1 2 3 4 5 6	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, California 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	ALAMEDA COUNTY  MAR 18 2011  CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT  By AN Kanae, Deputy
7 8	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	FOR THE COUNT	TY OF ALAMEDA
10	UNLIMITED CIV	IL JURISDICTION
11		
12	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. RG10539656
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT
14	v.	PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT
15 16	SBI INCORPORATED; SCHROEDER & TREMAYNE, INC.; and DOES 2-150, inclusive,	Date: March 18, 2011 Time: 10:00 a.m.
17	Defendants.	Dept.: 18 Judge: Hon. Marshal Whitley
18	Defendants.	Action Filed: September 24, 2010
19		Reservation No. R-1145415
20		Reservation No. R-1145415
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF PROPOSITION 65 SETTLEMENT

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4) and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6, judgment is hereby entered in accordance with the terms of the Consent Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1. By stipulation of the parties, the Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the settlement under Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/18/11

MARSHALL I. WHITLEY

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT.

1 2 3 4 5	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
7	ROSSELL BRIVIER		
8			
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
12			
13	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. RG10539656	
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
15	v.	[I ROI OSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
16	SBI INCORPORATED; SCHROEDER & TREMAYNE, INC.; and DOES 1-150,		
17	inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CONSENT JUDGMENT		

#### | 1.

#### 

# 

### 

# 

# 

# 

### 

## 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### . INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 The Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Defendants SBI Incorporated and Schroeder & Tremayne, Inc. ("SBI" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in the State of California who contends he seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

#### 1.3 SBI

SBI employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that SBI has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in the State of California watering gun/nozzles with grips containing lead (hereinafter the "Listed Chemical"), without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. The Listed Chemical is on the Proposition 65 list as a chemical known by the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. SBI alleges that since at least February, 2010, it has not shipped, sold or offered to be shipped for sale in California any watering gun/nozzles with grips containing lead in violation of Proposition 65.

#### 1.5 Product Description

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined, as follows: watering gun/nozzles with grips containing the Listed Chemical including, but not limited to, the *Viking Heavy Duty Spray Nozzle*, 999000 (#0 75182 09990 6). All such watering gun/nozzles with grips containing the Listed Chemical shall be referred to hereinafter as the "Products."

#### 1.6 Notices of Violation

On or about June 17, 2010, Brimer served SBI and various public enforcement agencies

with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" (the "Notice") that provided SBI and such public enforcers with notice of alleged violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that the Products exposed users in California to the Listed Chemical. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On or about September 24, 2010, Brimer, who was and is acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed the instant action in the Superior Court for the County of Alameda, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based on the exposures to lead contained in the Products manufactured, distributed and/or sold by SBI ("Complaint").

#### 1.8 No Admission

SBI denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in Brimer's Notice.

Nothing in this Settlement Agreement shall be construed as an admission by SBI of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Settlement Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by SBI of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by SBI. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of SBI under this Settlement Agreement.

#### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over SBI as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

#### 1.10 Full and Final Settlement

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of any and all claims either may have regarding the allegations contained in the Complaint. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the Parties' obligations, responsibilities, and/or duties under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.11 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean December 20, 2010.

#### 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

#### 2.1 Reformulation Commitment

Commencing on the Effective Date, SBI shall not ship, sell or offer to be shipped for sale in California any Products unless such Product is "Lead Free," as provided in Section 2.2 below. For purposes of this Agreement, "Lead Free" products shall mean Products that meet the Reformulation Standards of Section 2.2 below, and such Products are referred to herein as "Reformulated Products."

#### 2.2 Reformulation Standards

Reformulated Products are defined as those Products containing components that may be handled, touched or mouthed by a consumer, which components yield less than 1.0 micrograms of lead when using a wipe test pursuant to NIOSH Test Method 9100, and yield less than or equal to 100 parts per million ("ppm") of lead when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3050B and/or 6010B, or another method of detection and analysis for lead authorized under Title 27 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") § 25900.

#### 3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

#### 3.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and in settlement of all claims related to the Products and the Listed Chemical referred to herein, SBI shall pay \$11,500 in civil penalties to be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount remitted to Brimer as provided by California Health & Safety Code §25249.12(c) and (d).

SBI shall issue two separate checks for each of the penalty payments: (a) one check made payable to The Chanler Group in Trust for the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("The Chanler Group in Trust for OEHHA") in the

amount of \$8,625, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check to The Chanler Group in Trust for Brimer in the amount of \$2,875, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments: The first 1099 shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, P.O. Box 4010, Sacramento, CA 95184 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$8,625. The second 1099 shall be issued to Brimer in the amount of \$2,875, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished, upon request, three calendar days before payment is due. The payment shall be made payable to The Chanler Group and shall be delivered on or before December 30, 2010, at the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

#### 4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

#### 4.1 Attorney Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. SBI expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue as part of the settlement terms to determine whether a settlement could be finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) §1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. SBI shall reimburse Brimer and his counsel the total of \$32,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to SBI's attention, and litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. SBI shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 94-3171522) and shall make the check payable to "The Chanler Group" and shall be delivered on or before December 30, 2010, to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

||:

#### 5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

# 5.1 Brimer's Release of Defendant In further consideration of the promise

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, fines or penalties of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against SBI and each of its downstream retailers, franchisees, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees, and sister and parent entities (collectively "Releasees"). It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that SBI's compliance with the terms of this Settlement Agreement resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (provided that SBI complies with the terms of the Settlement Agreement), concerning SBI and the SBI Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to the Listed Chemical in the Products.

Brimer also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of this dispute. Brimer acknowledges

///

that he is familiar with Section 1452 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which he may have under, or which may be conferred on him by the provisions of Section 1452 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that he may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities that manufactured the Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Products or any component parts thereof to SBI.

#### 5.2 SBI's Release of Brimer

SBI waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

SBI also provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of SBI of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of this

dispute. SBI acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1452 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor.

SBI expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which it may have under, or which may be conferred on him by the provisions of Section 1452 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

#### 6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected, unless the Court finds that any unenforceable provision is not severable from the remainder of the Consent Judgment.

#### 7. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Brimer, or his counsel pursuant to Section 3 and/or Section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days after receiving written notice from SBI that the one-year period has expired.

#### 8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Settlement Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. If that Proposition 65 is repealed or is

otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then SBI shall 2 provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Settlement Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. 4 **NOTICES** 9. Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses: To SBI: John Wilmsen, Sr., President SBI Incorporated 8500 Valcour Avenue Saint Louis, MO 63123 With a copy to: William D. Wick, Esq. Wactor & Wick LLP 180 Grand Avenue, Suite 950 Oakland, CA 94612 To Brimer: The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent. 10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F) Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to file a motion for approval of this Consent Judgment.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### 11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

#### 12. ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer, SBI, and their respective counsel, agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. For purposes of this paragraph, best efforts shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

#### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the parties.

#### 14. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same documents.

||

///

25 || ///

# 15. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

**AGREED TO:** 

**AGREED TO:** 

President

Dated: December 15, 2010	Dated: December, 2010

SBI Incorporated

1	15. AUTHORIZATION		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their		
3	respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this		
4	Consent Judgment.		
5	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
6	Dated: December, 2010	Dated: December 16, 2010	
7	Saida Socialist, 2010	Dated. December 79, 2010	
8	Ву:	By: John Wilmson	
9	Russell Brimer	President SPI Incorporated	
10		22	
11			
12			
13	;		
14	`		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		li di	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT		