```
David Bush, State Bar No. 154511
 1
     Jennifer Henry, State Bar No. 208221
 2
     BUSH & HENRY
     ATTORNEYS AT LAW
 3
     4400 Keller Avenue, Suite 200
     Oakland, CA 94605
     Tel: (510) 577-0747
 4
 5
     Attorneys for Plaintiff
     MICHAEL DIPIRRO
 6
 7
                SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
                    IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
 8
 9
10
     MICHAEL DIPIRRO,
                                           No. H220120-7
               Plaintiff,
11
12
               v.
                                           CONSENT JUDGMENT
13
     TARGET CORPORATION; THE COLEMAN )
     COMPANY, INC.; and DOES 1
     through 1000,
14
15
               Defendants.
16
17
          This Consent Judgment ("Agreement" or "Consent Judgment")
18
     is entered into by and between Michael DiPirro, Target
19
20
     Corporation, a Delaware corporation and The Coleman Company,
     Inc., a Delaware corporation, ("Coleman") as of December 14,
21
     2001 (the "Effective Date"). The parties agree to the
2.2
23
     following terms and conditions:
24
     WHEREAS:
25
               Α.
                    Michael DiPirro is an individual residing in
26
     San Francisco, California, who seeks to promote awareness of
27
     exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by
28
```

CONSENT JUDGMENT

reducing or eliminating hazardous a substance contained in consumer and industrial products;

- B. Coleman is a company that currently manufactures, distributes and sells certain adhesives and other adhesive repair products as set forth in Exhibit A that contain toluene, a substance known to the State of California to cause birth defects (or other reproductive harm);
- C. A list of the products which contain toluene (the "Listed Chemical") and which are covered by this Agreement is provided in Exhibit A (the "Products"). The Products have been distributed and sold by Coleman in California since May 31, 1997; and
- D. On January 12, 2001, Michael DiPirro first served Coleman and other public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" which provided Coleman and such public enforcers with notice that Coleman was in violation of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 for allegedly failing to warn purchasers that certain products it sells in California expose users to the Listed Chemical; and
- E. On May 31, 2001, Michael DiPirro filed a complaint entitled Michael DiPirro v. Target Corporation;

 Coleman Company, et al. in the Alameda County Superior Court, naming Coleman as a defendant and alleging violations of Business & Professions Code §17200 and Health & Safety Code §25249.6 on behalf of the general public and individuals in California who allegedly have been exposed to one or more chemicals listed pursuant to Proposition 65 contained in

certain Coleman products.

F. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as an admission by Coleman of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Coleman of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Coleman under this Agreement.

subsidiaries, including Coleman, have reached an agreement with their secured creditors to restructure Sunbeam's debts and reorganize the company under Chapter 11 of the U.S.

Bankruptcy Code. Petitions for relief under chapter 11 have been filed with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern district of New York ("Bankruptcy Court") in the case In re:

AI Realty Marketing of New York, Inc., et al., Cases 01-40252

(AJG) through 01-40290 (AJG) (Jointly Administered). Pursuant to the Order of the Bankruptcy Court, all claims pending against Coleman are stayed unless the litigants obtain court approval to lift the Stay.

NOW THEREFORE, MICHAEL DIPIRRO AND COLEMAN AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

1. Product Warnings. Coleman shall begin to take steps with regard to its packaging and sale of the Products in California to provide the language set forth in section 1.1 below. Beginning within 90 days of the Effective Date,

Coleman agrees that it will not knowingly package or sell any Products containing the Listed Chemical in the State of California unless such Products comply with section 1.1 below:

1.1 For all Products which contain toluene, one of the following warnings shall be given:

"CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65 WARNING: This product contains toluene, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects (or other reproductive harm).";

or

"CALIFORNIA PROPOSITION 65 WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects (or other reproductive harm).";

The warning statement shall be affixed by way of adhesive sticker or otherwise printed on either the front or back of the Product packaging, at Coleman's option, with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use.

