1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Clifford A. Chanler (Bar No. 135534) Laurence D. Haveson (Bar No. 152631) Josh Voorhees (Bar No. 241436) Troy C. Bailey (Pro Hac Vice) THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiffs ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. and JOHN MOORE  SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,  Plaintiff, v.  ALDO U.S., INC., ALDO GROUP, INC, et al.,  Defendants.  JOHN MOORE,  Plaintiff, v.  KATE SPADE, LLC, et al.,  Defendants.	Lead Case No. CGC-10-497729  STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF AMENDED CONSENT JUDGMENT

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

- ("Consent Judgment") are plaintiffs, Anthony E. Held, Ph.D., P.E. ("Held") and John Moore ("Moore") (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and the Initial Settling Defendants that are listed on Exhibit A. Additional Opt-In Settling Defendants, as defined in Section 2.8, may be later added to this Consent Judgment through the opt-in procedure set forth in Section 8 below. Initial Settling Defendants and Opt-In Settling Defendants shall be referred to herein as "Settling Defendants." Settling Defendants and Plaintiffs are the "Parties" to this Consent Judgment.
- Plaintiffs are individuals residing in the State of California who seek to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Commencing in late 2009 and continuing through 2010, Plaintiffs served 60-Day Notices of Violation under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code Section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"), alleging that the entities named in those notices violated Proposition 65 by exposing persons to di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), a plasticizer contained in certain Fashion Accessories (as further defined in Section 2.5 below), without first providing a clear and reasonable warning regarding the risk of reproductive toxicity of DEHP.
- 1.3 Each Settling Defendant employs ten or more persons and manufactures, distributes or offers Fashion Accessories for sale in the State of California or has done so in the past. Each Settling Defendant represents that, as of the date it executes this Consent Judgment, no public enforcer is diligently prosecuting an action related to DEHP in its Fashion Accessories.
- 1.4 On March 11, 2010, Held filed the action entitled *Held v. Aldo U.S., Inc. and Aldo Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. CGC-10-497729, in the Superior Court of California for the City and County of San Francisco, alleging Proposition 65 violations as to certain Fashion Accessories. On April 23, 2010, Moore filed the action entitled *Moore v. Kate Spade, LLC., et al.*, Case No. CGC-10-498981, in the Superior Court of California for the City and County of San Francisco, alleging Proposition 65 violations as to certain Fashion Accessories. On or

about August 23, 2010, Held filed a First Amended Complaint in Case No. CGC-10-497729, naming several of the Settling Defendants as defendants therein with respect to DEHP in Fashion Accessories they sold or offered for sale in California. Collectively, the *Moore v. Kate Spade* complaint and the *Held v. Aldo* complaint are referred to herein as the "Actions". Upon approval and entry of the original Consent Judgment by the Court, on October 29, 2010, Case Numbers CGC-10-497729 and CGC-10-498981 were deemed consolidated by the Court for pretrial purposes on its own motion. The Parties hereby seek to amend the terms of the October 29, 2010 Consent Judgment.

- 1.5 The Parties intend for this Consent Judgment to set an industry-wide "3P Standard" (as defined in Section 2.3 below) in Accessible Components (as defined in Section 2.1 below) of Fashion Accessories that manufacturers, importers, distributors, and retailers will implement following the time schedule set forth herein, and which will obviate the need for Proposition 65 warnings with regard to the presence of DEHP, benzyl butyl phthalate ("BBP"), and Di-n-butyl phthalate ("DBP") in such Fashion Accessories.
- 1.6 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations contained in the operative complaints applicable to each Settling Defendant (collectively the "Complaint") and personal jurisdiction over each Settling Defendant as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the City and County of San Francisco, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and oversee this Consent Judgment pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.
- 1.7 Nothing in this Consent Judgment is or shall be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense the Parties may have in any other legal proceeding. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties for purposes of settling, compromising and resolving issues disputed in these Actions.

4

6

7

5

8 9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25

26

27 28

2.1 "Accessible Component" means a poly vinyl chloride or other soft plastic, vinyl, or synthetic leather component of a Covered Product that could be touched by a person during reasonably foreseeable use.

- 2.2 "Covered Products" means Fashion Accessories that are: (a) Manufactured (as defined in Section 2.7 below) by a Settling Defendant; or (b) distributed or otherwise transferred to a third party by a Settling Defendant; or (c) sold or offered for retail sale, including internet and/or catalogue sales, as a Private Label Covered Product by a Settling Defendant that is (i) the Private Labeler or (ii) a sister, parent, subsidiary, or affiliated entity within the same corporate family that is under common ownership of the Private Labeler of such product.
- 2.3 "3P Standard" means a maximum concentration, by weight, of DEHP, BBP, and DBP, each, of 1,000 parts per million or less, in each Accessible Component.
- 2.4 "Effective Date" means: (i) as to the Initial Settling Defendants listed on Exhibit A, the date on which a judgment based on this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court; and (ii) as to Opt-In Settling Defendants, the date on which this Consent Judgment is made effective as to the Opt-In Settling Defendant by the Court.
- 2.5 "Fashion Accessories" means: (i) wallets and other coin or bill holders; (ii) handbags, purses, clutches, and totes; (iii) belts; (iv) footwear; (v) apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits); (vi) jewelry; (vii) key holders, keychains, and key caps; (viii) luggage tags and ID cases; (ix) bag charms and zipper pulls; (x) eyeglass cases; (xi) coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3 players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops); (xii) coverings for journal/address books (e.g., diaries, planners, photo albums); (xiii) cosmetic cases/bags; and (xiv) toiletry cases/bags. Specifically excluded from the definition of Fashion Accessories are any and all products that are primarily intended for use by persons ages twelve and younger. The terms of this Consent Judgment apply to each Settling Defendant only as to those "categories" of Fashion Accessories in subsections (i)-(xiv) above which are designated for that Settling Defendant on Exhibit A and, as to Opt-In Settling

