Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Re Consent Judgment Case No CGC-03-422636

1. INTRODUCTION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Stipulation and (Proposed) Order Re: Consent Judgment ("Agreement" or "Consent Judgment") is entered into by and between plaintiff Whitney Leeman, Ph.D. (hereafter "Dr. Leeman" or "Leeman") and defendant Sur La Table, Inc. (hereafter "Sur La Table"), with Dr. Leeman and Sur La Table collectively referred to as the "Parties". The Parties agree to the following terms and conditions:

- 1.1 Dr. Leeman is an individual residing in Sacramento, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.2 Dr. Leeman alleges that Sur La Table has distributed and/or sold in the State of California certain glassware products with colored artwork, designs or markings on the exterior surface of the glass with materials in that colored artwork, designs or markings that contain lead (or lead compounds) and cadmium that are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§25249.5 et seq., also known as Proposition 65, hereafter referred to as the "Listed Chemicals".
- 1.3 A list of the Products which are covered by this Agreement is provided in Exhibit A (hereafter collectively referred to as the "Products").
- 1.4 On or about April 25, 2003, Dr. Leeman first served Sur La Table and other public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Sur La Table and such public enforcers with notice that Sur La Table was allegedly in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that it sold expose users in California to one or more Listed Chemicals.
- 1.5 Commencing on March 5, 2003 and continuing thereafter, Dr. Leeman and Michael DiPirro, in the interest of the general public in California, filed complaints and amended complaints in the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco against various defendants. One such complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint") was filed by Dr. Leeman on or about July 21, 2003 in an action entitled Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. v. Bed Bath & Beyond Inc., et al. wherein Dr. Leeman named Sur La Table as one of the defendants and alleged DOCSOAK-9709182.1- JDITTOE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for those who had been allegedly exposed to one or more of the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Sur La Table. Pursuant to an order of the San Francisco Superior Court, the complaints by Dr. Leeman and Michael DiPirro have been consolidated under the caption of Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. v. ARC International, et al, San Francisco Superior Court Action No. 418025. On or about February 3, 2004, Sur La Table served its Answer to Dr. Leeman's Complaint.

- 1.6 Sur La Table denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in Dr. Leeman's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California, including, but not limited to, the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws.
- Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission by Sur La Table of 1.7 any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Sur La Table of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Sur La Table under this Agreement.
- 1.8 For purposes of this Agreement, the term "Effective Date" shall mean March 5, 2004.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 2.1 After June 15, 2004, Sur La Table shall not knowingly sell or offer for sale in California any of the Products containing the Listed Chemicals unless such Products comply with Sections 2.2 -2.4 below.
- 2.2 Product Warnings: Subject to Section 2.4, after June 15, 2004, Sur La Table shall not knowingly sell or distribute any of the Products in its California stores, unless warnings are provided as set forth below in Section 2.2(a) or Section 2.2(b).
- Warning on the Products or Product Packaging: A warning is affixed a. to the packaging, labeling or directly on the Products that state:

REED SMITH LLP

1 2	"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of this product contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."			
3				
4	OF			
5	"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of these products contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive			
6	harm."	1		
7	Or			
8 9	"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of the following glassware products contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or			
10	other reproductive harm."			
11	b. Point of Sale Warnings: One or more signs are posted at or near the point			
12	of sale or display of the Products for those Products that are sold in any Sur La Table stores in			
13	California from which Sur La Table directly sells the Products to its customers that state:			
14	·			
15 16	"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of glassware products sold in this store contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm."			
17	or			
18	"WARNING: The materials used as colored decorations on the exterior of			
19	the following glassware products sold in this store contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause			
20	birth defects or other reproductive harm."			
21	If Sur La Table knowingly sells some Products in its California stores that satisfy the definition	a		
22	of Reformulated Products as defined in Paragraph 2.4 herein, then such Products shall be			
23	identified on the sign along with an explanation given that the warning statement does not apply			
24	to such Products.			
25	c. The warning statements specified by Sections 2.2(a) and 2.2(b) above	e		
26	shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other word			
27	statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary			
	individual under customary conditions of use or purchase. Any changes to the language of			
28	morridae ander customary conditions of use of purchase. Any changes to the language	ΟĽ		

DOCSOAK-9709182.1- JDITTOE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2.5

26

27

28

format of the warning required by this paragraph shall only be made following: (1) approval of Dr. Leeman; (2) written notice to Dr. Leeman of at least fifteen (15) days for the opportunity to comment, receipt of approval from the California Attorney General's office; or (3) court approval.

2.3 Mail Order and Internet Sales. Subject to Section 2.4, after June 15, 2004, Sur La Table shall not knowingly sell or distribute any of the Products by mail order catalog or the Internet to California residents, unless warnings are provided as set forth below in Section 2.3.

