	il and the second of the secon					
1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership					
2	A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations STEPHEN J. O'NEIL, CAL. BAR NO. 127120					
3	333 South Hope Street, 48th Floor					
4	Los Angeles, California 90071 Tel: (213) 620-1780 Fax: (213) 620-1398					
5						
6	Attorneys for Defendant PIER 1 IMPORTS, INC.					
7						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA					
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY SAN FRANCISCO					
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNT I SAN FRANCISCO					
11	·					
12	MICHAEL DIPIRRO,) Case No. CGC-02-413100 [Complaint Filed: October 3, 2002]					
13	Plaintiff, CONSENT JUDGMENT					
14	v. Constitt joddiment					
15	PIER 1 IMPORTS, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,					
16	Defendants.					
17	Defendants.					
18						
19	This Consent Judgment ("Agreement" or "Consent Judgment") is entered into					
20	by and between Michael DiPirro and PIER 1 IMPORTS (U.S.), INC., a subsidiary of Pier 1					
21	Imports, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "PIER 1"), as of December 6, 2002, (the "Effective					
22	Date"). The parties agree to the following terms and conditions:					
23						
24	WHEREAS:					
25	A. Michael DiPirro is an individual residing in San Francisco, California,					
26	who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health					
27	by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial					
28	products;					

LA:LOB\70489293.3

CONSENT JUDGMENT

- B. DiPirro alleges that PIER 1 is a company that offers for sale a certain votive holder and photo frames that contain lead or lead compounds, a substance known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm);
- C. A list of such PIER 1 products that DiPirro alleges contain lead (or lead compounds) (the "Listed Chemical"), and which are covered by this Agreement, is provided in Exhibit A (all such PIER 1 products to be collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Products");
- D. On April 23, 2001, Michael DiPirro first served PIER 1, and other public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that provided PIER 1, and such public enforcers, with notice that PIER 1 was allegedly in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that the photo frames (identified on Exhibit A) sold in California expose users to the Listed Chemical;
- E. On December 7, 2001, Michael DiPirro served PIER 1 and other public enforcement agencies with a second document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" that, in addition to the allegations set forth in the April 23, 2001 Notice, provided PIER 1 and such public enforcers with notice that PIER 1 was allegedly in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that the votive holder (identified on Exhibit A) sold in California exposes persons to lead/lead compounds;
- F. On July 6, 2001, Michael DiPirro filed a complaint entitled Michael DiPirro v. PIER 1 et al. in the Alameda County Superior Court, naming PIER 1 as a defendant and alleging violations of Business & Professions Code § 17200, as well as Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 on behalf of individuals in California who allegedly have been exposed to the Listed Chemical, listed pursuant to Proposition 65, contained in certain products that PIER 1 distributes for sale. This case in Alameda shall be dismissed without prejudice within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date of this Agreement; and
- G. On October 3, 2002, Michael DiPirro filed a complaint entitled Michael DiPirro v. Pier 1, et al. in the San Francisco Superior Court, naming PIER 1 as a defendant and alleging violations of Business & Professions Code §§ 17200, 17500, as well as

Health & Safety Code § 25249 on behalf of individuals in California who allegedly have been exposed to the Listed Chemical, listed pursuant to Proposition 65, contained in the votive holder. The complaint in this case in San Francisco shall be amended by stipulation to include the photo frames identified on Exhibit A within ten (10) business days of the dismissal of the case presently pending in Alameda.

NOW THEREFORE, MICHAEL DIPIRRO AND PIER 1 AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1.0 Product Warnings.

(a) Subject to Paragraph 1.0(b) below, beginning immediately after the Effective Date of this Agreement, PIER 1 shall begin to revise its product packaging and store display to ensure that all of the Products sold in California bear the following warning statement:

"WARNING:

This product contains lead, a substance known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects (or other reproductive harm).

In the alternative, PIER 1 may choose not to sell the Products in California, and shall remove the Products from its stores in California within thirty (30) days from the Effective Date.

(b) In no event shall PIER 1 offer any of the Products for sale in California after thirty (30) days from the Effective Date, unless they are produced with lead-free solder and came (defined below) or bear the warning statement listed in Paragraph 1.0(a). The warning stated above may be placed on: (1) a product label or (2) the accompanying packaging as a sticker so that it is likely to be read by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase for the Products. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a warning sticker placed on product packaging that is not available to the consumer before purchase, or on product packaging that does not accompany the Products when purchased, is not reasonably calculated to transmit the requisite warning and, thus, may not be used to comply with this paragraph.