Defendants only, as to those categories and specific phthalates which are designated for that Opt-In Settling Defendant on Exhibit A.

- 2.6 "Initial Settling Defendants" means the defendants that executed the original Consent Judgment on or before September 10, 2010. Parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated entities that are under common ownership with an Initial Settling Defendant will be deemed to be included as Initial Settling Defendants under this Consent Judgment to the extent they are specifically denominated with the listing of the Initial Settling Defendant's name on Exhibit A and shall be subject to all of the injunctive terms set forth herein
- 2.7 "Manufactured" and "Manufactures" have the meaning defined in Section 3(a)(10) of the Consumer Product Safety Act ("CPSA") [15 U.S.C. § 2052(a)(10)], as amended from time to time.<sup>1</sup>
- 2.8 "Opt-In Settling Defendants" means the defendants that joined into this Consent Judgment pursuant to the procedure established in Section 8 below. Parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated entities that are under common ownership with an Opt-In Settling Defendant will be deemed to be included as Opt-In Settling Defendants under this Consent Judgment to the extent they are specifically denominated with the listing of the Opt-In Settling Defendant's name on Exhibit A and shall be subject to all of the injunctive terms set forth herein.
- 2.9 "Private Label Covered Product" means a Fashion Accessory that bears a private label where (i) the product (or its container) is labeled with the brand or trademark of a entity other than a manufacturer of the product, (ii) the entity with whose brand or trademark the product (or container) is labeled has authorized or caused the product to be so labeled, and (iii) the brand or trademark of a manufacturer of such product does not appear on such label.
- 2.10 "Private Labeler" means an owner or licensee of a brand or trademark on the label or other packaging of a product which bears a private label; provided, however, that a Settling Defendant is not a Private Labeler due solely to the fact that its name, brand or

As of August 23, 2010, the term "Manufactured" and "Manufactures" means to manufacture, produce, or assemble.

trademark is visible on a sign or on the price tag of a Fashion Accessory that is not labeled with a third party's brand or trademark.

2.11 "Vendor" means a person or entity that Manufactures, imports, distributes, or otherwise supplies a Fashion Accessory to a Settling Defendant, and that is not itself a Settling Defendant.

## 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF - REFORMULATION

3.1 3P Specification Compliance Date. As to Initial Settling Defendants, no more than 30 days after the entry of this Amended Consent Judgment each Initial Settling Defendant shall have provided the 3P Standard to its then-current Vendors of Fashion Accessories that will be sold or offered for sale to California citizens and shall instruct each Vendor to use reasonable efforts to provide Fashion Accessories that comply with the 3P Standard expeditiously. Opt-in Settling Defendants shall provide the 3P Standard for the phthalates selected on their Exhibit A to their suppliers not more than 30 days after entry of the opt-in stipulation deeming them a Settling Defendant pursuant to Section 8 below. In addressing the obligation set forth in the preceding sentence, Settling Defendants shall not employ statements that will encourage a Vendor to delay compliance with the 3P Standard.

## 3.2 Implementation of the 3P Standard for Covered Products.

- 3.2.1 Commencing on December 15, 2011, an Initial Settling Defendant shall not purchase, import, Manufacture, or supply to an unaffiliated third party any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California citizens that exceeds the 3P Standard, and an Opt-In Settling Defendant shall not purchase, import, Manufacture, or supply to an unaffiliated third party any Covered Product that will be sold or offered for sale to California citizens that exceeds the 3P Standard for the phthalates selected by the Opt-In Settling Defendant on its Exhibit A.
- **3.2.2** The deadline for meeting the 3P Standard imposed pursuant to Section 3.2.1 above shall be extended to December 15, 2012, with respect to a Settling Defendant if the Settling Defendant requiring such an extension, provides a written notification to Plaintiffs and files a notice in this Court exercising such an election, on or before November 15, 2011. Such a

Settling Defendant shall pay the additional penalty and reimbursement of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs associated with the exercise of such an election as more specifically set forth in Sections 5.1.1(c) and 5.1.1(e) below.

3.2.3 The deadline for meeting the 3P Standard may be extended a second time to December 15, 2013, with respect to its application to Fashion Accessories that are footwear if the Settling Defendant requiring such a further extension provides additional written notification to Plaintiffs and files further notice in this Court exercising such an option on or before November 15, 2012. Such a Settling Defendant shall pay the additional penalty and reimbursement of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs associated with the exercise of this option as more specifically set forth in Section 5.1.1(d) and 5.1.2(e) below.

