1 2 3 4	Gregory Sheffer (State Bar No. 17312 Clifford Chanler (State Bar No. 13553 SHEFFER & CHANLER LLP 4400 Keller Avenue Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94605-4229 Telephone: (510) 577-0747 Facsimile: (510) 577-0787		
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Michael DiPirro John E. Dittoe (State Bar No. 88244) CROSBY, HEAFEY, ROACH & MAY Professional Corporation 1999 Harrison Street Oakland, CA 94612-3572 Mailing Address: P.O. Box 2084 Oakland, CA 94604-2084 Telephone: (510) 763-2000 Facsimile: (510) 273-8832 Attorneys for Defendants		
14 15 16	Block and Company, Inc. (sued herein as MMF Industries) and Office Depot Inc. SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA - COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	MICHAEL DIPIRRO, Plaintiff, vs. MMF INDUSTRIES; and DOES 1 through 1000, Defendants. MICHAEL DIPIRRO, Plaintiff, vs. OFFICE DEPOT, INC.; and DOES 1 through 1000, Defendants.	Consolidated Case Nos. H-221300-8 and H-221299-9 CONSENT JUDGMENT	
28		- 1 - 9622290.1	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT WITH BLOCK AND COMPANY AND OFFICE DEPOT, INC.		

1. INTRODUCTION

This Consent Judgment ("Agreement" or "Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Michael DiPirro ("DiPirro"), Block and Company, Inc. ("Block"), an Illinois corporation, and Office Depot, Inc. ("Office Depot"), a Delaware corporation (collectively referred to as the "Parties"). This Agreement is the result of lengthy negotiations between the Parties, as well as three extensive judicially-supervised settlement conferences before the Honorable Bonnie Sabraw. Consequent to all of these negotiations, the Parties agree to the following terms and conditions:

- 1.1 DiPirro is an individual residing in San Francisco, CA, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.2 For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Block" shall mean Block and Company, Inc. and all of its divisions, subsidiaries and related companies, including, but not limited to MMF Industries.
- 1.3 For purposes of this Agreement, the term "MMF" shall mean MMF Industries.
- 1.4 For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Office Depot" shall mean Office Depot, Inc. and all of its divisions, subsidiaries and related companies.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Defendants" shall mean Block and Office Depot.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Parties" shall mean DiPirro, Block and Office Depot.
- 1.7 DiPirro alleges that the Defendants have manufactured and/or sold in the State of California one or more lead seal products that contain lead (or lead compounds), a chemical listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § \$25249.5 et seq., also 962290.1

known as Proposition 65, hereafter referred to as the "Listed Chemical." The lead seal products which are covered by this Agreement are identified in Exhibit A and are collectively referred to as the "Products."

- 1.8 On April 23, 2001 DiPirro first served the Defendants and other public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided the Defendants and such public enforcers with notice that the Defendants were allegedly in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn that the Products expose users in California to the Listed Chemical.
- 1.9 On July 6, 2002 DiPirro filed complaints entitled Michael DiPirro v. MMF Industries et al. and Michael DiPirro v. Office Depot, Inc. in the Alameda County Superior Court, naming MMF and Office Depot as defendants, and alleging violations of Business & Professions Code §17200 and Health & Safety Code §25249.6 in the interest of the general public in California who allegedly have been exposed to the Listed Chemical from use of the Products. The Defendants thereafter filed and served their answers to those Complaints. By Stipulation and Order dated June 24, 2002, DiPirro's action against Block (Michael DiPirro v. MMF Industries, et al.) was consolidated, for all purposes, including but not limited to case management, discovery and trial, with his action against Office Depot (Michael DiPirro v. Office Depot, Inc.).
- 1.10 The Defendants, and each of them, deny the material factual and legal allegations contained in DiPirro's above mentioned 60-Day Notice of Violation and Complaints and maintain that all products distributed or sold by the Defendants in California including, but not limited to, the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws.
- 1.11 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission by the Defendants, or either of them, of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by the Defendants, or either of them, of any fact, finding, conclusion, 9622290.1

issue of law or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of the Defendants under this Agreement.