2. Payment Pursuant To Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b). Pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b), Coleman shall pay a civil penalty of \$1,250 (twelve hundred fifty dollars) within thirty (30) calendar days of the Bankruptcy Court's lift of the Stay. Dipirro's counsel shall hold the penalty payment in trust until the Alameda County Superior Court approves and enters this Consent Judgment. The penalty payment is to be made payable to "Chanler Law Group In

Trust For Michael DiPirro". If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, DiPirro will return all funds, with interest thereon at a rate of six percent (6%) per annum, within ten (10) calendar days of notice of the Court's decision. Penalty monies shall be apportioned by DiPirro in accordance with Health & Safety Code §25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Department of Toxic A substance Control.

Coleman understands that the payment schedule as stated in this Consent Judgment is a material factor upon which DiPirro and his counsel have relied in entering into this Consent Judgment. Coleman agrees that all payments will be made in a timely manner in accordance with the payment due dates. Coleman will be given a five (5) calendar day grace period from the date payment is due. Coleman agrees to pay Michael DiPirro and his counsel a \$250 per calendar day fee for each day the payment is received after the grace period ends. For purposes of this paragraph, each new day (requiring an additional \$250 payment) will begin at 5 p.m. (PST).

3. Reimbursement Of Fees And Costs. The parties acknowledge that DiPirro offered to resolve the dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving this open issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been reached, and the matter settled. Coleman then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue concurrently with other settlement terms, so the parties tried to reach an accord on

the compensation due to DiPirro and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at C.C.P. §1021.5.

Coleman shall reimburse DiPirro and his counsel for his fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Coleman's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Coleman shall pay \$13,750 (thirteen thousand seven hundred and fifty dollars) for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs within five (5) calendar days of the Effective Date. Such sum shall be held in trust by DiPirro's counsel until the Alameda County Superior Court approves and enters the Consent Judgment. If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, DiPirro will return all funds, with interest thereon at a rate of six percent (6%) per annum, within ten (10) calendar days of notice of the Court's Payment should be made payable to the "Chanler Law decision. Group".

Coleman understands that the payment schedule as stated in this Consent Judgment is a material factor upon which DiPirro and his counsel have relied in entering into this Consent Judgment. Coleman agrees that all payments will be made in a timely manner in accordance with the payment due dates. Coleman will be given a five (5) calendar day grace period from the date payment is due. Coleman agrees to pay Michael DiPirro and his counsel a \$250 per calendar day fee for each day the payment is received after the grace period ends. For purposes of this paragraph, each new day (requiring

an additional \$250 payment) will begin at 5 p.m. (PST).

3.1 Additional Contingent Fees and Costs. In the event that the California Attorney General's office, pursuant to 11 CRC 3000, et seq., serves objections to this Consent Judgment on either of the parties, such that it requires plaintiff to incur additional legal fees or costs relating to this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall reimburse DiPirro for any fees and costs incurred by DiPirro and his counsel in excess of \$1,500 from the date of receipt of the Attorney General's objections, provided that DiPirro first obtains Defendant's consent to proceed with the Consent Judgment under these circumstances and further provided that the total amount of any such additional fees and costs do not exceed a total of \$3,000. Such additional legal fees or costs relating to this Consent Judgment include, but are not limited to: further editing and finalizing of the Consent Judgment; corresponding with opposing counsel; retention of experts; presenting of the Consent Judgment (or any modifications thereof) to the Attorney General for further comment; and any briefing and/or appearance before the Court related to this Consent Judgment. Plaintiff agrees to document all fees and costs incurred from the date of receipt of the Attorney General's objections through the date of court approval of the Consent Judgment. Prior to receiving such documentation, Defendant agrees to enter into a letter agreement in which the parties agree that, by transmitting such information, no privilege will be waived by DiPirro or his counsel. Such additional reimbursement of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

