

Stephen S. Sayad, State Bar No. 104866 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP ENDORSED 81 Throckmorton Ave, Suite 203 FILED 3 Mill Valley, CA 94941 ALAMEDA COUNTY Telephone: (415) 388-1132 4 Facsimile: (415) 388-1135 DEC 1 5 2011 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT RUSSELL BRIMER By JUDY ANN WARREN 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 Case No. RG-10-544361 RUSSELL BRIMER. 13 [PRÓPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT Plaintiff, 14 TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT v. 15 JUDGMENT THE HILLMAN GROUP, INC.; and DOES 1 16 Date: December 13, 2011 through 150, Time: 2:30 PM 17 Dept.: 607 Defendants. Judge: Hon. George C. Hernandez, Jr. 18 19 Reservation No.: 1221974 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPUSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROP. 65 SETTLEMENT AND CONSENT JUDGMENT

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff Russell Brimer, and Defendant The Hillman Group, Inc., having agreed through their respective counsel that Judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of their settlement agreement in the form of a Consent Judgment, and following this Court's issuance of an Order approving this Proposition 65 settlement and Consent Judgment,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, Judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: (2/15/2011

GEORGE C HERNANDEZ IN

1 2 3 4 5	Stephen S. Sayad, State Bar No. 104866 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 THE CHANLER GROUP 81 Throckmorton Ave, Suite 203 Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 388-1132 Facsimile: (415) 388-1135 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	
6	Lee Marshall (Bar No. 82449) Stephen J. Squillario (Bar No. 257781)	
7	HAIGHT BROWN & BONESTEEL LLP 71 Stevenson Street, 10th Floor	
8	San Francisco, CA 94105-2981 Telephone: 415.546.7500	
9	Facsimile: 415.546.7505	
10	Attorneys for Defendant THE HILLMAN GROUP, INC.	
11	,	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
14		
15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
16	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. RG10544361
17		Case No. RG10344301
18	Plaintiff,	
19	VS.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
20	THE HILLMAN GROUP, INC.; and DOES 1-	
21	150, inclusive,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT	

### 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Russell Brimer and The Hillman Group, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereinafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Defendant The Hillman Group, Inc. (hereinafter "Hillman" or "Defendant"), with Brimer and Hillman collectively referred to as the "Parties."

### 1.2 Plaintiff

Plaintiff is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

### 1.3 Defendant

Hillman employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

### 1.4 **General Allegations**

Brimer alleges that Hillman has manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in the State of California tape measure key chains with lead on the exterior surface. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead is hereinafter referred to as the "Listed Chemical."

### 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: tape measure key chains containing the Listed Chemical on the exterior surface including, but not limited to, Tape Measure Key Chain (#701402) and its bulk refill options (#706618 and #706755). All such items shall hereinafter be referred to as the "Products."

### 1.6 Notice of Violation

On June 17, 2010, Brimer served Hillman and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Hillman and such public enforcers with notice alleging that Hillman was in violation of California Health & Safety Code

///

Section 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and customers that the Products exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical.

### 1.7 Complaint

On or about October 29, 2010, Brimer, who alleges that he was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a Complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda, naming Hillman as a defendant and alleging violations of Proposition 65 by Hillman, based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products manufactured, distributed, and/or offered for sale in California by Hillman ("Complaint").

### 1.8 No Admission

Hillman denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in Brimer's Notice and Complaint, and expressly denies any wrongdoing. Hillman further maintains that all products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by it in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Hillman of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Hillman of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Hillman. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Hillman under this Consent Judgment.

### 1.9 <u>Consent to Jurisdiction/Enforcement</u>

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Hillman as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6.

Brimer or Hillman may, after meeting and conferring, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

# 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean five (5) business days after the court's order approving the Parties' Consent Judgment.

### 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS</u>

2.1 Reformulation Commitment: Hillman contends that commencing on August 1, 2010, Hillman ceased shipping, selling and/or offering for sale in California any Products described and set forth in Paragraph 1.5 above. Hillman agrees that if it resumes shipping, selling or offering for sale the Products described and set forth in Paragraph 1.5 above without a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Proposition 65, such Products will be "Lead Free." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Lead Free" Products shall mean Products containing components that may be handled, touched, or mouthed by a consumer, and which components yield less than 1.0 microgram of lead when using a wipe test pursuant to NIOSH Test Method 9100 or contain less than 90 parts per million of the Listed Chemical when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3050B and 6010B or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the amount of the Listed Chemical in a solid substance. Products that are Lead Free are referred to hereinafter as "Reformulated Products."