(c)

exposure to lead shall or need be provided by PIER 1 for: (1) any products offered by Pier 1 for sale in California containing 0.8 percent lead or less (by weight) in each material used in the products (such as solder or came) or (2) any products that yield a result of less than .5 micrograms (ugs) of lead by a ghost wipe test conducted on all metal portions of the perimeter or other surface area of the product, performed as outlined in NIOSH method of detection 9100. Nothing in this paragraph or this Consent Judgment shall be construed as imposing a more stringent exposure threshold than is imposed under Proposition 65.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, no warning for

1.1 Lead-Free Component Commitment.

As part of its commitment to promote the public health, PIER 1 agrees, over the next 12 months from the Effective Date, to use reasonable efforts to specify, or cause the specification of, lead-free solder and came (solder and came containing 0.8% lead or less by weight) whenever such materials are used in the products to be sold by PIER 1 in the state of California. For purposes of this Agreement, the term "use reasonable efforts" shall mean communicate in writing in its order documents, such as a purchase order, Pier 1's requirement that the manufacturer utilize lead-free solder and came whenever such materials are used in the products to be sold by Pier 1 in the state of California.

1.2 Interim Health Safety Efforts

To the extent that PIER 1 does not remove the Products from stores in California, PIER 1, in an attempt to ensure that all Products already in the stream of commerce contain the requisite health hazard warnings at the point of sale, agrees (1) to send a written communication within 45 days of the Effective Date, with a copy to counsel for plaintiff, to the appropriate person at any distribution center or warehouse that distributes the Products to California and to each California retail store that has any inventory of any Products after 30 days from the Effective Date, that explains such retail outlet's duty to provide toxic warnings for the Products and includes a sufficient number of warning stickers (with warning language from Paragraph 1.0(a)) and requires that they be placed on Products still on PIER 1's sales floors or otherwise in PIER 1's inventory and (2) within 60 days of the

Effective Date, to have someone communicate in writing to each of said appropriate persons (at any distribution center or warehouse that distributes Products to California and to each California retail store that has any inventory of any Products after 30 days of the Effective Date) to ensure receipt and compliance with the interim health safety efforts.

2. Payment Pursuant To Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), PIER 1 shall pay a civil penalty of \$25,000 to be made in three payments: (1) \$10,000 within five business days of the Effective Date; (2) \$5,000 on or before January 31, 2003; and (3) \$10,000 on or before November 30, 2003. Mr. DiPirro agrees to waive the second payment, and PIER 1 shall not be required to make the second payment, if PIER 1 certifies by declaration of an officer or director to Mr. DiPirro, no later than January 31, 2003, that it has complied with the Interim Health Safety provisions set forth in paragraph 1.2. Mr. DiPirro agrees to waive the third payment, and PIER 1 shall not be required to make the third payment, if PIER 1 certifies by declaration of an officer or director to Mr. DiPirro, no later than November 30, 2003, that it has either (1) ceased ordering the Products for sale in California, or caused the Products to be designed or reformulated so that no warning is necessary, pursuant to Section 1(c), on Products it ordered after the Effective Date; or (2) has used "reasonable efforts," as defined in Paragraph 1.1, and documented in a written report to be prepared by PIER 1 and transmitted to Mr. DiPirro with the certification described above.

The penalty payment is to be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP In Trust For Michael DiPirro". If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, DiPirro will return all funds, with interest thereon at the prevailing federal funds rate (currently set at 1.25% per annum), within five (5) calendar days of notice of the Court's decision. All penalty monies shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control.

3.0 Reimbursement of Fees and Costs.

The parties acknowledge that DiPirro and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. PIER 1 then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to DiPirro and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at C.C.P. § 1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement.

Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at C.C.P. § 1021.5, PIER 1 shall reimburse DiPirro and his counsel for his fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to PIER 1's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. PIER 1 shall pay DiPirro and his counsel \$32,800 except as provided for in paragraph 3.1 to 3.6 below, for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs, within five business days of the Effective Date. Payment should be made payable to "Sheffer & Chanler LLP". If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, DiPirro and Sheffer & Chanler LLP will return all funds, with interest' thereon at the prevailing federal funds rate (currently set at 1.25% per annum), within five (5) calendar days of notice of the Court's decision.

3.1 Additional Fees and Costs in Seeking Judicial Approval.

The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to recent interpretations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Agreement. Accordingly, the parties agree to use their best efforts to file a *Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement* within a reasonable period of time after execution of this Agreement. Best efforts shall mean that PIER 1 shall send to DiPirro an initial draft of the Motion To Approve within ten (10) business days of receiving a motion template from plaintiff. Best efforts shall also mean that PIER 1 agrees to return any modifications or comments on subsequent drafts received from plaintiff within five (5) business days of their

receipt. Pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5, PIER 1 agrees to reimburse DiPirro and his counsel for their reasonable fees and costs incurred in seeking judicial approval of this Agreement, to the extent described in paragraphs 3.2 through 3.6.

