, ,	HIDGE & CHANGED LLD		
1	HIRST & CHANLER LLP GEORGE W. DOWELL (Bar No. 234759)		
2	D. JOSHUA VOORHEES (Bar No. 241436) 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710		
3			
4	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8110		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.		
7	DOWNEY BRAND LLP ROBERT P. SORAN (Bar No. 169577)		
8	555 Capitol Mall, Tenth Floor		
9	Sacramento, CA 95814-4686 Telephone: (916) 444-1000		
10	Facsimile: (916) 444-2100		
11	Attorneys for Defendants NUGGET MARKET, INC. And STILLE CO.		
12	And STILLE CO.		
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
14	FOR THE CITY AND C	OUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
16			
17	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.,	CASE NO. [CASE NO.]	
18	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT	
19	v.	——————————————————————————————————————	
20	NUGGET MARKET, INC.; STILLE CO.;		
21	and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	831744.4	1	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		

1. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. And Nugget Market, Inc. 1.1

This Stipulation for Consent Judgment ("Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. (hereinafter "Leeman") on the one hand, and Nugget Market, Inc., and Stille Co., (cumulative hereinafter "Nugget") on the other hand, with Leeman and Nugget individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 **Plaintiff**

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 **Defendants**

Nugget Market, Inc. employs ten (10) or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Proposition 65.

1.4 **General Allegations**

Leeman alleges, inter alia, that Nugget has stocked, distributed and/or sold in the State of California certain (a) glass soda bottles intended for the consumption of food or beverages with colored artwork or designs (that contain lead) on the exterior; (b) oil bottles and other glass containers intended for the consumption of food and/or beverages with colored artwork or designs (that contain lead) on the exterior; and (c) mugs and other ceramic containers intended for the consumption of food or beverage with colored artwork or designs (that contain lead) on the exterior. Lead is listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65"), as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead shall be referred to herein as the "Listed Chemical."

1.5 **Covered Products**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: glass soda bottles intended for the consumption of food or beverages with artwork, designs, or markings (that contain lead) on the exterior; glass oil bottles and other glass containers intended for the 831744.4

28

831744.4

consumption of food and/or beverages with exterior with artwork, designs, or markings (that contain lead) on the exterior; and mugs and other ceramic containers intended for the consumption of food or beverage with artwork, designs, or markings (that contain lead) on the exterior. The products covered by this Consent Judgment include, but are not limited to, the products identified in Exhibit A to this Consent Judgment. The above-referenced items shall collectively be referred to herein as the "Products."

1.6 Notices of Violation

On or about July 14, 2006, Leeman served Nugget and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided Nugget and such public enforcers with notice alleging, inter alia, that Nugget was in violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn consumers and employees that certain glass bottled sodas that Nugget distributed, stored, and /or made for sale exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical. Then, on or about November 7, 2006, Leeman served Nugget with a "Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation" alleging the presence of the Listed Chemical in certain other glassware and ceramic products that Nugget distributed, stored and/or made for sale to consumers in California without appropriate warnings, causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of California Health & Safety Code §25249.6. The July 14, 2006, notice and the November 7, 2006, notice shall collectively be referred to herein as the "Notices."

1.7 Complaint

On March 14, 2007, Leeman, who is acting in the interest of the general public in California, will file a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco against Nugget Market, Inc., Stille Co., and Does 1 through 150, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold by Defendant.

1.8 No Admission

Nugget denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Leeman's Notices and maintains that all products that it has distributed, stored and/or sold in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Accordingly, nothing in this

Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Nugget of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Nugget of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of 4 law, such being specifically denied by Nugget. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Nugget under this Consent Judgment. 1.9 **Consent to Jurisdiction** For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment. 1.10 **Effective Date** For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean March 14, 2007. 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION 2.1 After the Effective Date, Nugget shall not knowingly sell Products containing the Listed Chemical unless such Products are sold with the clear and reasonable warnings set out in Section 2.2 or comply with the Reformulation Standards set forth in Section 2.3. 2.2 **Product Warnings** 2.2.1 Clear and Reasonable Warnings. This Section describes Nugget's options for satisfying the warning obligations required by Section 2.1, depending, in part, on the manner of sale of the Product: **Retail Store Sales** (a) **(i) Product Labeling.** A warning may be given by affixing the following language to either the packaging, labeling or directly on the Product by Nugget or its agent, the manufacturer, the decorator, the importer, or the distributor that states: /// $/\!/\!/$