## 4. ENFORCEMENT

**4.1** Any Party may, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment.

4.2 Within 30 days after the Effective Date, each Settling Defendant shall notify Plaintiffs of a means sufficient to allow Plaintiffs to identify Covered Products supplied or offered by that Settling Defendant on or after that date, for example, a unique brand name or characteristic system of product numbering or labeling. Information provided to Plaintiffs pursuant to this Section 4.2, including but not limited to, the identities of parties to contracts among Settling Defendants or between Settling Defendants and third parties, may be designated by the Settling Defendant as competitively sensitive confidential business information and, if so designated, shall not be disclosed to any person, including but not limited to, any Settling Defendant, without the written permission of the Settling Defendant who provided the information. Any motions or pleadings or any other court filings that may reveal information designated as competitively sensitive confidential business information pursuant to this Section shall be submitted in accordance with California Rules of Court 8.160 and 2.550, et seq.

## 5. PAYMENTS

# 5.1 Payments.

5.1.1 Payments by Initial Settling Defendants. Each Initial Settling Defendant as identified on Exhibit A has paid a base settlement amount totaling \$43,000.
The base amount was allocated as follows:

- (a) \$10,000 for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b).<sup>2</sup>
- (b) \$33,000 for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.
- Section 3.2.2 shall, in addition to the amount set forth in Section 5.1.1, pay, at the time it provides notification of such an election, an additional \$12,000; \$8,000 of which shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), \$1,000 of which shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs associated with Plaintiffs' oversight and recordkeeping in association with the exercise of such an election, and an additional \$3,000 of which may be awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' counsel as reimbursement for Plaintiffs' attorneys fees and costs, if justified, with any balance of the remaining \$3,000 not so awarded by the Court reverting to civil penalties.
- (d) Each Initial Settling Defendant that invokes the further option provided under Section 3.2.3 above shall, in addition to the combined amounts set forth in Section 5.1.1 and 5.1.1(c), pay, at the time it provides notification of such an election, an additional \$26,000; \$20,000 of which shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), \$1,000 of which shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs associated with Plaintiffs' oversight and recordkeeping in association with the exercise of such

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> All statutory civil penalties shall be allocated, as follows: 25% shall be paid to Plaintiffs and the remaining 75% shall be paid to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). The civil penalties recovered by Plaintiffs shall be apportioned with 85% (of the 25%) going to Held and 15% (of the 25%) going to Moore. This division shall apply to all civil penalties recovered under this Consent Judgment.

an election, and an additional \$5,000 of which may be awarded by the Court to Plaintiffs' counsel as reimbursement for Plaintiffs' attorneys fees and costs, if justified, with any balance of the remaining \$5,000 not so awarded by the Court reverting to civil penalties.

# **5.1.2** Payments by Opt-In Settling Defendants.

Notice of Violation. Each Opt-In Settling Defendant that has received a 60 Day Notice of Violation, or whose "Defendant Releasees" or "Downstream Defendant Releasees" (as defined in Section 6.1 below) have received a 60 Day Notice of Violation, for DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in a Covered Product supplied by them, from either Plaintiff, shall, in conjunction with its exercise of Section 8 below, pay a base settlement amount of \$46,000; \$12,000 shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b) and \$34,000 shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.

Notice of Violation and Wish to Opt-In for Only One Category of Fashion

Accessory. If an Opt-In Settling Defendant, in conjunction with its exercise of
Section 8 below, elects to opt into the Consent Judgment with respect to only one
category of the Fashion Accessories listed in Section 2.5 (i)-(xiv) above, and has
previously received a 60-Day Notice of Violation, or whose "Defendant Releasees"
or "Downstream Defendant Releasees" (as defined in Section 6.1 below) have
received a 60 Day Notice of Violation, for DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in a Covered
Product with respect to that category of Fashion Accessory and which was supplied
by them, in lieu of the amounts specified in Section 5.1.2(a) above, it may pay a
reduced base settlement amount of \$36,000; \$8,000 shall be for a civil penalty
pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b) and \$28,000 shall be for
reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs.

28

Notice of Violation and Elect Additional Coverage for Non-Noticed Phthalates. Any Opt-In Settling Defendant that has received a 60 Day Notice of Violation for one or more of the "3P Phthalates" (DEHP, DBP and BBP), may elect to have the other, non-noticed 3P Phthalates covered by a release pursuant to Section 6.1 and 6.2 by so-indicating in the Opt-In Stipulation pursuant to Section 8.1 and shall pay additional attorneys' fees in the amount of \$5,500 to cover the fees and costs associated with issuing and administrating a Supplemental 60 Day Notice.

(d) Opt-In Settling Defendants That Have Not Received a 60 **Day Notice of Violation.** Each Opt-In Settling Defendant that has not received a 60 Day Notice of Violation for DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in a Covered Product, shall, in conjunction with its exercise of Section 8 below and regardless of the number of categories of Fashion Accessories designated by said Opt-In Settling Defendant pay the applicable settlement amount, as follows: (i) \$28,000 for each Opt-In Settling Defendant that executed a "Stipulation For Entry of Judgment" ("Opt-In Stipulation") on or before December 15, 2010, of which \$8,000 shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b) and \$20,000 shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs; and (ii) \$36,000 for each Opt-In Settling Defendant that has not received a 60 Day Notice of Violation for DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in a Covered Product that executes a Opt-In Stipulation after December 15, 2010, of which \$12,000 shall be for a civil penalty pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b) and \$24,000 shall be for reimbursement of a portion of Plaintiffs' attorneys' fees and costs. However, in the event that an Opt-In Settling Defendant has not received a 60 Day Notice of Violation but intends to release another party that has received a 60 Day Notice of Violation, said Opt-In Settling Defendant shall make payments pursuant to Section 5.1.2.(a) if there is only one category of Fashion Accessories at issue, or Section 5.1.2.(b) if more than one category of Fashion Accessories are at issue.

