```
1
      Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534
      CHANLER LAW GROUP
  2
      71 Elm Street, Suite 8
      New Canaan, CT 06840
  3
      Telephone: (203) 966-9911
      Facsimile: (203) 801-5222
  4
  S
      Daniel Bornstein, State Bar No. 181711
      Larahe S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319
  6
      PARAS LAW GROUP
      655 Redwood Highway, Suite 216
  7
      Mill Valley, CA 94941
      Telephone: (415) 380-9222
Facsimile: (415) 380-9223
 8
 9
      Christopher Martin, State Bar No. 186021
      MARTIN LAW GROUP
10
      23 N. Lincoln, Suite 204
      Hinsdale, IL 60521
11
      Telephone: (630) 789-6998
12
      Facsimile: (630) 214-0979
13
      Attorneys for Plaintiff
      Russell Brimer
14
15
                       SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16
                                  COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
17
                                   UNLIMITED JURISDICTION
18
19
20
     RUSSELL BRIMER.
                                                      Case No.
                                                                  CGC-05-440811
                                                      STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF
                          Plaintiff.
21
                                                      JUDGMENT
22
            ٧,
23
     THE BOELTER COMPANIES, et al.,
24
                          Defendants.
25
26
27
28
                       STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT
                                      SFSC CASE NO. CGC 05-440811
     ±€-2012773
     sf-2012773
```

- 1. The following constitutes the knowing and voluntary election and stipulation of the entity named below ("Company" or "Opt-In Defendant") to join as a Settling Defendant under the Consent Judgment previously entered by the Court in *Brimer v. The Boelter Companies*, *Inc.*, San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC 05 -440811 ("Action") and to be bound by the terms of that Consent Judgment.
- 2. At any time during the one-year period prior to the filing of this Stipulation ("Relevant Period"), the Company has employed ten (10) or more part-time or full-time persons and has manufactured, distributed, offered for use or sold one or more items in each of the following categories of Covered Products, as defined in the Consent Judgment (section 1.4) (check all that apply):
 - □ Glassware Food/Beverage Products ("Category A Products")
 - Glassware Non-Food/Beverage Products ("Category C Products")
 - Ceramicware Food/Beverage Products ("Category B Products")
 - Ceramicware Non-Food/Beverage Products ("Category D Products")
- The categories of products identified above are hereafter designated "Covered Products" in the Action with respect to the Company.
- 4. At least one of the items in each of the categories checked above did not during the Relevant Period or does not currently meet the Reformulation Standards set forth for that category of Covered Products in section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment. The Company has not provided compliant Proposition 65 warnings in conjunction with the sale or use of all such Covered Products in California at all times during the Relevant Period.
- 5. The Company has not conducted a risk or exposure assessment for all Covered Products within each separate category checked above firmly establishing that the use of such Covered Products will result in an exposure in an amount less than that deemed permissible in 22 Cal. Code Regs. §12805(b) (i.e., less than 0.5 micrograms of lead per day and/or less than 4.1 micrograms of cadmium per day).

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT SPSC CASE NO. CGC 05-4408 I

sf-2012773

26

27

28

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

To the extent the Consent Judgment applies to the categories of Covered Product
checked above, the Company agrees to be bound by the injunctive relief provisions of the
Consent Judgment as it relates to each such category of Covered Products.

- 7. In conjunction with the execution of this Stipulation, the Company has provided the payments applicable to it as set forth in Table 14.4 of the Consent Judgment in the manner described in Exhibit E to the Consent Judgment. In this regard, the Company hereby represents and warrants that under the criteria set forth in subsections 14.4(a), (b), and (c) of the Consent Judgment, with respect to the Covered Products applicable to it pursuant to the categories checked in Paragraph 2 of this Stipulation, it is a (check only one).
 - (a) Manufacturer with combined sales in California of less than 350,000 consumer units in calendar year 2004
 - (a.1) Low Volume Manufacturer with combined sales in California of less than 10,000 consumer units in calendar year 2004
 - (b) Distributor and/or Importer with combined sales in California of less than
 350,000 consumer units in calendar year 2004
 - © (b.1) Low Volume Distributor and/or Importer with combined sales in California of less than 10,000 consumer units in calendar year 2004
 - (c) Retailer and/or Amusement & Recreation Establishment
 - (d) Bar, Restaurant, Hotel, or Other Food/Beverage Service Defendant
 - (e) Opt-In Defendant with De Minimus Sules, i.e., combined sales in California of less than 500 consumer units in calendar year 2004 (attach to this Stipulation a list of the names of all product lines (by narrative description and, where available, UPC code) comprising these consumer units of Covered Products).

STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT SFSC CASE NO. CGC 05-440811

sf-2012773

Any entity which has conducted activities which comprise more than one of the categories of business listed in (a)-(d) below shall be deemed to be a Manufacturer if 15% or more of its sales of Covered Products in California were the result of its Manufacturing of Covered Products; any entity otherwise in categories (c) or (d) shall be deemed to be a Distributor/Importer if 15% or more of its sales of Covered Products in California were the result of its Distributing/Importing of Covered Products.

8. At least 65 days prior to the submissions of this Stipulation to the Court for entry, 1 2 provided that it has been mailed to the address shown in Exhibit C attached hereto, the 3 Company agrees to be deemed to have accepted service of a 60-day notice letter from Russell Brimer ("Brimer") alleging certain violations of Proposition 65 with respect to sales of the 4 S Covered Products identified herein. 6 9. The Company hereby stipulates to be deemed to have voluntarily accepted service 7 of the summons and complaint in this Action upon the filing of this Stipulation and agrees to 8 be subject to the jurisdiction of the Court for purposes of the Consent Judgment. 9 10. Future notices concerning this Stipulation and the Consent Judgment shall be 10 provided to the Company at the address shown in Exhibit C as attached hereto. If the Company desires to change the individual and/or address designated to receive notice on its H 12 behalf, the Company shall provide notice to Brimer and Boelter's counsel at the addresses for 13 there listed in Exhibit C to the Consent Judgment. 14 The undersigned have read, and the person and/or entity named below 11. knowingly and voluntarily agree to be bound by, all terms and conditions of this Stipulation and the Consent Judgment as previously approved and entered by the San Francisco County Superior Court in this Action. ///// 11111 11111 ///// 11111 11111 11111 !!!!! 11111

> STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT SFSC CASE NO. CGC 01-440811

sf-2012773

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

12. The undersigned have full authority to make the written representations above 1 2 and to enter into this Stipulation for the person/entity on behalf of which he/she is signing. 3 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED TO: 4 5 6 7 On Behalf of Plaintiff Russell Brimer 8 9 10 On Behalf of: 11 12 13 Perfumania, Inc. (Insert Company Name) 14 Opt-In Defendant 15 16 17 18 19 20 products. 21

* As a point of clarification, for purposes of this scipulation, the term Cover Products, as qualified by Section 1.5 of the Consent Judgment, includes only those Covered Products containing lead and/or cadmium and which would not qualify as a Reformulated Product under section 2.3 of the Consent Judgment. However, the release in section 5 of the Consent Judgment and the injunctive relief provisions in section 2 of the Consent Judgment apply to all Category C

> STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT SESC CASE NO. CGC 05-440811

sf-2012773

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

			Qty Sold 2504
3ku Number	Description - Je Riviens	Start	2004
1.00000	Je Riviens W EDT/S 1.7	21	23
105035		44	4
105035		45	2
105035		78	2
105035	•	83	Š
105035	<u> </u>	121	6
105035	•	128	1.
105035	-	148	2
105035	<u> </u>		7
105035	<u>.</u>	185	4
105035	_	174	
105035	•	185	2
105034	79	205	<u> </u>
105035	-	220	2
105035	•	241	4
105035	•	242	3
105035	•	283	5
105035	•	330	1
105035	•	360	4
108035	•	378	1
	Total	_	83
143914	Je Riviens Women Set	21	1
143914	•	43	2
143914	•	44	5
143914	-	45	<u> </u>
142814	•	78	7
143914	•	121	3
143914	. •	128	3
143914	-	148	4
143914	•	1 6 5	\$
143874	•	174	11
143914	. •	185	5
143914	•	205	5
143914	*	<u>22</u> 0	1
143914	•	241	3
143914	•	242	3
143914	•	283	12
143914	•	360	2
143914	•	378	8
()-	Total		90
	- 2	_	
	TOTAL		126