legal fees and costs shall be due within ten (10) calendar days after receipt of both notice of Court approval of the Consent Judgment and final billing statement from plaintiff. Defendant has the right to object to such reimbursement and may submit the resolution of this issue to the American Arbitration Association (AAA) in Northern California to determine the reasonableness of the additional fees and costs sought, provided that such notice of objection or decision to arbitrate is received by plaintiff by the end of the ten (10) calendar days. If an arbitration notice is not filed with AAA in a timely manner, DiPirro may file a motion with the Court for fees and costs pursuant to CCP \$1021.5 and this Consent Judgment associated with any additional fees and costs incurred as set forth in this paragraph.

4. Michael DiPirro's Release Of Coleman. Michael DiPirro, by this Agreement, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, assigns and the general public, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims, liabilities, obligations, losses, costs, expenses, fines and damages, against Coleman and its distributors, customers, directors, officers, employees, parents, affiliates, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, whether under Proposition 65 or the California Business & Profession Code \$17200 et seq. based on Coleman's alleged failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical contained in any of the Products.

- 5. Coleman's Release Of Michael DiPirro. Coleman, by this Agreement, waives all rights to institute any form of legal action against Michael DiPirro and his attorneys or representatives, for all actions or statements made by Michael DiPirro, and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 or the California Business & Profession Code §17200 against Coleman in this action.
- Court Approval. Upon execution of this agreement, Coleman will petition the Bankruptcy Court to approve this Agreement and lift the stay as to the claims resolved herein. The terms of this Consent Judgment are expressly conditioned on the Bankruptcy Court's approval of the Agreement. After the Bankruptcy Court has approved the Agreement and lifted the stay as to the claims resolved herein (and provided that 30 days have elapsed following its provision to the Office of the California Attorney General pursuant to Paragraph 13 herein), this Consent Judgment shall be submitted to the Court for approval. If, for any reason, despite Sunbeam's good faith efforts, the Bankruptcy Court fails to approve the Agreement and to lift the stay, or, if for any reason, the Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court, this Agreement shall be deemed null and void, except for the provisions governing the return of proceeds paid by Coleman and held in trust for Dipirro pursuant to Sections 2 and 3 above.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

7. Coleman Sales Data. Coleman understands that the sales data provided to counsel for DiPirro by Coleman was a material factor upon which DiPirro has relied to determine the amount of payments made pursuant to Health & Safety Code \$25249.7(b) in this Agreement. To the best of Coleman's knowledge, the sales data provided is true and accurate. In the event that DiPirro discovers facts which demonstrate to a reasonable degree of certainty that the sales data is materially inaccurate, the parties shall meet in a good faith attempt to resolve the matter within ten (10) days of Coleman's receipt of notice from DiPirro of his intent to challenge the accuracy of the sales data. If this good faith attempt fails to resolve DiPirro's concerns, DiPirro shall have the right to rescind the Agreement and re-institute an enforcement action against Coleman, provided that all sums paid by Coleman pursuant to paragraphs 2 and 3 are returned to Coleman within ten (10) days from the date on which DiPirro notifies Coleman of his intent to rescind this Agreement. such case, all applicable statutes of limitation shall be deemed tolled for the period between the date DiPirro filed the instant action and the date DiPirro notifies Coleman that he is rescinding this Agreement pursuant to this Paragraph.

8. Product Characterization. Coleman acknowledges that each of the Products listed in Exhibit A contains toluene and Dipirro alleges that the customary use or application of the Products is likely to expose users to toluene, a substance known to the State of California to cause birth defects (or