- (e) In addition to payments required above, any Opt-In Settling Defendant subject to an existing complaint, or whose "Defendant Releasees" or "Downstream Defendant Releasees" (as defined in Section 6.1 below) are subject to an existing complaint, concerning the presence of DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in a Covered Product supplied by them that has been filed prior to the date upon which said Opt-In Settling Defendant exercises its option under Section 8 below, shall pay a supplemental charge of \$20,000 to cover fees and expenses incurred by Plaintiffs for activities associated with the original filing of said existing complaint, on-going litigation, and/or activities associated with the subsequent dismissal of said complaint without prejudice.
- (f) Each Opt-In Settling Defendant shall, subsequent to their addition as a Party to this Consent Judgment, also have the right to exercise the election and options set forth in Sections 3.2.2 and 3.2.3 above based on the same payment terms set forth for the Initial Settling Defendants in Section 5.1.1(c) and 5.1.1(d) above.
- (g) All settlement payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be paid as follows:
- apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c) & (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Plaintiffs. Each Settling Defendant shall issue three separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust For OEHHA" in an amount representing 75% of the total penalty; (b) one check to "The Chanler Group in Trust For John Moore", in an amount representing 85% of the remaining 25% of the total penalty; and (c) one check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Anthony E. Held" in an amount representing 15% of the remaining 25% of the total penalty. Three separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments, including those payments already made by Settling Defendants: (a) OEHHA, P.O.

1	Box 4010, Sacramento, CA, 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486); (b) John Moore; and (c) Anthony E.		
2	Held. The information required to issue the 1099s for Plaintiffs shall be provided five calendar		
3	days before the payment is due.		
4	(2) Attorney's Fees: Attorney's fees shall be paid by		
5	means of a check made payable to "The Chanler Group".		
6	(3) <b>Opt-In Payments:</b> The funds for Opt-In Settling		
7	Defendants shall be paid at the time the Opt-In Settling Defendant submits its Opt-In Stipulation		
8	pursuant to Section 8 below.		
9	(4) <b>Payment Delivery:</b> All settlement payments		
10	required by this Consent Judgment shall be sent to the following address:		
11	The Chanler Group		
12	Attn: Proposition 65 Controller Re: DEHP in Fashion Accessories Matter		
13	Parker Plaza 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214		
14	Berkeley, CA 94710		
15	6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED		
16	6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between		
17	Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and the public interest and each Settling Defendant, and their		
18	parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers,		
19	employees, and attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom they directly or		
20	indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors,		
21	wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, and licensees		
22	("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have		
23	been asserted in the Complaint against Settling Defendants, Defendant Releasees, and		
24	Downstream Defendant Releasees, based on failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP		
25	contained in Fashion Accessories that were sold by a Settling Defendant prior to the Effective		
26	Date but only as to those categories of Fashion Accessories in Section 2.5 (i)-(xiv) above that		
27	are Covered Products and designated, for each Initial Settling Defendant on Exhibit A, and for		

each Opt-In Defendant in its respective Stipulation for Entry of Judgment pursuant to Section

25

26

27

- 6.2 In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, the injunctive relief commitments set forth in Section 3, and for the payments to be made pursuant to Sections 4 and 5, Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Settling Defendants, each of their Defendant Releasees, and each of their Downstream Defendant Releasees. This release is limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in the Covered Products associated with the Settling Defendants, as such claims relate to the alleged failure to warn under Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 and to only those categories of Fashion Accessories in Section 2.5 (i)-(xiv) above that are Covered Products and designated, for each Initial Settling Defendant on Exhibit A, and for each Opt-In Defendant, in Exhibit A to its respective Stipulation for Entry of Judgment pursuant to Section 8.2 below.
- 6.3 Plaintiffs also, in their individual capacity only and *not* in their representative capacity, provide a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of plaintiffs of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposure to DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Initial Settling Defendants, each of their Defendant Releasees, and, to the extent supplied by any of them, each of their Downstream Defendant Releasees.
- 6.4 The provisions of Sections 6.1 and 6.2 above shall apply to each Opt-In Settling Defendant that executes an Opt-In Stipulation pursuant to Section 8.1 below, but shall only provide a release as to the Covered Product and phthalates (DEHP, BBP and/or DBP)

selected on Exhibit A to their Opt-In Stipulation and for which the Opt-In Settling Defendant receives a Proposition 65 notice of violation.