For those Products that Sur La Table knows require a warning pursuant to this Consent Judgment and that are sold by Sur La Table by mail order or from the Internet to California residents, a warning containing the language in Section 2.2(a) shall be included, at Sur la Table's sole option, either: (a) in the mail order catalog (if any) or on the website (if any) pursuant to Sections 2.3.1 or 2.3.2; or (b) with the Product when it is shipped to an address in California pursuant to Section 2.3.3. Any warnings given in the mail order catalogs or on the website shall identify the Products to which the warning applies. If Sur La Table elects to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then such warnings (at a location designated in Section 2.3.1) shall be included in any new galley prints of such catalogs sent to the printer at least ten (10) business days after notice of entry of this Consent Judgment is served on Sur La Table. Nothing in this Section 2.3 shall require Sur La Table to provide warnings for any Product ordered from a mail order catalog printed prior to the date notice of entry of this Consent Judgment is served on Sur La Table, or modify any such mail order catalogs.

- 2.3.1 Mail Order Catalog. The warning message shall be stated within the catalog, either (a) on the inside front cover of any catalog, (b) on the same page as any order form, or (c) on the same page as the price, in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text, with the same language as that appearing in Section 2.2(a).
- 2.3.2 Internet Web Sites. The warning text, or a link to a page containing the warning text, shall be displayed either (a) on the same page on which a Product is displayed, (b) on the same page as any order form for a Product, (c) on the same page as the price for any Product, (d) on one or more pages displayed to a purchaser over the Internet or via electronic mail DOCSOAK-9703182.1- JDITTOS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

during the checkout and order confirmation process for sale of a Product, or (e) in any manner such that is likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase of a Product, including the same language as that appearing in Section 2.2(a). If a link is used, it shall state "California residents," and shall be of a size equal to the size of other links on the page.

- 2.3.3 Package Insert or Label. Alternatively, a warning may be provided with the Product when it is shipped directly to a consumer in California, by (a) product labeling pursuant to Section 2.2(a) above, (b) inserting a card or slip of paper measuring at least 4" x 6" in the shipping carton, or (c) including the warning on the packing slip or customer invoice identifying the Product in lettering of the same size as the description of the Product. The warning shall include the language appearing in Section 2.2(a) and shall inform the consumer that he or she may return the product for a full refund within 30 days of receipt.
- 2.4 Reformulated Products: The Products shall be deemed to comply with Proposition 65 and be exempt from any Proposition 65 warning requirements under Sections 2.2 and 2.3 if:
- the painted decoration is solely on the exterior of the Product exclusive of the top 20 millimeters of the ware (i.e., below the exterior portion of the lip and rim area as defined by American Society of Testing and Materials Standard Test Method C927-99, hereinafter the "Lip and Rim Area") produce either a nondetectable test result or a test result no higher than 1.0 micrograms (ug) of lead (depending on whether flame AAS or graphite furnace AAS is applied for the analysis respectively, which shall be at Sur La Table's sole option) using a Ghost WipeTM test applied on painted portions of the surface of the Product performed as outlined in NIOSH method no. 9100. (For purposes of demonstrating compliance with the foregoing requirement, background quantities of lead measured in a Ghost WipeTM test prior to the application of a Ghost WipeTM obtained from the same lot to the Products may be deducted from the NIOSH 9100 test result.); or
- b. the painted decoration extends into the exterior Lip and Rim Area or the interior (food contact surface) of the Product, a test result of all such painted portions acceptable DOCSOAK-9709182.1- JDITTOS

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

under subparagraph (a) above, and a result of 0.5 micrograms/milliliter (ug/ml) of lead or less using ASTM method C 927-99); or

the Products utilize paints on all decorations containing less than six onec. hundredths of one percent (0.06%) lead by weight (as measured by a sample size of the paint approximately 50-100 mg) and contain no painted decoration within any part of the interior (food contact surface) of the Product or on the exterior portion of the Lip and Rim Area of the Product. By agreeing to the foregoing, Sur La Table does not admit that the above mentioned criteria, testing and analytical methodologies are necessarily appropriate for determining the amount or levels of exposure to lead (and lead compounds) from reasonably foreseeable use of the Products.

Should any court of this state enter an order or judgment involving three or more defendants in the consolidated case of Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. v. ARC International, et al., San Francisco Superior Court Action No. 418025 or in a case brought by the People of the State of California, that sets forth standards defining when Proposition 65 warnings will or will not be required for products substantially similar to the type and function of the Products at issue here ("Alternative Standards"), or if the California Attorney General's Office or Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") otherwise provide written endorsement (i.e. a writing that is circulated by the Attorney General that is not intended for the purpose of soliciting further input or comments) of Alternative Standards applicable to products that are of the same type and function as the Products. Sur La Table shall be entitled to seek a modification of this Consent Judgment so as to be able to utilize and rely on such Alternative Standards in lieu of those set forth in subsections (a), (b), or (c) of this Section.. Dr. Leeman shall not unreasonably withhold consent to any proposed stipulation to effectuate such a modification.

Products satisfying the conditions of this paragraph are hereinafter referred to as "Reformulated Products".

To comply with the requirements of this section, Sur La Table may rely on written verifications by its supplier(s), provided that such verifications: (1) identify the specific patterns or products at issue and (2) attach recent laboratory results that establish that the testing DOCSOAK-9709182.1- JDITTOE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

methodology specified in Section 2.4 has been followed and that the results demonstrate the products are "reformulated", such that warnings are not required.

3. **MONETARY PAYMENTS**

3.1 Penalties Pursuant To Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b): Pursuant to Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), Sur La Table shall pay \$3,000 in civil penalties, with the penalty payment to be made within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date and made payable to "Chanler Law Group in Trust For Whitney R. Leeman".

In the event that Sur La Table pays any penalty and the Consent Judgment is thereafter not ultimately approved by the Superior Court for the City and County of San Francisco, Dr. Leeman shall return any penalty funds paid under this paragraph, with interest thereon at the rate of 1.00% per annum, simple interest, within fifteen (15) calendar days of effective written notice of the Court's decision. All penalty monies received shall be apportioned by Dr. Leeman in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment and the remaining 25% of these penalty moneys retained by Dr. Leeman as provided by Health & Safety Code §25192(a)(2). Dr. Leeman shall bear all responsibility for apportioning and paying to the State of California the appropriate civil penalties paid in accordance with this paragraph.

REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS 4.

- 4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Dr. Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been reached, and the matter settled. Sur La Table then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue concurrently with other settlement terms, so the Parties tried to and did reach an accord on the compensation due to Dr. Leeman and her counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date.
 - 4.2 Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure DOCSOAK-9709182 1- JOITTOE

§1021.5, Sur La Table shall reimburse Dr. Leeman and her counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Sur La Table's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Sur La Table shall pay Dr. Leeman and her counsel \$15,000 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective Date. Payment should be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group." If the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Superior Court in and for the City and County of San Francisco, Dr. Leeman and the Chanler Law Group will return all funds, with interest thereon at the rate of 1.00% per annum, simple interest, within fifteen (15) calendar days of effective written notice of the Court's decision.

Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Sur La Table shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

5.1 Dr. Leeman's Release of Sur La Table: In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 3 and 4, Dr. Leeman, on behalf of herself, her agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Sur La Table and its distributors, wholesalers, auctioneers, retailers, dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Defendant Releasees") arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

identification of lead (or lead compounds) contained in the Products.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. and Business & Professions Code §17500 et seq., that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Sur La Table for its alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of lead (or lead compounds) in the Products or any other claim based on the facts or conduct alleged in the Complaint.

In addition, Dr. Leeman, on behalf of herself, her attorneys, and her agents, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against the Defendant Releasees arising under Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq., and Business & Professions Code §17500 et seq., related to the Defendant Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of the lead and lead compounds contained in the Products and for all actions or statements made by Sur La Table or its attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 or Business & Professions Code §17500 by Sur La Table. Provided however, subject to Section 9 of this Agreement, Dr. Leeman shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Sur La Table's compliance with the terms of this Agreement resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Sur La Table complies with the terms of the Agreement) concerning the Defendant Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65, Business and Professions Code §§17200 et seg., and Business & Professions Code §17500 et seg., as to the lead and lead compounds in the Products.

The releases provided for by this section shall not extend upstream to the Product manufacturers or to any Product distributor from whom Sur La Table purchased the Product.

5.2. Sur La Table's Release of Dr. Leeman: Sur La Table waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Dr. Leeman, or her attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Dr. Leeman and her attorneys or representatives, in the DOCSOAK-9709182.1- JDITTOE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65, Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq. or Business & Professions Code §17500 et seq. in this Action.