1.12 For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Effective Date" shall mean September 6, 2002.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Block's Commitment to Cease Selling the Products

Block agrees not to sell, by any means (including, but not limited to, mail order catalog, internet website or any other electronic means), any Products, after the Effective Date anywhere in the United Sates.

2.2 Office Depot's Obligations Re Product Sales

- a. For the two year period following the Effective Date, Office Depot currently agrees not to sell, or offer for sale, any Products in California through its Big Book catalog, (hereafter "Catalog"), http://www.officedepot.com website (hereafter "Website") or the Office Depot merchant/buyer responsible for the purchase of the Products. If Office Depot decides to sell or offer for sale, through the identified means, any Products in California during the two year period following the Effective Date, it shall notify DiPirro of such decision.
- b. Office Depot shall not knowingly sell any Product in California after the Effective Date unless such Product is accompanied by a Label on or within, or affixed to, the Product or Product package that states:

WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm). Do not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. Do not place the product in your mouth. Wash your hands after touching the Product.

The warning statement shall be prominent and displayed with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs, as to 9622290.1

render it likely to be read and reasonably understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Label" does not include an MSDS form that otherwise meets the requirements of Section 2. The labeling revisions may be made in the form of an adhesive sticker, stamp or permanent change to the Product itself, or on the outside of the smallest packaging in which the Product is sold. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a warning sticker placed on product packaging that is not available to the consumer before purchase, or on Product packaging that does not accompany the Products when purchased, is not reasonably calculated to transmit the requisite warning and, thus, may not be used to comply with this paragraph.

- c. Catalog: If Office Depot displays any Products in its Catalog, then Office Depot shall include an additional warning (containing the identical warning language specified in Section 2.2(b)) within its product Catalog. Such warning shall appear on the same page on which each Product is displayed, in a manner ensuring that the reader would reasonably understand that the warning is associated with the Products covered by this Consent Judgment. If Office Depot displays any Products in its Catalog, then Office Depot shall also display a lead free alternative, such as plastic seals, assuming they are reasonably available. If Office Depot determines that a lead free alternative is not reasonably available, Office Depot shall notify DiPirro of such determination.
- d. Internet/Website: If Office Depot displays any Products on its internet Website, then Office Depot shall display the additional warning (containing the identical language specified in Section 2.2(b) or a link to a page containing the warning set forth in Section 2.2(b)) on the same page on which a Product is displayed. If a link is used to direct the viewer to another web page, it shall state "Warning" and be of a size equal to the size of other links on the page. If Office Depot displays any Products on its Website, then Office Depot shall also display a lead free alternative, such as plastic seals, assuming they are reasonably available.

 If Office Depot determines that a lead free alternative is not reasonably available, Office Depot shall notify DiPirro of such determination.

2.3 Interim Warnings: The Defendants represent that they have endeavored to ensure that warning statements have been attached to any Product inventory remaining with Block's distributors in California and Office Depot's facilities in California. In an attempt to further ensure that any Products that may exist in the stream of commerce contain Proposition 65 warnings, Block agrees to send a letter, via certified mail, with a copy to DiPirro's counsel, within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, to each California distributor, if any, to which it sold any Products within the six (6) months preceding the Effective Date, or that Block knows has had any inventory of any Products within the thirty (30) days preceding the Effective Date, that explains such distributor's duty to provide a warning pursuant to Section 2.2(b) for the Products, and demands that such distributors either return all such Products or ensure that any remaining Product inventory are not sold without Proposition 65 warnings.

In a further attempt to ensure that any Products that may exist in the stream of commerce contain Proposition 65 warnings, Office Depot agrees to send a letter, via certified mail, with a copy to DiPirro's counsel, within thirty (30) days of the Effective Date, to each of its facilities in California, if any, that have sold any Products within the four (4) months preceding the Effective Date, or that Office Depot knows has had any inventory of any Products within the thirty (30) days preceding the Effective Date, that explains the duty to provide a warning pursuant to Section 2.2(b) for the Products and demands that the facilities either destroy all of the Products or ensure that any remaining Product inventory are not sold without Proposition 65 warnings.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

3.1 Penalties Pursuant To Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), a penalty of \$20,000 shall be imposed on Block. The penalty payment is to be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP In Trust For Michael DiPirro" and shall be paid within five (5) calendar days of the Effective Date. If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Alameda County Superior Court, DiPirro will return any penalty funds, with interest thereon at the rate of 1.75% per annum, simple interest, within five (5) calendar days of effective written notice of the Court's decision. All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control. DiPirro shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this paragraph.