The provisions of Section 6.3 above shall apply to each Opt-In Settling Defendant that, within 30 days after the Effective Date, certifies in writing to Plaintiffs that it agrees to meet the 3P Standard and to comply with the injunctive terms set forth in Section 3.1 and 3.2 above for each of the three phthalates (DEHP, BBP and/or DBP) in all of its Covered Products. Any Opt-In Settling Defendant that so certifies shall be deemed by the Court to be subject to the 3P Standard with respect to the application of the injunction set forth in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of this Amended Consent Judgment.

- 6.5 Settling Defendants waive any and all claims against Plaintiffs, their attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Plaintiffs and their attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.
- **6.6** Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by a Settling Defendant constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP, BBP, and DBP in that Settling Defendant's Covered Products.
- 6.7 Nothing in this Section 6 affects Plaintiffs' rights to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against any person other than a Settling Defendant, Defendant Releasee, or Downstream Defendant Releasee.
- **6.8** Nothing in this Section 6 affects Plaintiffs' rights to commence or prosecute an action under Proposition 65 against a Downstream Defendant Releasee that does not involve a Settling Defendant's Covered Product.

### 7. NOTICE

7.1 When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent Judgment, the notice shall be sent by certified mail and electronic mail to the person identified in Exhibit B to this Consent Judgment and the Exhibit B accompanying any opt-in stipulation. Notices to Plaintiffs shall be addressed to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator Parker Plaza 2560 Ninth Street, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each other Party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written communication.

#### 8. OPT-IN PROGRAM

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

8.1 This Consent Judgment is executed with the understanding that additional persons and entities who manufacture, distribute, sell, or offer for sale Fashion Accessories not primarily intended for use by persons ages twelve and younger, in the State of California or has done so in the past and who are not Initial Settling Defendants under this Consent Judgment may wish to subscribe to its terms. All Opt-In Defendants that have not already received a 60 Day Notice of Violation from the Plaintiff(s) concerning the type of Fashion Accessories they wish to address through the Opt-In must be able to certify that they have: (1) employed ten or more persons at any time within the Relevant Period;<sup>3</sup> (2) manufactured, imported, distributed, or offered for use or sale one or more such Covered Products that, during the Relevant Period, contain or contained DEHP, BBP and/or DBP; and (3) sold and/or offered for use some such Covered Products in the State of California during the Relevant Period without "clear and reasonable" Proposition 65 warnings as that term is defined under 27 California Code of Regulations ("CCR") §25601. At any time within 60 days of Notice of Entry of this Amended Consent Judgment (or any such earlier or later date for which Plaintiffs may apply to this Court), prospective Opt-In Defendants who are willing to confirm these representations may become Settling Defendants hereunder by means of executing the Stipulation for Entry of Judgment as provided in subsection 8.2 below and making the payment required of them under Section 5.1.2 above.

**8.2** Each Opt-In Defendant shall execute a "Stipulation for Entry of Judgment" in the general form appearing in Exhibit C hereto ("Opt-In Stipulation") identifying whether the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Relevant Period" is defined for purposes of this Consent Judgment as the three (3) year period prior to the execution of the Opt-In Stipulation described in section 8.2.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	

Opt-In Defendant has manufactured, imported, distributed or offered for use or sale in California Covered Products and certifying to the following facts: (1) the Opt-In Defendant has employed ten or more persons at any time within the Relevant Period; (2) the Opt-In Defendant manufactured, imported, distributed or offered for use or sale in California one or more specifically identified categories of Fashion Accessories in Section 2.5 (i)-(xiv) above that are Covered Products without a "clear and reasonable" Proposition 65 warning during the Relevant Period, (3) the Opt-In Defendant knows or has reason to believe that one or more Covered Products contained, during the Relevant Period, Accessible Components containing DEHP, (and, if the Opt-In Defendant so elects, BBP and/or DBP); and (4) the Opt-In Defendant has not performed and shared with Plaintiffs a risk or exposure assessment establishing that the Covered Products it offered for sale in California during the Relevant Period did not require Proposition 65 warnings with respect to DEHP, (and, if the Opt-In Defendant so elects, BBP and/or DBP).

An Opt-In Settling Defendant that has executed an Opt-In Stipulation before the entry of this Amended Consent Judgment is not required to execute a new Opt-In Stipulation, and their previously signed Opt-In Stipulation shall be deemed to be in compliance with the terms of this Section 8.2. Further, an Opt-In Settling Defendant that has executed an Opt-In Stipulation before the entry of this Amended Consent Judgment, shall not be bound by the terms of the Amended Consent Judgment, but shall remain bound by the terms of the original Consent Judgment entered on October 29, 2010, unless, pursuant to Section 6.4, the Opt-In Settling Defendant: (1) certifies in writing to Plaintiffs that it agrees to meet the 3P Standard and to comply with the injunctive terms set forth in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 above for each of the three phthalates (DEHP, BBP and/or DBP) in all of its Covered Products or (2) executes an additional Opt-In Stipulation selecting DBP and/or BBP in one or more Covered Products for which they will receive a 60-Day Notice. Each Opt-In Defendant shall cooperate with Plaintiffs in providing additional information or representations necessary to enable Plaintiffs to issue a 60-Day Notice and Certificate of Merit concerning DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in the Covered Products ("Notice").