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

831744.4

1	WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the		
2	exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.		
3	•		
4	Any warning issued for Products pursuant to this subsection 2.2.1(a)(i) shall be		
5	prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements,		
6	designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual		
7	under customary conditions prior to purchase or use.		
8	Any changes to the manner, delivery, language or format of the warning required by this		
9	subsection 2.2.1 (a)(i), above, shall only be made following: (1) written approval from the		
10	California Attorney General's Office; or (2) Court approval.		
11	(ii) Point-of-Sale Warnings. Nugget may perform its warning		
12	obligations by insuring that signs are posted at its retail outlets in the State of California where the		
13	Products are sold. Point-of-sale warnings shall be provided through one or more signs posted in		
14	close proximity to the point of display of the Products that state:		
15	WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical		
16	known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.		
17			
18	A point-of-sale warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer understand		
19	to which specific Products the warning applies.		
20	Any warning issued for Products pursuant to this subsection 2.2.1(a)(ii) above shall be		
21	prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements,		
22	designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual		
23	under customary conditions prior to purchase or use.		
24	Any changes to the manner, delivery, language or format of the warning required by this		
25	Section 2.2.1(a)(ii), above, shall only be made following: (1) written approval from the Californi		
26	Attorney General's Office; or (2) Court approval.		
27	(iii) Supplied Warnings. Nugget may perform its warning		
28	obligations by prominently displaying any warning in either of the manners set forth in		
	831744.4		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

subsections 2.2.1(i) and (ii) above that are provided by the manufacturer or distributor of the 1 2 Products. 2.2.2 Exceptions 3 The warning requirements set forth in Section 2.2.1, above, shall not apply to: 4 (a) "Reformulated Products" (as defined in Section 2.3 below); or 5 (b) any Products with a warning affixed to the packaging, labeling or direction for the 6 7 Products supplied to Nugget by any other person or entity in the course of doing business who is 8 subject to a private settlement agreement or final judgment in an action brought by Leeman, Mr. 9 Russell Brimmer, or a public enforcer whose action was brought on behalf of the People of the 10 State of California addressing Proposition 65 warning obligations arising from alleged exposures 11 to lead from glass and/or ceramic products with artwork, designs, or markings on the exterior containing lead. 12 13 2.3 Reformulation Standards 14 The Products that are glass shall be deemed "Reformulated Products" and to comply with 15 Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements under Sections 2.2, 16 by meeting the respective standards for glassware or ceramic products as outlined in this Section 17 2.3. 18 2.3.1 **Glassware Reformulation Standards:** 19 The Products that are glass shall be deemed "Reformulated Products" and to 20 comply with Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements under 21 Sections 2.2 by meeting the standards outlined in subsection 2.3.1 (a) or (b): 22 **Decorating Material Content-Based Standard**. The Products 23 that are glass must only utilize Exterior Decorations that contain six one-hundredths of one 24 percent (0.06%) or less of lead by weight; and there must be "No Detectable Lead" in the lip-25 1 "Exterior Decorations" is defined as all colored artwork, designs and/or markings on the exterior surface of the 26 Product. ² "No Detectable Lead" shall mean that lead is not detected at a level above two one-hundredths of one percent 27 (0.02%) of lead by weight, using a test method of sufficient sensitivity to establish a limit of quantization of less than 200 ppm. 28 6 831744.4

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	and-rim area.		
2.	b. Wipe Test-Based Standard. The Products that are glass must		
3	produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead as applied to the Exterior		
4	Decorations and performed as outline in NIOSH method 9100.4		
5	2.3.2 Ceramic Product Reformulation Standards:		
6	The Products that are ceramic shall be deemed "Reformulated Products" and to		
7	comply with Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements unde		
8	Sections 2.2, by meeting the standards outlined in subsection 2.3.2 (a), (b), or (c):		
9	a. Decorating Material Content-Based Standard. The Products		
10	that are ceramic must only utilize Exterior Decorations that contain six one-hundredths of one		
11	percent (0.06%) or less of lead by weight; and there must be "No Detectable Lead" in the lip-an		
12	rim area.		
13	b. Wipe Test-Based Standard. The Products that are ceramic must		
14	produce a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead as applied to the Exterior		
15	Decorations and performed as outline in NIOSH method 9100.5		
16	c. Total Acetic-Acid Immersion Test Based Standard. Those		
17	Products that are ceramic must achieve a result of 0.99 ppm or less for lead after correction for		
18	internal volume when tested under the protocol set forth in the ASTM C927-80 test method,		
19	modified for total immersion with resulted corrected for internal volume. ⁶		
20	3. MONETARY PAYMENTS		
21	3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)		
22	In settlement of all the Claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, pursuant to Health &		
23	"Lip and rim area" is defined as the exterior top 20 millimeters of a hollowware food or beverage Product.		
24	⁴ If the decoration is tested after it is affixed to the Product, the percentage of the Listed Chemical by weight must		
25	relate only to the decorating material and must not include, to the extent reasonably possible, any quantity attributable to non-decorating material (e.g., the glass substrate).		
26	⁵ If the decoration is tested after it is affixed to the Product, the percentage of the Listed Chemical by weight must relate only to the decorating material and must not include, to the extent reasonably possible, any quantity attributable to non-decorating material (e.g., the ceramic substrate).		
27 28	⁶ Because this method requires correction for internal volume, this method and subsection 2.3.2 c) are only appropriate for ceramic hollowware Products.		
	831744.4		