The Defendants, or either of them, shall, pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), pay an additional penalty of \$40,000 in the event that Office Depot sells in California during the two year period following the Effective Date, any Products through its Catalog, Website or the Office Depot merchant/buyer responsible for the purchase of the Products. In the event that such penalty is owed, the penalty payment shall be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP in Trust For Michael DiPirro." If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Alameda County Superior Court, DiPirro will return any penalty funds, with interest thereon at the rate of 1.75% per annum, simple interest, within five (5) calendar days of effective written notice of the Court's decision. All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control. DiPirro shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Defendants, or either of them, shall, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), pay an additional penalty of \$30,000, no later than November 15, 2002, in the event that either Block or Office Depot do not certify, by declaration of an officer or director, no later than November 5, 2002, that they have complied with the interim warning requirements specified in Section 2.3. In the event that such penalty is owed, the penalty payment shall be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP In Trust For Michael DiPirro." If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Alameda County Superior Court, DiPirro will return any penalty funds, with interest thereon at the rate of 1.75% per annum, simple interest, within five (5) calendar days of effective written notice of the Court's decision. All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control. DiPirro shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this paragraph.

Health Research Payments: Block agrees to pay, within five (5) 3.2 calendar days of the Effective Date, an additional amount of \$10,000 to be distributed by DiPirro to Health Risk Consultants Inc. ("HRC"), which is an organization that provides risk assessment, risk management riskl communication services on behalf of private and public entities, including the U.S. Navy, state and local health departments and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (an arm of the federal public health service). The Parties agree that such funds may only be spent to perform research on the nature, extent or causation of health hazards posed by exposures to lead for lead compounds). Funds are to be transferred to HRC for the sole purpose of said research. DiPirro agrees to obtain a written statement from HRC which confirms that such funds will be spent for the purposes stated above and that the funds will 9622290.1

be accounted for in an appropriate manner. These additional monies are to be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP In Trust For Michael DiPirro".

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

- 4.1 Reimbursement Of Fees And Costs. The parties acknowledge that DiPirro offered to resolve the dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving this open issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been reached, and the matter settled. The Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue concurrently with other settlement terms, so the parties tried to and did reach an accord on the compensation due to DiPirro and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at C.C.P. §1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date.
- 4.2 Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at C.C.P. § 1021.5, Block shall reimburse DiPirro and his counsel for his fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to the Defendants' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Block shall pay DiPirro and his counsel \$86,000, except as provided for in paragraph 4.3 below, for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs within five (5) calendar days of the Effective Date. Payment should be made payable to the "Sheffer & Chanler LLP." If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Alameda County Superior Court, DiPirro and Sheffer & Chanler LLP will return all funds, with interest thereon at the rate of 1.75% per annum, simple interest, within five (5) calendar days of effective written notice of the Court's decision.

4.3 Additional Fees and Costs in Seeking Judicial Approval.

a. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to recent interpretations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial 9622290.1

approval of this Agreement. Accordingly, the parties agree to use their best efforts to file in the Alameda County Superior Court a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement within a reasonable period of time after execution of this Agreement. "Best efforts" means that Block shall return an initial draft of the Motion To Approve within ten (10) days of receiving a motion template from Plaintiff. Best efforts shall also mean that Block agrees to return any modifications or comments on subsequent drafts received from Plaintiff within five (5) calendar days of their receipt. Pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5, Block agrees to reimburse DiPirro and his counsel for their reasonable fees and costs incurred in seeking judicial approval of this Agreement, to the extent described in paragraphs 4.3(b) through 4.3(f).