- 8.3 Not later than ninety (90) days after Plaintiffs receive a completed Opt-In Stipulation, any additional information or representations necessary to support a Notice, and the payment(s) required pursuant to Section 5.1.2 above, Plaintiffs shall, if they have not already previously done so, send a Notice pursuant to California Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) to the Opt-In Defendant, to the Office of the California Attorney General, to every California district attorney, and to every California city attorney required to receive such a notice pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7.
- 8.4 No earlier than seventy (70) days from the date specified in a Notice sent to an Opt-In Defendant and provided that no authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 has filed a lawsuit against that Opt-In Defendant with respect to DEHP, BBP, or DBP in the Covered Products, Plaintiffs shall file in this Court an application for entry of any executed Opt-In Stipulation Plaintiffs have received pursuant to the above and shall serve notice thereof on all Initial Settling Defendants via email. Such application must be filed with the Court by November 1, 2011, at the latest unless the Court provides leave authorizing a later date. If the Court approves the application for entry of the Opt-In Stipulations, the Complaint shall be deemed to have been amended to specifically name the Opt-In Defendants that executed the Opt-In Stipulations as named defendants in this Action and each such Opt-In Defendant shall be deemed to have become a full Settling Defendant under this Consent Judgment and will likewise assume all applicable obligations and rights set forth under this Consent Judgment. In the event that an authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 files a lawsuit against an Opt-In Defendant with respect to DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in the Covered Products prior to running of the sixty (60) day period established by a Notice issued by Plaintiffs pursuant to Section 8.3 above, Plaintiffs shall refund the full payment submitted to them by such an Opt-In Defendant and then have no further obligations to that Opt-In Defendant under this Section 8.
- **8.5** At the time Plaintiffs file the application for entry of the Opt-in Stipulations with the Court pursuant to Section 8.4 above, they shall prepare and file with the Court and serve on the Office of the California Attorney General, an application for approval of the attorneys' fees and cost reimbursement payments collected in conjunction with such Opt-In

Stipulations pursuant to Section 5.1.2 above. The application shall be supported by one or more declarations reporting the results of the Opt-In program provided for in this Section 8, including all expenses and attorneys fees incurred by Plaintiffs' counsel with respect to the Opt-In Defendants and the Opt-In program relative to the attorneys' fee and cost reimbursement provided by Section 5.1.2 above. In the event that the application indicates that total amount of expenses and attorneys fees incurred by Plaintiffs' counsel with respect to the Opt-In program is less than the total amount of reimbursement provided pursuant to Section 5.1.2 above, the application shall provide that, upon approval of the application by the Court, Plaintiffs' counsel shall, within thirty (30) days, disgorge the difference to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiffs' counsel may offset any amount that would otherwise be disgorged pursuant to this Section with those attorneys fees and costs incurred with respect to their investigation, litigation and enforcement of this entire matter including the fees and costs related to negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Amended Consent Judgment that exceed the payments made by the Settling Defendants.

# 9. ADDITIONAL ENFORCEMENT FOR NONCONFORMING NON-COVERED PRODUCTS

9.1 If, on or after July 1, 2010, Plaintiffs allege that a Settling Defendant offered for retail sale to California consumers, or to a distributor for the purpose of retail sales in California, a product not primarily intended for use by persons ages twelve and younger that is not a Covered Product for an Initial Settling Defendant as specified on Exhibit A or for an Opt-In Defendant as specified on its Stipulation for Entry of Judgment pursuant to Section 8.2 above, does not fall within the product categories of (i) sauna suits, (ii) exercise mats or exercise balls, (iii) window coverings or curtains, or (iv) vinyl flooring, and that contains DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in an amount that exceeds the 3P Standard ("Nonconforming Non-Covered Product"), then prior to Plaintiffs serving a 60-Day Notice under Proposition 65 on such Settling Defendant, Plaintiffs shall provide a letter to the Settling Defendant and the Parties shall then proceed pursuant to this Section 9. The letter shall contain the following information:

(a) the date the alleged violation was observed and the product was purchased, including a copy of the sales receipt; (b) the location or website at which the product was offered for sale; (c) a description of the product, including a picture thereof and a picture of identifying information appearing on the tag or label; and (d) data obtained by Plaintiffs regarding the product such as laboratory results associated with the testing of the product.

- 9.2 Notice of Election. Within 30 days of receiving a letter pursuant to Section 9.1, the Settling Defendant shall serve a Notice of Election on Plaintiffs. The Notice of Election shall:
  - **9.2.1** Identify to Plaintiffs (by proper name, address of principal place of business and telephone number) the person or entity that sold the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product to the Settling Defendant;
  - **9.2.2** Identify the manufacturer and other distributors in the chain of distribution of the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product, provided that such information is reasonably available to the Settling Defendant; and
  - 9.2.3 Include either: (i) a statement that the Settling Defendant elects not to proceed under this Section 9, in which case Plaintiffs may take further action including issuance of a 60-Day Notice under Proposition 65; (ii) a statement that the Settling Defendant elects to proceed under this Section 9, or (iii) a statement that the Settling Defendant contends that the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product is released from liability by a Qualified Settlement under Section 9.4.1 along with a copy of such Qualified Settlement.
- 9.3 A party's disclosure pursuant to this Section 9 of any (i) test reports, (ii) confidential business information, or (iii) other information that may be subject to a claim of privilege or confidentiality, shall not constitute a waiver of any such claim of privilege or confidentiality, provided that the Party disclosing such information shall clearly designate it as confidential. Any Party receiving information designated as confidential pursuant to this Section 9 shall not disclose such information to any unrelated person or entity, and shall use such information solely for purposes of resolving any disputes under this Consent Judgment.

