1 2 3 4 5	ROBERT L. FALK (BAR NO. 142007) Email: RFalk@mofo.com WILLIAM F. TARANTINO (BAR NO. 215343 Email: WTarantino@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street, Suite 3300 San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522 Attorneys for Defendants JOHN HINDE CURTEICH, INC.	ZEG JUNITA PIZE 08  (13 1 / 200)  MANGENER STATE OF RECEDENT		
7 8 9 10	CHRISTOPHER M. MARTIN (BAR NO. 1860) Email: Chris.Martin@hirst-chanler.com HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, California 94710 Telephone: 510.848.8880 Facsimile: 510.848.8118  Attorneys for Plaintiff			
12	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.			
13				
14	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15 16	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN			
17	UNLIMITED CIV	IL JURISDICTION		
18		1		
19	ANTHONY E. HELD, PH.D., P.E.,	Case No. CIV 09 1885		
20	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF STIPULATION		
21	V.	AND ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		
22	JOHN HINDE CURTEICH, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,	Hearing: August 3, 2009		
23	Defendants.	Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: H		
24		Judge: Hon. John A. Sutro, Jr. Action Filed: April 17, 2009		
25				
26				
27				
28				

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	i
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. and Defendant JOHN HINDE CURTEICH, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be entered pursuant to the terms of the Proposition 65 settlement agreement in the form of a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re: Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and following the issuance of an order approving this Proposition 65 settlement agreement and entering the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment on August 3, 2009.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §664.6, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation and Order Re: Consent Judgment attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: AUG 1 2 2009

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

# Exhibit 1

1	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021				
2	HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214				
3	Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880				
4	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.				
6	Robert L. Falk, State Bar No. 142007				
7	William F. Tarantino, State Bar No. 215343 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP				
8	425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2406				
9	Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522				
10	Attorneys for Defendant				
11	JOHN HINDE CURTEICH, INC.				
12					
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
14	FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN				
15	UNLIMITED CIV	IL JURISDICTION			
16					
17	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Case No. CIV 09 1885			
18	Plaintiff,	STIDIU ATION AND IDDODOSEDI ODDED			
19	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			
20	JOHN HINDE CURTEICH, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,				
21	Defendants.				
22	D OTOMARIA.				
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
		ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			
	sf-2681952				

# 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

# 1.1 Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E., and John Hinde Curteich, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. (hereinafter "Dr. Held" or "Plaintiff") and defendant John Hinde Curteich, Inc. (hereinafter "John Hinde" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each individually referred to as a "Party."

# 1.2 Plaintiff

Dr. Held is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances in consumer products.

## 1.3 Defendant

John Hinde employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. (hereinafter "Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Dr. Held alleges that John Hinde manufactured, distributed and/or sold key rings, mugs, piggy banks, drink bottles and door plaques containing di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (hereinafter "DEHP") in the State of California without the requisite health hazard warnings. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

#### 1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: soft PVC key rings, mugs, piggy banks, drink bottles and door plaques containing DEHP, including, but not limited to the *Novelty Keyring (#0 32719 20473 8)* and which Defendant manufactured, distributed, and/or sold in the State of California. All such soft polyvinyl chloride ("PVC") key rings, mugs, piggy banks, drink bottles and door plaques containing DEHP are referred to hereinafter as the "Products".

#### 1.6 Notices of Violation

On December 23, 2008, Dr. Held served John Hinde and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Notice") that provided John Hinde and public enforcers with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the soft PVC key rings exposed users in California to DEHP.

On May 6, 2009, Dr. Held served John Hinde and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation" (hereinafter "Supplemental Notice") that provided John Hinde and public enforcers with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the Products in addition to soft PVC key rings exposed users in California to DEHP.

#### 1.7 Complaint

On April 17, 2009, Dr. Held, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereinafter "Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Marin against John Hinde Curteich, Inc. and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Products. The Complaint shall be deemed amended by this Consent Judgment to include the allegations set forth in the Supplemental Notice on the sixty-sixth (66<sup>th</sup>) day following the issuance of the Supplemental Notice if no authorized public prosecutor has, prior to that date, filed a Proposition 65 enforcement action as to the DEHP in the additional Products addressed in the Supplemental Notice.