- b. If no opposition to the motion (nor objection to the terms of this Agreement) is filed or otherwise transmitted by any third party, Block agrees to reimburse DiPirro under Section 4.3(a) for additional reasonable fees and costs in an amount not to exceed \$4,800.
- c. In the event that any third party, including any public enforcer, transmits or files an objection or opposition to one or more provisions of this Agreement, the Defendants agree to use its best efforts to support each of the terms of this Agreement, as well as to seek judicial approval of this Agreement.
- d. In the event that such an objection or opposition is filed or transmitted by any third party, Block agrees to reimburse DiPirro, in addition to any reasonable fees and costs due under Section 4.3(b), for his additional reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in securing approval of this Consent Judgment in an amount not to exceed \$2,800.
- e. In the event that defending this Agreement from such objection or opposition from any third party requires a declaration from an expert, then Block agrees to reimburse DiPirro, in addition to any attorneys' fees and costs under Section 4.3(b) and 4.3(d), for such expert's reasonable fees and costs in an amount not to exceed \$2,000.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

f. Block's payment of DiPirro's legal fees and costs under paragraphs 4.3(a) - 4.3(e) shall be due within ten (10) calendar days after receipt of a billing statement from DiPirro ("Additional Fee Claim"). Payment of the Additional Fee Claim shall be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP." Block has the right to object to DiPirro's reimbursement request and may submit the resolution of this issue to the American Arbitration Association (AAA) in Northern California to determine the reasonableness of the additional fees and costs sought, provided that an arbitration claim has been filed with AAA and served on DiPirro within ten (10) calendar days following DiPirro's service of the Additional Fee Claim on Block. If an arbitration notice is not filed with AAA in a timely manner, Block's right to arbitrate this matter is waived. DiPirro may then file a motion, pursuant to C.C.P. §1021.5, with the Court seeking recovery of his (and his attorneys') fees and costs incurred as set forth in this paragraph.

5.1 Michael DiPirro's Release of Block and Office Depot. In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, DiPirro, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees and other costs, other than those stated herein) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against the Defendants and any of their parent companies, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries (and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them), and their respective officers, directors,

28

representatives, shareholders, partners, and employees agents, attorneys, (collectively, "Defendant Releasees"). This waiver and release shall pertain only to Claims arising under Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq., related to the Defendant Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products. The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65 (including those pertaining to both occupational and consumer exposures to the Products), Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against the Defendants for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the use of the Products or any other claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the complaint.

In addition, DiPirro, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and his agents, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against the Defendants and their attorneys or representatives, for all actions or statements made by the Defendants or their attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65 or Business & professions Code Section 17200 by the Defendants. Provided, however, that DiPirro shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the parties intend that the Defendants' compliance with the terms of this Release resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future, concerning the Defendant Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 or Business and Professions Code §17200 et seq., as to the Products.

5.2. DiPirro's Release of "Downstream Persons." In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, DiPirro, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general 9622290.1

. .)

19 20 21

22

23

24 25

2627

28

public, further waives all rights to institute any form of legal action and releases all Claims, as defined above, against each distributor, wholesaler, auctioneer, retailer, dealer, customer, owner, operator, purchaser, lessor, lessee, renter, or user of the Products, or any of their respective parent, divisions, subdivisions, subsidiaries (and the predecessors, successors and assigns of any of them) and their respective officers, directors, shareholders, partners, attorneys, representatives, agents, employees (collectively, "Downstream Persons"). This waiver and release shall pertain only to Claims arising under Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seq., related to the Downstream Persons' alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Products. The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65 (including those pertaining to both occupational and consumer exposures to the Products), Business & Professions Code § 17200 et seg. that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against the Defendants for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to the Listed Chemical from the use of the Products or any other claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the complaint.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future, concerning the Downstream Persons' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code §17200, et seq., as to the Products.

5.3. Block and Office Depot Release of Michael DiPirro. The Defendants waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against DiPirro, and his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by DiPirro and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 or Business & Professions Code §17200, et seq. against the Defendants.

6. SALES DATA

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

The Defendants understand that the sales data that they have provided to counsel for DiPirro was a material factor upon which DiPirro has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in this Agreement. To the best of the Defendants' knowledge, the sales data provided is true and accurate. In the event that DiPirro discovers facts which demonstrate to a reasonable degree of certainty that the sales data is materially inaccurate, the parties shall meet in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter within ten (10) days of the Defendants' receipt of notice from DiPirro of his intent to challenge the accuracy of the sales data. If this good faith attempt fails to resolve DiPirro's concerns, DiPirro shall have the right to re-institute an enforcement action against the Defendants, provided that all sums paid by Block pursuant to Sections 3 and 4 are returned to the Defendants within ten (10) days from the date on which DiPirro notifies Block of his intent to rescind this Agreement. In such case, all applicable statutes of limitation shall be deemed tolled for the period between the date DiPirro filed the instant action and the date DiPirro notifies the Defendants that he is re-instituting the action pursuant to this Paragraph, provided that in no event, shall any statute of limitations be tolled beyond four (4) years from the date this action was filed.

20

21

22

23

7. COURT APPROVAL

If, for any reason, this Consent Judgment is not ultimately approved and entered by the Court, this Agreement shall be deemed null and void.

24

25

26

27

28

8. SEVERABILITY

In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

-14 -

9. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, disputes arising from payments to be made under this Agreement), reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute shall be available to the prevailing party. This provision, however, shall not apply to paragraph 4, whose principles are governed by Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, the Defendants shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

15

16

17

18

19

20

11. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be personally delivered or sent by first-class, registered, certified mail, overnight courier and/or via facsimile transmission (with presentation of facsimile transmission confirmation) addressed as follows:

21

If to Michael DiPirro:

Gregory Sheffer Sheffer & Chanler

Sheffer & Ch

4400 Keller Ave., Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94605

(fax) (510) 577-0747

2324

25

26

If to Block:

Nan Brody, Esq.

Secretary and General Counsel

Block and Company, Inc.

503 Faulconer Way

Charlottesville, Virginia 22903-3487

(fax) (804) 296-8421

27

28

28

If to Office Depot:

Tomas Fernandez, Esq. Senior Corporate Attorney Office Depot, Inc. 200 Old Germantown Road

Delray Beach, Florida 33445 (fax) 561-438-4464

With a copy to:

John E. Dittoe Crosby, Heafey, Roach & May 1999 Harrison Street P.O. Box 2084 Oakland, CA 94604-2084

(fax) (510) 273-8832

Either party, from time to time, may specify a change of address or facsimile number to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

12. **NO ADMISSIONS**

Nothing in this Agreement shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by the Defendants. The Defendants reserve all of their rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this Paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the Defendants obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

13. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT - MODIFICATION**

This Consent Judgment constitutes the entire agreement between the parties relating to the rights and obligations herein granted and assumed, and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings between the parties. This Consent Judgment may be modified only upon the written agreement of the parties and upon entry of a modified or amended Consent Judgment by the Court, or upon motion by any party as provided by law and upon entry of an amended Consent 9622290.1

Judgment by the court.

2

3

1

14. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

4 5

each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together,

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile,

6 shall constitute one and the same document.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

15. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(f)

The Parties acknowledge that the reporting provisions of Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f) apply to this Consent Judgment. Counsel for DiPirro shall comply with that section by submitting the required reporting form to, and serving a copy of this Consent Judgment on, the California Attorney General's Office when noticing the Motion to Approve hearing, if one is required by law. The Parties agree that DiPirro will file a Motion To Approve the Agreement within a reasonable period of time after execution of this Agreement. The Defendants agree to use their best efforts, comporting with reasonable interpretation of existing law, to support the Motion to Approve including the terms of this Agreement and defend the Agreement from any collateral attack by any third party.