other reproductive harm). In the event that Coleman seeks to eliminate its warning obligation by obtaining analytical, risk assessment or other data ("Exposure Data") that shows an exposure to any or all Products will have "no observable effect," as such standard is applicable and as defined under Health & Safety Code \$25249.10(c), or that the warning requirement under Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 is no longer applicable, then Coleman shall provide DiPirro with ninety (90) days prior written notice of its intent to limit or eliminate the warning provisions under this Agreement and shall provide DiPirro with all such supporting Exposure Data or other basis. Within ninety (90) days of receipt from Coleman of such information, DiPirro shall provide Coleman with written notice of his intent to challenge the determination by Coleman (in the event that he chooses to make such a challenge). If DiPirro fails to provide Coleman with such written notice of his intent to challenge the Exposure Data within ninety (90) days of receipt of Coleman's notice and substantiation, DiPirro shall waive all rights to challenge the determination, and Coleman shall be entitled to limit or eliminate the warning provisions required under this Agreement with respect to those Product(s) to which the such determination applies. If DiPirro timely notifies Coleman of his intent to challenge the determination, then (a) Coleman may stop its efforts to eliminate the warnings upon notice to DiPirro with no further liability or obligations or (b) Dipirro and Coleman shall negotiate in good faith for a period

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

not to exceed thirty (30) days following receipt of Coleman's notice to attempt to reach a settlement of this issue. If a settlement is not reached, DiPirro and Coleman agree to submit such challenge to the superior court for determination, pursuant to the court's continuing jurisdiction of this matter under C.C.P. §664.6 and this Agreement. The prevailing party shall be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with bringing a motion brought under this paragraph to the court for determination.

- 9. Severability. In the event that any of the provisions of this Agreement are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.
- 10. Attorney's Fees. In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Agreement (including, but not limited to, disputes arising from the late payments provisions in paragraphs 2 and 3), the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 11. Governing Law. The terms of this Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 12. Notices. All correspondence to Michael DiPirro shall be mailed to:

Jennifer Henry or David Bush Bush & Henry 4400 Keller Ave., Suite 200 Oakland, CA 94605 (510) 577-0747

2.0

All correspondence to Coleman shall be mailed

to:

Robin Stafford, Esq.
Morrison & Foerster, LLP
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2482
(415) 268-7000

- 13. Compliance With Reporting Requirements (Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f)). The parties agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). Pursuant to the new regulations promulgated under Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f), DiPirro shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's office upon receiving all necessary signatures. It will then be presented to the Alameda County Superior Court thirty (30) days later, provided that the Attorney General has not served any objections to this Consent Judgment prior to the expiration of the thirty day period.
- 14. Counterparts and Facsimile. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.
- 15. Authorization. The undersigned are authorized to execute this Agreement on behalf of their respective parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

2.7

1	ACREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2		
3	DATE: -/14/01	DATE:
4		
5	\mathcal{I}	
6	Michael Dipirro	Coleman Company
7	PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT
8		
9		
10		
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
12		
13	DATE:	DATE:
14		
15	David Bush	Robin Stafford
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO	Attorneys for Defendant COLEMAN COMPANY
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	Consent Judgment	

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2	·	
3		
4	DATE:	DATE: 12/19/01
5		ŕ
6		
7		Knod A Blue
8	Michael DiPirro PLAINTIFF	Coleman Company DEFENDANT
9		
10		
11		
12	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
13	03.55	DATE: 12/20/01
14	DATE:	•
15		Robin Stafferel
16	David Bush Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO	Robin Stafford Attorneys for Defendant
17		COLEMAN COMPANY
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	CONSENT JUDGMENT	

1	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
2		
3	DATE:	DATE:
4		
5		
6	W' 1 7 8'8'	G. L. Garage
7	Michael DiPirro PLAINTIFF	Coleman Company DEFENDANT
8		•
9		
10		
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
12		
13	DATE: $\frac{12/21/01}{}$	DATE:
14		
15	Ann.	
16	David Bush Attorneys for Plaintiff	Robin Stafford Attorneys for Defendant
17	MICHAEL DIPIRRO	COLEMAN COMPANY
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT	

EXHIBIT A Canvas Tent Repair Seam Seal Air Seal Vinyl Repair Inflatable Rubber Repair

CONSENT JUDGMENT