- 6. COURT APPROVAL: If, for any reason, this Consent Judgment is not ultimately approved and entered by the Court within one hundred eight (180) days after the Effective Date, this Agreement shall, at Sur La Table's option be deemed null and void and all monies provided to Dr. Leeman or her counsel shall be refunded to Sur La Table within fifteen (15) days after receipt of written notice to Dr. Leeman's counsel from Sur La Table pursuant to this paragraph.
- 7. SEVERABILITY: In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.
- 8. ATTORNEYS' FEES: In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, disputes arising from payments to be made under this Agreement), the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

9. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGEMENT

- Before moving to enforce the terms and conditions of Section 2 of this 9.1 Agreement, Dr. Leeman and others must follow the procedures set forth in Sections 9.2 through 9.4
- 9.2 In the event that Dr. Leeman and/or her attorneys, agents, assigns, or any other person acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d) identify one or more retail stores in California owned and operated by Sur La Table at which Products are sold (hereinafter "retail outlet") for which the warnings for those Products required under Section 2 are not being or were not given, Dr. Leeman or such person shall notify Sur La Table in writing of such alleged failure to warn (the "Notice of Breach"). The Notice of Breach shall be sent by

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

first class mail, with proof of service, to the person(s) identified in Section 12 herein, and must be served within twenty (20) days of the date the alleged violation was observed. The Notice of Breach shall identify the date the alleged violation was observed, identify the retail outlet in question, reasonably describe the Product giving rise to the alleged violation and describe the alleged violation.

- In the event that Dr. Leeman and/or her attorneys, agents, assigns or other person 9.3 acting in the public interest under Health & Safety Code Section 25249.7(d) identifies a retail outlet, other than the one identified in Section 9.2, not giving warnings for Products as required under Section 2, Dr. Leeman or such person shall serve Sur La Table with another Notice of Breach in the manner described in Section 9.2 and provide the information required in Section 9.2.
- 9.4 Dr. Leeman or the notifying person shall take no further enforcement action unless Dr. Leeman or the notifying person discovers, at least thirty (30) days after service of the Notices of Breach specified in Sections 9.2 and 9.3, another failure to issue warnings required under Section 2, whether or not that be at the same retail outlets giving rise to the Notices of Breach mentioned in Sections 9.2 or 9.3.
- SALES DATA: Sur La Table understands that the sales data that has been provided to 10. Dr. Leeman's counsel was a material factor upon which Dr. Leeman has relied to determine the amount of payments, if any, made pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b) in this Agreement. To the best of Sur La Table's knowledge, the sales data provided is true and accurate. In the event that Dr. Leeman discovers facts which demonstrate to a reasonable degree of certainty that the sales data is materially inaccurate, the parties shall meet in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter within ten (10) days of Sur La Table's receipt of notice from Dr. Leeman of her intent to challenge the accuracy of the sales data. If this good faith attempt fails to resolve Dr. Leeman's concerns, Dr. Leeman shall have the right to re-institute an enforcement action against Sur La Table for those additional Products, based upon any existing 60 Day Notices of Violation served on Sur La Table. In such case, all applicable statutes of limitation

shall be deemed tolled for the period between the date Dr. Leeman filed the instant action and the date Dr. Leeman notifies Sur La Table that she is re-instituting the action for the additional Products. Provided, however, that: (a) Dr. Leeman shall not have the option of exercising her rights under this Paragraph more than one year after the Effective Date and (b) Sur La Table shall have no additional liability, and Dr. Leeman waives any claim that might otherwise be asserted, from the Effective Date until the date that Dr. Leeman provides notice under this Paragraph, so long as Sur La Table has complied with the requirements of Section 2 for all of the Products, including those numbers of Products additionally discovered.

11. GOVERNING LAW: The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Sur La Table shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Agreement with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

12. <u>NOTICES</u>: All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be personally delivered or sent by first-class, registered, certified mail, overnight courier and/or via facsimile transmission (with presentation of facsimile transmission confirmation) addressed as follows:

20	ii to bi. beentali,	d Chanler er Law Group
21	1 Magno	olia Lane Canaan, Connecticut 06840
22		203) 801-5222
23	II to but bu ruote.	an Faw, Esq. ı Table, Inc.
24	4 1765 :	Sixth Avenue e, WA 98134-1608
25		206) 613-6064
26	Elicoti (o ballo 1, 200).	san Faw, Esquire a Table, Inc.
27	5701	Sixth Avenue, South, Suite 486
28		e, WA 98108 (206) 613-6064
	- 12 -	DOCSOAK-9709182.1- JOSTTOE

With a copy to:

John E. Dittoe Reed Smith LLP 1999 Harrison Street P.O. Box 2084 Oakland, CA 94604-2084 (fax) (510) 273-8832

Either party, from time to time, may specify a change of address or facsimile number to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

- 13. NO ADMISSIONS: Nothing in this Agreement shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Sur La Table of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Sur La Table of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Sur La Table. Sur La Table reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this Paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect Sur La Table's obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.
- 14. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>; <u>FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>: This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.
- agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Dr. Leeman shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within two (2) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the City and County of San