- 3.2 If no opposition to the motion to approve (nor objection to the terms of the Agreement) is filed or otherwise transmitted by any third party, PIER 1 agrees to reimburse DiPirro under Paragraph 3.1, for additional reasonable fees and costs in an amount not to exceed \$4,800.00.
- 3.3 In the event that any third party, including any public enforcer, objects or otherwise comments to one or more provisions of this Agreement, PIER 1 agrees to use its reasonable efforts to support each of the terms of the Agreement, as well as to cooperate with DiPirro in seeking judicial approval of this Agreement.
- 3.4 In the event that such an objection or opposition is transmitted or filed by any third party, PIER 1 agrees to reimburse DiPirro under Paragraph 3.1, in addition to any reasonable fees and costs due under Paragraph 3.2, for his additional reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in securing approval of this Consent Judgment in an amount not to exceed \$2,500.00.
- 3.5 In the event that defending this Agreement from such objection or opposition from any third party requires a declaration from an expert, then PIER 1 agrees to reimburse DiPirro, in addition to any attorney's fees and costs under Paragraph 3.2 or 3.4, for such expert's reasonable fees and costs in an amount not to exceed \$2,000.00.
- 3.6 PIER 1's payment of DiPirro's legal fees and costs pursuant to Paragraphs 3.1-3.5 shall be due within twenty (20) calendar days after receipt of a billing statement (that provides, at a minimum, the amount of hours each professional and paraprofessional has worked and an itemization of the costs incurred) from DiPirro ("Additional Fee Claim"). DiPirro shall provide detailed billing statements to PIER 1 for any charges made pursuant to this Paragraph 3. Payment of the Additional Fee Claim shall be made payable to the "Sheffer & Chanler LLP" PIER 1 has the right to object to DiPirro's reimbursement request and may submit the resolution of this issue to the American

Arbitration Association (AAA) to determine the reasonableness of the additional fees and costs sought. Any arbitration claim on this issue of reimbursement for the Additional Fee Claim must be filed with AAA and served on DiPirro within ten (10) calendar days following DiPirro's service of the Additional Fee Claim on PIER 1. If an arbitration notice is not filed with AAA in a timely manner, PIER 1's right to arbitrate this matter is waived. DiPirro may then file a motion, pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5, with the Court seeking his (and his attorneys') fees and costs incurred as set forth in this paragraph.

4. Michael DiPirro's Releases.

- (a) PIER 1: Michael DiPirro, by this Agreement, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, assigns, and in the interest of the general public, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims, liabilities, obligations, losses, costs, expenses, fines, penalties, fees, and damages, restitution, injunction, and any other form of relief, whether legal or equitable, against PIER 1 and its directors, officers, employees, agents, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates (as such term is defined by the United States Securities & Exchange Commission), successors and assigns, whether under Proposition 65 or the Business & Profession Code § 17200 or § 17500, inter alia, based on their alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical contained in any of PIER 1's products.
- (b) This Agreement is a full, final, and binding resolution between DiPirro, on behalf of himself and in the interest of the general public, and PIER 1, of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §§ 17200 or 17500, inter alia, or any other claim that could have been asserted based on alleged failure to warn for exposure to lead in PIER 1's products or other facts alleged in the Complaint. The parties intend that compliance with this Agreement will resolve any issue now, in the past, or in the future concerning the products' past and present, and future (up to the date of compliance established in Paragraph 1, above, and in the future so long as PIER 1 complies with this Agreement) compliance with Proposition 65 as such compliance pertains to PIER 1's products. In addition, DiPirro, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and his agents, waives all

rights to institute any form of legal action against PIER 1 and its attorneys or representatives, for all actions or statements made by PIER 1 or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65 or Business & Profession Code § 17200 and § 17500 by PIER 1 Provided, however, that DiPirro shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

- 5. PIER 1's Release of Michael DiPirro. PIER 1, by this Agreement, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Michael DiPirro and his attorneys or representatives, for all actions or statements made by Michael DiPirro or his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 or Business & Profession Code § 17200 and § 17500 against PIER 1 in this litigation. Provided, however, that PIER 1 shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to. enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.
- 6. Court Approval. If, for any reason, this Consent Judgment is not ultimately approved by the Court, this Agreement shall be deemed null and void.
- 7. PIER 1 Sales Data. PIER 1 understands that the sales data provided to counsel for DiPirro by PIER 1 was a material factor upon which DiPirro has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in this Agreement. To the best of PIER 1's knowledge, the sales data provided is true and accurate. In the event that DiPirro discovers facts that demonstrate to a reasonable degree of certainty that the sales data is materially inaccurate, the parties shall meet in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter within ten (10) business days of PIER 1's receipt of notice from DiPirro of his intent to challenge the accuracy of the sales data. If this good faith attempt fails to resolve DiPirro's concerns, DiPirro shall have the right to re-institute an enforcement action against PIER 1, for those additional Products, based upon any existing 60-Day Notices of violation served on PIER 1. In such case, all applicable statutes of limitation shall be deemed tolled for the period between the date DiPirro filed the instant action and the date DiPirro notifies PIER 1 that he is re-instituting the action for the additional Products. Provided, however, that PIER 1 shall have no additional liability, and DiPirro waives any