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

10

11 12

13

15

14

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

831744.4

Safety Code §25249.7(b), Nugget shall pay a total of Four Thousand Dollars (\$4,000.00) in civil penalties. Within twenty (20) days after the execution of this Consent Judgment by all Parties, Nugget shall deposit said funds into an account held by counsel for Nugget. These funds shall be released by Nugget's counsel within seven (7) days following Nugget's receipt of notice of the approval and entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court. The released funds shall be made payable to "HIRST & CHANLER LLP in Trust For Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D." and shall be delivered to Leeman's counsel at the following address:

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

3.2 **Apportionment of Penalties Received**

All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Leeman in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with Seventy-Five Percent (75%) of these funds remitted by Leeman to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining Twenty-Five Percent (25%) of these penalty monies retained by Leeman as provided by Health & Safety Code §25249.12(d). Leeman shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this Section.

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The Parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Under the private attorney general doctrine, Nugget shall reimburse Leeman and her counsel for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Nugget's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Nugget shall pay Leeman and her counsel a total of Twenty Two Thousand Dollars (\$22,000.00) for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and related costs. Within twenty (20) days of the execution of this Consent Judgment, Nugget shall deposit said funds into an account held by counsel for Nugget. These funds shall be

	١	L		
,)	į	
	•		•	

_

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

approval and entry of this Consent Judgment by the Court. The released funds shall be made payable to "HIRST & CHANLER LLP" and shall be delivered to the following address:

released by Nugget's counsel within seven (7) days following Nugget's receipt of notice of the

HIRST & CHANLER LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Nugget shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Leeman's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products or this Action.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Release of Nugget

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Leeman, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby forever waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Nugget and each of their respective parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, predecessors, successors, corporate affiliates, downstream distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees") arising under or derived from Proposition 65 and/or California Business & Professions Code Section 17200 et seq. (the "Unfair Competition Act"), related to Nugget or Releasees' alleged exposure and/or the alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of one or more of the Listed

Chemicals contained in the Exterior Decorations on the Products manufactured, decorated, imported, stocked, distributed, sold, or offered for use or sale by Nugget or Releasees.

The Parties understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any: (1) entities that manufactured the Products, including but not limited to Dr. Pepper Bottling Company of West Jefferson North Carolina, Cramer Ventures Inc., and Westwood International, or any component parts thereof; or (2) any distributors or suppliers unrelated to Nugget who sold the Products, including but not limited to Blue Dog Sodas, or any component parts thereof to Nugget, except insofar as such entities in (1) or (2) above are a settling Party hereto.

The Parties also understand and agree that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any direct or derivative violation of Proposition 65 and/or the Unfair Competition Act that has been or could have been asserted against Nugget or the Releasees' alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of one or more Listed Chemicals contained in the Exterior Decorations on the Products manufactured, decorated, imported, stocked, distributed, sold, or offered for use or sale by the Releasees.

The Parties further understand and agree that Nugget's compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Nugget complies with the terms of this Consent Judgment), concerning Nugget and Releasees and constitutes its full and complete compliance with Proposition 65, as to the Listed Chemicals contained in or otherwise associated with the use of the Products.

Leeman hereby represents and warrants that neither she nor her counsel know of or have any intention or basis of pursuing any similar violations or otherwise bring any additional claims or actions against Nugget or its Releasees for any alleged violations of Proposition 65 or the Unfair Competition Act.

In furtherance of the foregoing, Leeman hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which she now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon her by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO

831744 4

///

831744.4

EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Leeman, having consulted with counsel and being aware and familiar with the terms of California Civil Code Section 1542, hereby expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights or benefits she may have under this section, as well as any other statutes or common law principles of similar effect, with respect to any and all matters released under this Agreement.

5.2 Nugget Release of Leeman

Nugget waives any and all claims against Leeman, her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives prior to the date this Consent Judgment is executed by the Parties hereto, whether in the course of investigating claims or seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against Nugget in this matter and/or with respect to the Products.

6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. In the event this Consent Judgment becomes null and void, Nugget's counsel shall return any and all monies being held pursuant to Sections 3 and/or 4 above back to Nugget.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

8. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that, after the execution of this Consent Judgment, a dispute arises between the Parties with respect to any provision of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to its attorney's fees and costs incurred in connection with such dispute.