### 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

### 3.1 Payments Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b)

3.1.1. In settlement of all claims related to the Products and Listed Chemical referred to in the 60-Day Notice of Violation, the Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), Hillman shall place \$3,000 in a trust account of its counsel, which Hillman shall verify within ten (10) calendar days of signing this Consent Judgment, and, upon the court's approval of the Consent Judgment, shall make a payment of said \$3,000 as follows and no later than the Effective Date. In accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c)(1) and (d), Hillman shall issue two separate checks: (a) one check made payable to The Chanler Group in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") in the amount of \$2,250.00, representing 75% of the total; and (b) one check to The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer in the amount of \$750.00, representing 25% of the total. Two separate Forms 1099

1 | s 2 | 1 3 | G 4 | i 5 | f

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26

27

28

shall be issued for the above payments. The first Form 1099 shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486). The second Form 1099 shall be issued to Russell Brimer, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request. The payments shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

### 4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of attorneys' fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Hillman then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Hillman shall reimburse Brimer and his counsel the total of \$25,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Hillman's attention, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Hillman shall issue a separate Form 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 94-3171522) and shall make the check payable to "The Chanler Group." Hillman shall place said \$25,000 in the aforementioned trust account of its counsel, which Hillman shall verify within ten (10) calendar days of signing this Consent Judgment. The check shall be delivered no later than the Effective Date, to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

# 

## 

# 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

# 

### 

## 

## 

## 

### 

### 5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

### 5.1 Full, Final and Binding Resolution of Proposition 65 Allegations.

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Brimer, on behalf of himself and the public, and Hillman, of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Brimer against Hillman, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Hillman directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products, including but not limited to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees ("Releasees"), based on their failure to warn about alleged exposures to lead contained in the Products that were sold by Hillman. This release shall also extend to Innovation Specialties, Inc. and Shamrock Graphics as additional Releasees but only with respect to the Products sold by Hillman containing the Listed Chemical.

### 5.2 <u>Brimer's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims.</u>

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Brimer on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses -- including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal -- limited to and arising under Proposition 65 with respect to lead in the Products sold by Hillman (collectively "claims"), against Hillman and Releasees.

### 5.3 Brimer's Individual Release of Claims.

Brimer also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of plaintiff of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown,

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

21

23

24

25 26

27

28

Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Hillman and Releasees.

suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to lead in the

#### 5.4 Parties' Mutual Release.

Hillman, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Hillman, its attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Hillman and its attorneys and other representatives, in defending against Brimer's enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products.

### 6. **COURT APPROVAL**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Brimer, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from Hillman that the one-year period has expired.

### 7. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

### 8. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Hillman shall provide

1	written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations		
2	pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.		
3	9. <u>NOTICES</u>		
4	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to		
5	this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class, registered		
6	or certified mail, return receipt requested, or (iii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at		
7	the following addresses:		
8	For Hillman:		
9	Daniel Smercina		
10	Director of Marketing The Hillman Group, Inc. 10590 Hamilton Avenue Cincinnati, OH 45231-1764		
11			
12	and		
13	David Schmitt, Esq. Cors & Bassett		
14	537 East Pete Rose Way Suite 400		
15	Cincinnati, OH 45202-3502		
16	For Brimer:		
17	Proposition 65 Coordinator		
18	The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street		
19	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710		
20			
21	Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to		
22	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.		
23	10. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>		
24	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall		
25	be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same		
26	document.		
27			
28			
	7 CONSENT JUDGMENT		

### 11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(f)

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f).

### 12. <u>ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES</u>

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer and Hillman and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner.

### 13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

Any party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

**AGREED TO:** 

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof.

**AGREED TO:** 

Date: 3 4 11	Date:
By: Russell Brimer	By:

### 

# 

### 

### 

## 

### 11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE SECTION 25249.7(f)

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(f).

### 12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer and Hillman and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner.

### 13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

Any party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment shall attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

### 14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions hereof.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: 0/17/20//
By:	By Daniel Smoroida, Vice President of Marketing The Hillman Group, Inc.

CONSENT JUDGMENT