claims that might otherwise be asserted, from the Effective Date until the date that DiPirro provides notice under this Paragraph 7, so long as PIER 1 has complied with the requirements of Paragraph 2 for all of the Products, including those numbers of Products additionally discovered.

- 8. Severability. In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 9. No Explicit or Implied Admissions. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission by PIER 1 of any fact, finding, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by PIER 1 of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of PIER 1 under this Agreement.
- provision(s) of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, disputes arising from payments to be made under this Agreement), reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute shall be available to the prevailing party. This provision, however, shall not apply to the procedure set forth in Paragraphs 3.1 to 3.6 which are to be governed by the principles of C.C.P. § 1021.5.
- 11. Governing Law. The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
 - 12. Notices. All correspondence to Michael DiPirro shall be mailed to:

Gregory M. Sheffer, Esq. Sheffer & Chanler LLP 4400 Keller Ave., Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94605 Tel: (510) 577-0747

1	All correspondence to PIER 1 shall be mailed to:
2	Stephen J. O'Neil Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP
3	333 South Hope St., 48th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071–1448
4	Tel: (213) 620–1780
5	with a copy to:
6	Pier 1 Imports Legal Department
7	301 Commerce Street, Suite 600 Ft. Worth, TX 76102
8	•
9	13. Compliance With Reporting Requirements (Health & Safety Code
10	§ 25249.7(f)). The parties acknowledge that the reporting provisions of Health & Safety
11	Code § 25249.7(f) apply to this Consent Judgment. Counsel for DiPirro shall comply with
12	that Paragraph by submitting the required reporting form to, and serving a copy of this
13	Consent Judgment on, the California Attorney General's Office when noticing the Motion to
14	Approve hearing, if one is required by law. Counsel for DiPirro shall submit the Consent
15	Judgment to the Court in accordance with the requirements of Health & Safety Code
16	§ 25249.7(f) and its implementing regulations, thereby allowing the Attorney General to
17	serve any comments to this Consent Judgment prior to the end of the review period.
18	14. Counterparts and Facsimile. This Agreement may be executed in
19	counterparts and facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which,
20	when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CONSENT JUDGMENT

LA:LOB/70489293.3

1	15. Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this					
2	2 Agreement on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all o					
3	the terms and conditions of this Agreement.					
4	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:				
5		DATE:				
6	DATE: 12/11/02					
7	1	PIER 1 IMPORTS (U.S.), INC.				
8	Mi est	By:				
9	Michael DiPirro	Name:				
10	PLAINTIFF	Title:				
11		DEFENDANT				
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:				
13	DATE: 12/11/02	DATE:				
14	CATA)					
15						
16	Gregory Sheffer Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO	Stephen J. O'Neil Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP Attorneys for Defendant				
17	MICHAEL DIPIRRO	Attorneys for Defendant PIER 1				
18						
	_					
19						
20	,	•				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25	·					
26						
27						
28						
	-	-12-				
	·					

1	15. Authorization. The	undersigned are authorized to execute this
2	Agreement on behalf of their respective r	parties and have read, understood and agree to all of
3	the terms and conditions of this Agreeme	nt.
4	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
5		DATE: <u>December 6, 2002</u>
6	DATE:	21112000011008
7		PIER 1 IMPORTS (U.S.), INC.
8		By: Kedney Cleve
9		Name: JROWRY LAWRENGE
10	Michael DiPirro PLAINTIFF	Title: EXEC. VICE PRESIDENT
11		DEFENDANT
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
13	DATE:	DATE: <u>Drumler</u> 10, 2002
14		Stork Colle
15	Gregory Sheffer Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO	Stephen J. O'Neil Sheppard, Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP
16	MICHÁEL DIPIRRO	Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP Attorneys for Defendant PIER 1
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	il .	10

-12-

1	Exhibit A
2	Products:
3	Photo frame with flowers, SKUs 1867752 (4" x 6") and 1867737 (3" x 3")
4	Dragonfly votive holder, SKU 1810898
5	Diagonity votive holder, SKO 1810898
6	
7	
8	,
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
1617	·
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	<u>-</u>
	-13-

LA:LOB\70489293.3 CONSENT JUDGMENT