#### 1.8 No Admission

John Hinde denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Dr. Held's Notice, Supplemental Notice, and Complaint, and maintains that all Products sold and distributed in California have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by John Hinde of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by

John Hinde of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by John Hinde. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect John Hinde's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over John Hinde as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean April 30, 2009.

# 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION</u>

#### 2.1 **Product Warnings**

After the Effective Date, John Hinde shall not sell, ship, or offer to be shipped for sale in California any Products unless such Products are sold or shipped with one of the clear and reasonable warnings set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and (b), are otherwise exempt pursuant to Section 2.2, or comply with the reformulation standards set forth in Section 2.3.

Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which specific Product the warning applies, so as to minimize if not eliminate the chance that an overwarning situation will arise.

# (a) Warnings For Retail Store Sales

(i) Product Labeling John Hinde may meet its warning obligations hereunder by ensuring that a warning is affixed to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product sold in retail outlets by John Hinde or its agents, that states:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a phthalate

chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and

other reproductive harm.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Product, John Hinde may utilize a designated symbol to cross reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Product(s):

WARNING: Certain products identified with this symbol  $\nabla$  and offered for sale in this catalog contain DEHP, a phthalate chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and

other reproductive harm.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, John Hinde must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If John Hinde elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more Products printed after the date of entry of this Consent Judgment.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of the Product via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which the Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for the Product; (c) on the same page as the price for any Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process, such as a drop-down menu that appears whenever a Product is ordered for shipping to an address with a zip code in California. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Product for which it is given

## 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

# 3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, John Hinde shall pay \$10,000 in civil penalties to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code \$25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (hereinafter "OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Anthony Held as provided by California Health & Safety Code \$25249.12(d). John Hinde shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust For OEHHA" in the amount of \$7,500 representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to "Hirst & Chanler LLP in Trust for Anthony Held" in the amount of \$2,500 representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: (a) OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA, 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); and (b) Anthony Held, whose information shall be provided five calendar days before the payment is due. Held will cause a W-9 Form with respect to himself to be provided to John Hinde or John Hinde's counsel prior to payment.

Payment shall be delivered to Dr. Held's counsel on or before June 1, 2009 at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

#### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

#### 4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. John Hinde then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due

to Dr. Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) §1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. John Hinde shall reimburse Dr. Held and his counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to John Hinde's attention, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. John Hinde shall pay Dr. Held and his counsel \$30,000 for all attorneys' fees and costs. Hirst & Chanler LLP will cause a completed W-9 Form with respect to itself to be provided to John Hinde or John Hinde's counsel prior to payment. John Hinde shall issue a separate 1099 to Hirst and Chanler LLP for fees and costs. The payment shall be made payable to "Hirst & Chanler LLP" and shall be delivered in three equal installments of \$10,000 each with the first installment delivered on or before June 1, 2009; the second installment delivered on or before July 1, 2009; and the third installment delivered on or before August 1, 2009. All installments shall be delivered to the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

# 4.2 Additional Attorney Fees and Costs in Seeking Judicial Approval

Pursuant to CCP §§1021 and 1021.5, the Parties agree that John Hinde will reimburse Dr. Held and his counsel for their reasonable fees and costs incurred in seeking judicial approval of this settlement agreement in the trial court and performing other necessary tasks after the execution of this agreement, in an amount not to exceed \$8,000. Such additional fees and costs, exclusive of fees and costs that may be incurred in the event of an appeal include, but are not limited to, drafting and filing of the motion to approve papers, fulfilling the reporting requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f), corresponding with opposing counsel regarding to any third party objections, filing a notice of entry of approval, and appearing before the Court related to the approval process.

Reimbursement of such additional fees and costs shall be due within thirty days after receipt of a billing statement from Dr. Held ("Additional Fee Claim"). Payment of the Additional

Fee Claim shall be made to "Hirst & Chanler LLP," and the payment shall be delivered, at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

John Hinde has the right to object to such reimbursement and may submit the resolution of this issue to the American Arbitration Association (AAA) in Northern California to determine the reasonableness of the additional fees and costs sought, provided that a notice of objection or decision to arbitrate is received by Dr. Held by the end of ten calendar days after receipt by John Hinde of the billing statement from Dr. Held. If an arbitration notice is not filed with AAA in a timely manner, Dr. Held may file a motion with the Court pursuant to both CCP §1021.5 and this settlement agreement to recover additional attorney fees and costs incurred as set forth in this paragraph.

# 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

# 5.1 Dr. Held's Release of John Hinde

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4 of this Consent Judgment, Dr. Held on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public to the extent allowed by law, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against John Hinde and each of its downstream wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "releasees"). This release is limited to those claims that

arise under Proposition 65 as such claims relate to John Hinde's alleged failure to warn about exposures to the DEHP contained in the Products.

The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or to any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to John Hinde.

## 5.2 John Hinde's Release of Dr. Held

John Hinde waives any and all claims against Dr. Held, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Dr. Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

#### 6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Dr. Held or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from John Hinde that the one-year period has expired.

#### 7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

# 8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then John Hinde shall provide written notice to Dr. Held of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no

1	further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the			
2	Products are so affected.			
3	9. <u>NOTICES</u>			
4	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant			
5	this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class,			
6	(registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the			
7	other Party at the following addresses:			
8	To John Hinde:			
9	William F. Tarantino MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street			
11	San Francisco, California 94105-2406  With a copy to:			
12 13	Mike Thompson John Hinde			
14	431 South Lombard Street Oxnard, CA 93030			
15	To Dr. Held:			
16 17 18	Proposition 65 Coordinator HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565			
19	Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to			
20	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.			
21	10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES			
22	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which			
23	shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the			
24	same document.			
25	11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)			
26	Dr. Held agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California			
27	Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).			
28				
	-11- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT			
- 1				

sf-2681952

## 12. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Noticed Motion") is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Dr. Held, John Hinde, and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. For purposes of this Paragraph, best efforts shall include at a minimum that John Hinde join in or file a joinder in the Noticed Motion and participate in any oral argument before the Court on the hearing of the Noticed Motion.

# 13. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court. Dr. Held shall be entitled to his reasonable fees and costs incurred in the modification process under CCP §1021.5 if John Hinde seeks to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment.

18 | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 | ///

20 | ///

21 | ///

22 | ///

23 || ///

24 | ///

25 | 1///

26 | ///

27 | 1/2

. .

1	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>				
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their				
3	respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this				
4	Consent Judgment.				
5					
6	AGREED TO: AGREED TO:				
7	Date: 05/15/2009 Date: 5//8/09				
8	(lathanis & WOD)				
9	By: Defendant JOHN HINDE CURTEICH,				
10	Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.  Ph.D., P.E.  Ph.D., P.E.  Ph.D., P.E.				
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM: APPROVED AS TO FORM:				
12	Date:				
13	HIRST & CHANLER LLP MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP				
14					
15	Ву:				
16	Christopher M. Martin William F. Tarantino Attorneys for Plaintiff Attorneys for Defendant				
17	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. JOHN HINDE CURTEICH, INC.				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28	12				
	-13- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT				
	sf-2681952				

1	14.	AUTHORIZATION		
2		The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
3	respect	respective parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
4	Conser	Consent Judgment.		
5				
6		AGREED TO:		AGREED TO:
7	Date:		Date:	
8				
9	Ву:	Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD,	By:	Defendant JOHN HINDE CURTEICH,
10		Ph.D., P.E.		INC.
11	,	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		APPROVED AS TO FORM:
12	Date:		Date:	May 18,2009
13	Daic.	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	,	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
14				~· ~ 1.
15	By:		Ву:	Runtant
16		Christopher M. Martin Attorneys for Plaintiff ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		William F. Tarantino Attorneys for Defendant
17		ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.		JOHN HINDÉ CURTEICH, INC.
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28			-13-	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT sf-2681952			