- 9.4 No further action is required of the Settling Defendant under this Consent Judgment, and Plaintiffs shall not serve a 60-Day Notice on the Settling Defendant regarding the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product, if either:
  - 9.4.1 The Nonconforming Non-Covered Product is otherwise released from liability for alleged violations of Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP, BBP, and/or DBP in the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product by the terms of a separate settlement agreement or consent judgment entered into under Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7 and, if an authorized public prosecutor of Proposition 65 is not a party, reported to the California Attorney General's Office ("Qualified Settlement"); or
  - **9.4.2** At least one of the person(s) identified by the Settling Defendant pursuant to Sections 9.2.1 or 9.2.2 (i) is a person in the course of doing business as defined in Health & Safety Code § 25249.11(b), (ii) has a principal place of business located within the United States, and (iii) sold the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product identified by the Plaintiffs within two year of the Settling Defendant's Notice of Election that was served on Plaintiffs pursuant to Section 9.2 above.
- 9.5 If the Settling Defendant elects not to proceed under Section 9, then neither the Settling Defendant nor Plaintiffs have any further duty under this Section 9 and either may pursue any available remedies under Proposition 65 or otherwise.
- 9.6 If the Settling Defendant elects to proceed under this Section 9 and is not relieved of liability under Section 9.4, the Settling Defendant shall within sixty (60) days: (i) terminate its further distribution for sale of the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product in California (unless it is reformulated to meet the 3P Standard within that time), (ii) pay a statutory penalty in the amount of \$4,000 pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 25249.7(b), and (iii) pay \$25,800 in reimbursement of a portion of attorneys' fees and costs incurred by Plaintiffs with respect to the notice.
- **9.7** If a Settling Defendant makes payments pursuant to Section 9.6 and at a later date Plaintiffs resolve the alleged violation with the direct or indirect Vendor, identified in

Sections 9.2.1 or 9.2.2, of the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product, Plaintiffs shall notify the Settling Defendant and the Settling Defendant shall be entitled to a refund of the lesser amount of its contribution or the settlement amount paid by such Vendor. If the settlement or consent judgment between Plaintiffs and the direct or indirect Vendor of the Nonconforming Non-Covered Product does not provide for the refund to be paid directly by the Vendor to the Settling Defendant, then Plaintiffs shall pay the refund to the Settling Defendant within 15 days of receiving the Vendor's settlement payment.

9.8 Nothing in this Section 9 affects Plaintiffs' rights to issue a 60-Day Notice under Proposition 65 against any entity other than a Settling Defendant, except as to a Settling Defendant's customer of a Nonconforming Non-Covered Product that is subject to the Settling Defendant's election under Section 9.2.3(i) above.

### 10. COURT APPROVAL

- 10.1 This Consent Judgment shall become effective upon entry by the Court.
  Plaintiffs shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment and Settling
  Defendants shall support entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 10.2 If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose other than to allow the Court to determine if there was a material breach of Section 10.1.

#### 11. ATTORNEYS' FEES

11.1 Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Judgment, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs.

### 12. OTHER TERMS

- 12.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 12.2 This Consent Judgment shall apply to and be binding upon Plaintiffs and Settling Defendants, and their respective divisions, subdivisions, and subsidiaries, and the successors or assigns of any of them.

- 12.3 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter set forth in this Consent Judgment, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are deemed merged. There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between the Parties except as expressly set forth in this Consent Judgment. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party. No other agreements not specifically contained or referenced in this Consent Judgment, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver.
- 12.4 Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall release, or in any way affect any rights that any Settling Defendant might have against any other party, whether or not that party is a Settling Defendant.
- 12.5 The stipulations to this Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile or portable document format (.pdf), which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.
- 12.6 Each signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the Party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the Party represented and to legally bind that Party.
- 12.7 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparatio	on of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are	
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this	
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partie	es hereby waive California Civil Code Section
5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10	and was	
11	Signature Signature	Signature
12	ADDOUGD	-
13	Date: By Tony Held at 7:29 pm, Feb 27, 2011	Date:
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Defendant,	
16	Entity	
17		, e
18	Signature	
19	By:	
20	Print Name	
21	Its:	
22	Title	
23	Date:	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparatio	on of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are	
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this	
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section	
5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10		$A \subset a I$
11	Signature	Signature
12		
13	Date:	Date: February 28, 2011
14	AGREED TO:	
15		
16	Defendant,Entity	
17		
18	Signature	
19		
20	By:Print Name	
21	Its:	
22	Title	
23	Date:	
24		
25		
26	;	
27		
28		

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation	n of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are	
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should	not be employed in the interpretation of this
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partie	s hereby waive California Civil Code Section
5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10		
11	Signature	Signature
12	_	•
13	Date:	Date:
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Defendant, Acmo Accessorie	usinc.
16	Entity	· •
17	Jan S	
18	Signature	•
19	By: Jennifer Sebenius	
20		
21	Print Name  Its: Divertor of Little	SINO
22		$\mathcal{O}$
23	Date: 2/26	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section
5	1654.
6	
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
8	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10	
11	Signature Signature
12	
13	Date: Date:
14	AGREED TO:
15	
16	Defendant, Aldo U.S., Inc. Entity
17	
18	Signature
19	
20	By: Robert Kaven Print Name
21	Its: Vice President, Finance & Treasury, Assistant Secretary
22	Title and Treasurer
23	Date: February 23rd 2011
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Ì	