19 ////

20 | ////

21 1 ////

22 ////

23 | ////

24 | ////

25 | ////

26 ////

27 | ////

28 | ////

1	18. AUTHORIZATION	
2	The undersigned are authorized to	execute this Consent Judgment on behalf
3	- E	, understood and agree to all of the terms
4	and conditions of stis Consent Judgman	1
5		
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
7		
8	DATE:	DATE: 6549, 2007
9		Block and lowery In
10	Plaintiff Michael DiPirro	Defendent Bleck and Company, Inc.
11		Descripting, Inc.
12	AGREED TO:	†
13		
14	DATE:	
15		
16		
17	Defendant Office Depot, Inc.	•
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
9		21167ED 25 15 15 15 IAI.
20	DATE:	DATE:_
21		
2		•
3	Gregory Sheffer Attomeys for Plaintiff	John E. Dittoe Attorneys for Defendants Block and
4		Company, Inc. and Office Depot, Inc.
5		
.€ 		
7		
8	-18	- \$621290.1
i	CONSENT JUDGMENT WITH BLOCK AND	COMPANY AND OFFICE DEPOT, INC

1	16. AUTHORIZATION		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on beha		
3	of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the term		
4	and conditions of this Consent Judgment.	· .	
5	•		
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
7			
8	DATE:	DATE:	
9			
10	Plaintiff Michael Diffirro	Defendant Black and Company, Inc.	
11			
12	AGREED TO:		
13			
14	DATE: Sostanton 6, 2002		
15	$\sim \Omega$		
16 17	Defendant Office Depet, Inc.)		
18			
9	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
20			
21	DATE:	DATE: Santerles 6 2002	
22		\wedge	
23	Gregory Sheffer	John E. Ditto	
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Attorneys for Defendants Black and Company, Inc. and Office Depot, Inc.	
5	·	Company, inc. and Office Depot, was	
.6			
7			
8.	•		
	B P-		
	TOTALLI SOPONEILI TILLI DOVIN MILO	Separate programmer of the Control o	

P. 1

09/10/02 TUE 11:53 FAX SEP 06 2002 10:39 4m FR

10 77584174#26146*0 P.19

1			
1	16. AUTHORIZATION		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on build		
3	of their respective parties and have road. Understood and agree to all of the turns		
4	and conditions of this Consent Judgment	-	
5			
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
7			
8	DATE: 9/10/02	DATE:	
9	Min SA	,	
10	Plaintiff Michael DiPirro	Detendant Black and Company, Inc.	
11			
12	AGREED TO:		
13	THE IS.		
14	DATC:		
15			
16			
17	Detendant Office Depot, Inc.		
18	ADMANATA AN TA SANIA	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
19	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	CALLA AIDA NIGA TO LOUMI:	
20	DATE	DATE:	
21	DATE:	ALL DI	
22		1	
23	Oregary Sheller	John E. Ditton	
24	Attorneys for Meindin	Accordance for Dolendance Block and Company, Inc. and Office Depart, Inc.	
28			
26.		ţ	
27			
28			
	שמצצושל 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19		

1	16. AUTHORIZATION		
2	The undersigned are authorized	orized to execute this Consent Judgment on beha	
3	of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the term		
4	and conditions at this Consent J	ludgrment.	
5		·	
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
7	İ		
8	DATE:	DATE:	
9			
10	Plaintiff Michael DiPirro	Detendant Blook and Company, Inc.	
11			
12	AGREED TO:		
13			
14	DATE:		
15			
16	Detendant Office Depot, Inc.		
17	Delaidan Onice Dopot, no.		
18	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
19			
20	DATE: 9/6/02	DATE:	
21	0 00	<u>)_</u>	
22		<u> </u>	
23	Gragory Shotter Attorneys for Plaintitt	John E. Dittoe Attorneys for Defendants Block and	
24	·	Company, Inc. and Office Depart, Inc.	
26			
27			
28			
	-18 -		
- 1	CONSERT JUDIMENT WITH BLUCK AND COMPANY AND DEFICE DEPOY, INC.		

EXHIBIT A - BLOCK and OFFICE DEPOT Lead Seals, including, but not limited to: Red Prong 1. Blue Universal 2. 3. Red Universal **Red Serrated** 4. 5. Pin Wire Lead Seal with 6" Wire Wire Lead Seal with 8" Wire 6. 7.

-19 CONSENT JUDGMENT WITH BLOCK AND COMPANY AND OFFICE DEPOT, INC