9. GOVERNING LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Nugget shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

10. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To Nugget:

Eric Stille Nugget Market, Inc. 168 Court Street Woodland, CA 95696

Robert P. Soran, Esq. Downey Brand LLP 555 Capitol Mall, 10th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814

To Leeman:

Proposition 65 Controller HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

10.1 Subsequent Notices of Alleged Violation

In the event that Leeman and/or her attorneys, agents, assigns or other persons acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d), identifies an alleged violation

831744.4

1	I
2	
3	
4	
5	l
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

23

24

25

26

27

28

of Section 2 of this Consent Judgment, they shall notify Nugget of such alleged violation in writing via Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, such notice being sent as provided in this section of this Consent Judgment, within thirty (30) days of the date that the alleged violation was discovered. The notice shall identify the date that the alleged violation was discovered, and the nature of the alleged violation with sufficient details so as to allow Nugget to determine the basis of the alleged violation being claimed and the identities of the products involved. Nugget shall have thirty (30) days after receipt of notice of the alleged violation to investigate and take corrective action (if any is necessary) with respect to such alleged violation ("Corrective Period"). Within forty-five (45) days from receipt of the notice, Nugget shall provide Dr. Leeman and her counsel identified in this section, in addition to the noticing party (if it is not Dr. Leeman and her counsel), stating the results of its investigation, and what corrective efforts it has made, if any, to address the alleged violation ("Response Period"). During the forty-five (45) day Response Period, Leeman and/or her attorneys, agents, assigns or other persons acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) are prohibited from moving to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment or bringing any other action of any kind relating to or arising out of the alleged violations. If the investigation and any corrective action (if any is necessary) to address the alleged violations are completed by Nugget within the thirty (30) day corrective period provided in this Section 10.1, no action of any kind to enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment or any other action, including any action for recovery of any penalties or fees, may be brought by Leeman and/or her attorneys, agents, assigns or other persons acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d).

11. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

12. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f).

13. ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Leeman and Nugget agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, Parties agrees to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first and complete draft of which Leeman's counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (i.e., not to exceed twenty (20) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Leeman's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, *inter alia*, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to section 4. Nugget shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion or with regard to Leeman's counsel appearing for a hearing thereon.

14. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

15. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

[SIGNATURE PAGE TO FOLLOW]

831744.4 14

1	
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
0100160	
By: White Cement Plaintiff, WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	Date:
By: (1) hithurs (search	Bv:
Plaintiff, WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By:
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Date:	Date:
HIRST & CHANLER LLP	DOWNEY BRAND LLP
By: George W. Dowell, Esq.	By: Robert P. Soran, Esq.
By: George W. Dowell, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	Attorneys for Defendants NUGGET MARKET, INC.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Date:	Hon.
	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
K1 743.4	15
	ORDER RE: CONSENT JUIXGMENT

1		
2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
3	Date:	Date: 3/13/07
4		- III Soll
5	By: Plaintiff, WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By: Defendant, NUGGET MARKET, INC.
6 7	ADDROVED AC TO FORM.	ADDOVED AS TO FORM.
	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
8	Date: 3/30/2007	Date: 3/14/07
10	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	DOWNEY BRAND LLP
11	By: Menze W. Doull's	Du (Xe) X
12	George/W. Dowell, Esq.	Robert P. Soran, Esq.
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	Attorneys for Defendants NUGGET MARKET, INC.
14		
15		
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Date:	Hon.
18		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	831744.4 15	5
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] O	RDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT

1			
2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
3 4	Date:	Date: 3 13 07	
5	By: Plaintiff, WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By: Defendant, NUGGET MARKET, INC.	
6 7	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
8	Date:	Date: 3/14/07	
10	HIRST & CHANLER LLP	DOWNEY BRAND LLP	
11 12 13	By: George W. Dowell, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.	By: Robert P. Soran, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants NUGGET MARKET, INC.	
14 15	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
16 17	Date:	Hon.	
18 19		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
28			
	831744.4	5	
\	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT		

EXHIBIT A The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: glass soda bottles intended for the consumption of food or beverages with artwork, designs, or markings (containing lead) on the exterior; glass oil bottles and other glass containers intended for the consumption of food and/or beverages with exterior with artwork, designs, or markings (containing lead) on the exterior and; mugs and other ceramic containers intended for the consumption of food or beverage with artwork, designs, or markings (containing lead) on the exterior including, but not limited to: 1. Green River Soda, 12 fl. oz. (#7370711300); 2. Oil Bottle - 200 ml Herbs (#7 09729 72004 5); and 3. Java Latte Mug (#0 70515 23290 6)

8317444 1 16