER GROUP 20 By: 21 Paras Attorney for Plaintiff 22 Russell Brimer 23 DATED: August 27, 2010 24 ALLEN MATKINS LECK GAMBLE MALLORY & NATSIS, LLP 25 26 By: 27 Attomey for Defendant Forever 21, Inc. 28 LAW OFFICE en Matkins Leck Gan Mallory & Natsis LLF -12-862189.05/LA STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT .///