1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Gregory M. Sheffer, State Bar No. 173124 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER Jeffrey B. Margulies, State Bar No. 126002	
8	FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, L.L.P. 555 South Flower Street	
9	Forty-First Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071	
10	Telephone: (213) 892-9200 Facsimile: (213) 892-9494	
11	Attorneys for Defendant OFFICE DEPOT, INC.	
12	OTTICE DEI OT, INC.	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
15	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
16		
17	RUSSELL BRIMER,) Case No. CGC-10-496051
18	Plaintiff,)
19	V.) CONSENT TO JUDGMENT
20	OFFICE DEPOT, INC., et al.,))
21	Defendants.	
22))
23)
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER	CONSENT TO JU	DGMENT

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The Parties

This Consent To Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and defendant Office Depot, Inc. ("Office Depot" or "Defendant"), with Brimer and Office Depot collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 **Plaintiff**

Brimer is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 **Defendant**

Office Depot employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that Office Depot manufactures, distributes, and/or sells in the State of California colored, vinyl-coated paper fasteners that expose users to lead without first providing a "clear and reasonable warning" under Proposition 65. Lead is listed as a reproductive and developmental toxicant pursuant to Proposition 65. Office Depot denies Brimer's allegations.

1.5 **Notice of Violation**

On August 14, 2009, Brimer served Office Depot and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn individuals in California of exposures to lead contained in colored, vinyl-coated paper fasteners sold by Office Depot ("Notice"). No public enforcer has commenced or diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

2 3

4

6

7

5

8 9

10

11 12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1.6 Complaint

On January 15, 2010, Plaintiff filed an action against Office Depot entitled Brimer v. Office Depot Inc., Case No. CGC-10-496051, alleging Proposition 65 violations as to vinylcoated paper fasteners ("Complaint").

1.7 No Admission

This Consent To Judgment resolves allegations and claims that are denied and disputed by Office Depot. The Parties enter into this Consent To Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties, as they relate to lead in Covered Products, as defined in Section 2.2 below. Office Depot denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that Covered Products it has distributed and/or sold in California have been and are in compliance with all applicable laws. Nothing in this Consent To Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Office Depot of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent To Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by any party of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Office Depot's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

Consent to Jurisdiction 1.8

For purposes of this Consent To Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Office Depot as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco, and that this Court has, and will retain, jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent To Judgment pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

2. **Definitions**

2.1 "Lead Standard" means a maximum concentration of lead of 300 ppm in the vinyl coating of a Covered Product when analyzed pursuant to any methodology utilized or approved

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

by federal or California state regulatory agencies for the purpose of determining specific lead content in a solid substance.

- 2.2 "Covered Products" means vinyl-coated paper clips.
- 2.3 "Effective Date" means the date on which a judgment based on this Consent To Judgment is entered by the Court.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF — REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS

3.1 **Notification to Suppliers and Testers of Covered Products.**

No later than 30 days after the Effective Date, Office Depot shall provide the Lead Standard to its then-current suppliers of Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale in California if it has not already done so within the past 12 months prior to the Effective Date.

Office Depot shall also implement its own testing protocol for purposes of performing, or causing to be performed, analytical chemical analysis on Covered Products for compliance with the Lead Standard. No later than 30 days after the Effective Date, Office Depot shall provide the testing protocol to its then-current regulatory compliance testing laboratory for the Covered Products if it has not already done so within the past 12 months prior to the Effective Date.

3.2 **Lead in Covered Products.**

Commencing on January 1, 2012, Office Depot shall not manufacture, purchase, or import, or cause to be manufactured, purchased or imported, for sale in California, any Covered Product that does not comply with the Lead Standard.

4. **ENFORCEMENT**

4.1 A Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. A Party may file such a motion or application or bring a new enforcement action over a Covered Product only thirty (30) days after that Party first provides notice to the Party allegedly failing to comply with the terms and conditions of this Consent To Judgment and attempts to resolve such Party's failure to comply in an open and good faith manner during this thirty (30) day period.

5.

5.1

2

4

5 6

7

89

11 12

10

- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

20

- 21
- 2223
- 24
- 25
- 26

27

28

MONETARY PAYMENTS

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Office Depot then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed in this matter, except fees that may be incurred on appeal. Under these legal principles, Office Depot shall pay the amount of \$212,500.00 for fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet

Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

Office Depot shall make a payment of \$25,000.00 to be apportioned in accordance with

Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds

earmarked for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these penalty monies earmarked for Brimer.

5.3 **Payment Procedures**

Judgment in the public interest.

5.3.1 **Funds Held In Trust:** All payments required by Sections 5.1 and 5.2 shall delivered on or before March 1, 2012, to either The Chanler Group or the attorney of record for Office Depot, and shall be held in trust pending the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment. If Office Depot elects to deliver payments to its attorney of record, such attorney of record shall: (a) confirm in writing within five days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account; and (b) within two days of the date of the hearing on which the Court approves

to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent To

1	the Consent Judgment, deliver the payment to The Chanler Group in three separate checks	
2	Payments delivered to The Chanler Group shall be made payable, as follows:	
3	(a) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for	
4	OEHHA" in the amount of \$18,750.00;	
5	(b) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for	
6	Brimer" in the amount of \$6,250.00; and	
7	(c) One check made payable to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of	
8	\$212,500.00.	
9	5.3.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms. After the Consent To Judgment has been	
10	approved and the settlement funds have been transmitted to plaintiff's counsel, Office Depot shall	
11	issue three separate 1099 forms, as follows:	
12	(a) The first 1099 shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health	
13	Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-	
14	0284486) in the amount of \$18,750.00;	
15	(b) The second 1099 shall be issued to Brimer in the amount of	
16	\$6,250.00, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished	
17	upon request; and	
18	(c) The third 1099 shall be issued to The Chanler Group (EIN: 94-	
19	3171522) in the amount of \$212,500.00.	
20	5.3.3 Payment Address: All payments to the Chanler Group shall be delivered	
21	to the following payment address:	
22	The Chanler Group	
23	Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street	
24	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710	
25	6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE	
26		
27	6.1 This Consent To Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between Brimer	
28	and Office Depot and its subsidiaries, affiliates, sister and related companies, employees,	

shareholders, directors, insurers, attorneys, successors, assigns, licensees, and licensors (collectively "Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted against Office Depot and Defendant Releasees regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead in Covered Products manufactured, sourced, distributed, or sold by Office Depot prior to the Effective Date.

- 6.2 In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, the injunctive relief commitments set forth in Section 3, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Section 5, Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Office Depot and Defendant Releasees. This release is limited to those Claims that arise under Proposition 65 with respect to lead in Covered Products.
- 6.3 Plaintiff also, in his individual capacity *only* and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all Claims, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposure of California consumers to lead in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Office Depot and Defendant Releasees.
- 6.4 Office Depot waives any and all claims against Plaintiff, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiff and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter with respect to the Claims resolved and released in Sections 6.1 through 6.3.

ON RECYCLED PAPER

1

2

- 5
- 6 7
- 8 9
- 11 12

10

- 13
- 14 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

27

26

- By this Consent To Judgment and upon its approval, the Parties waive their right to trial on the merits, and waive rights to seek appellate review of any and all interim rulings, including all pleading, procedural, and discovery orders.
- 8.2 Brimer shall file a motion seeking approval of this Consent To Judgment pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f), and seeking entry of a judgment upon approval of the Consent Judgment. Office Depot shall employ reasonable best efforts to support the entry of such an order approving the Consent To Judgment and to support entry of Judgment.
- 8.3 If this Consent To Judgment is not approved by the Court, including a period of reasonable opportunity to cure or correct actual, alleged or identified impediments to approval, and any party to the Consent To Judgment provides thirty (30) days of express, written notice to the other parties of its conclusion that this Section 8.3 is triggered, (a) this Consent To Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the parties shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent To Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent To Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

9. MODIFICATION OR AMENDMENT

This Consent To Judgment may be modified or amended only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a Stipulation and Order by the court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion or application of any Party pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure § 1005 or California Rule of Court, Rule 3.1200, which motion or application shall not be unreasonably opposed unless a material term affecting the opposing Party is at issue.

10. OTHER TERMS

- 10.1 The terms of this Consent To Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 10.2 This Consent To Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Brimer and Office Depot and its divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the Parties' successors or assigns.
- 10.3 This Consent To Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter set forth in this Consent Judgment, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are deemed merged. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth in this Consent Judgment. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent To Judgment have been made by any Party. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced in this Consent Judgment, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent To Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent To Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 10.4 Nothing in this Consent To Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that Office Depot might have against any other party.
- 10.5 The stipulations to this Consent To Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (.pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 10.6 Each signatory to this Consent To Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent To Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent To Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.

Civil Code Section 1654.11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

11.1 Should Brimer prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, or successfully oppose any motion, application for an order to show cause or other proceeding to modify this Consent Judgment, he shall be entitled to his reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. Should Office Depot prevail on any motion, application for an order to show cause or other proceeding, it may be awarded its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs as a result of such motion or application upon a finding by the court that Brimer's defense of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure Section 2016, *et seq*.

The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this

Consent To Judgment and this Consent To Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the

Parties. This Consent To Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and

has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly,

any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent To Judgment shall not be interpreted against

any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to

this Consent To Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that

ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the

interpretation of this Consent To Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California

- 11.2 Except as provided in Sections 5 and 11.1 of this Consent Judgment, or with regard to an appeal brought by a third party, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs in connection with the Notices and Complaint through entry of final judgment.
- 11.3 Nothing in this Section 11 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

IT IS SO STIPULATED AND AGREED: AGREED TO: **AGREED TO:** Russell Brimer 1.1

-11-

DOCUMENT PREPARED ON RECYCLED PAPER