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation	n of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are	
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this	
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partie	s hereby waive California Civil Code Section
5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10		
11	Signature	Signature
12	Date:	-
13	Date	Date:
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Defendant, Butto F (AUTOPHIA) Entity	FetC.
16	Entity	
17		
18	Signature	
19	By: TSUGALYA SAEKI	
20	Print Name	
21	Its: CFD.	
22	Title  Date: 24-11	
23	Date:	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation	on of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are	
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this	
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partic	es hereby waive California Civil Code Section
.5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10		
11	Signature	Signature
12		-
13	Date:	Date:
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Defendant, Collective Brands,	Inc. and its subsidiaries
16	Entity	
17		
1.8	Signature	
19	By: Michael Massey	
20	Print Name	
21		
	Its: General Counsel 4	
22	Senior Vice-President	
	2.00	
23	Senior Vice-President	
<ul><li>22</li><li>23</li><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>	Senior Vice-President	
23 24	Senior Vice-President	
23 24 25	Senior Vice-President	

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this	
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are	
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this	
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partic	es hereby waive California Civil Code Section
5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10		
11	Signature	Signature
12	Date:	Date:
13	Date.	Dute.
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Defendant, FOSSIL, INC.	
16	Entity	
17	Mark Sint.	
18	Signature	
19	By: WARK (YUKK	
20 21	Print Name	***
22	Its: VICE CHARA	NEW)
23	Date: 2/24/11	
24		
25		
26		
27		

	lf .	
1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparatio	n of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rul	e of construction providing that ambiguities are
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should	not be employed in the interpretation of this
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partie	es hereby waive California Civil Code Section
5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10		
11	Signature	Signature
12	_	
13	Date:	Date:
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Defendant, HELEN OF TROY L.P.	
16	Entity  Sof: HELEN OF TROY N	ENADA CARD C
17	1)001	
18	Signature Signature	
19	By: VINCENT D-CANSON	
20	Print Name	
21	Its:S&VP	
22	Title	
23	Date: FEB. 24, 2011	
24		
25		
26		
27		

Party as a result of the manner of the preparation	on of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are	
to be resolved against the drafting Party should	not be employed in the interpretation of this
Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partic	es hereby waive California Civil Code Section
1654.	
·	
IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
Signature	Signature
Date	Date:
AGREED TO:	
Defendant. The Jones Group Inc.	
Entity	
The hotel	
Signature Signature	
Print Name	
Its: Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Sec	retary
Title	
Date: February 25, 2011	
•	
	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule to be resolved against the drafting Party should Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Particle 1654.  IT IS SO STIPULATED: AGREED TO: Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.  Signature  Date:  Entity  By: Ira M. Dansky  Print Name  Its: Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Sec Title

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this		
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are		
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this		
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section		
5	1654.	s nervey warre camorina civil code section	
6	1031.		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE	
10	Tidintili, 71(11101(1 E. 111111), 1 II.D., 1 I.D.	riamini, John Woord	
11	*		
12	Signature	Signature	
13	Date:	Date:	
14	A		
15	AGREED TO:		
16	Defendant, <u>Liz Claiborne, Inc./Kate Spa</u> Entity	ade	
17	- 0 0		
18	Day Beom		
19	Signature		
20	By: Daryl Brown		
	Print Name		
21	Its: <u>VP Business Ethics &amp; Compliance</u> Title		
22	Date: March 2, 2011		
23	Date		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this		
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are		
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this		
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section		
5	1654.		
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE	
.10_			
11	 Signature	Signature	
12	Date:	Date:	
13	Date	Date	
14	AGREED TO:		
15 16	Defendant, <u>Sears Roebuck and C</u> o Entity	Kmart Corporation +	
17 18	MARY Tov Levi Cl Signature		
19 20	By: Mary Tortorice Print Name		
21	Its: VP   Deputy General Co	xonsel	
22	Title '		
23	Date: 3 8 N		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

I	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this		
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are		
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this		
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section		
5	1654.		
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE	
10			
11	Signature	Signature	
12			
13	Date:	Date:	
14	AGREED TO:		
15	Limited Brands, Inc.		
16	Dofendant, Victoria's Secret Stores, LLC Bath & Body Works, LLC		
17	Entity	,	
18	Signature		
19	By: Douglas L. Williams		
20	Print Name		
21	Its: EVP, General Counsel		
22	Title		
23	Date: 25 Feb, 2011		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this		
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are		
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this		
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section		
5	1654.		
6	·		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE	
10			
11	Signature	Signature	
12	Date:	Date:	
13			
14	AGREED TO:		
15	Defendant, Loungely Inc.		
16	Entity		
17	One an Hollman		
18	/ WSignature		
19 20	By: Jason Ho-f-Emdin		
21	Print Name		
22	Its: Director of Operations Title  Date: 2/28/11		
23	Date: $2/28/i1$		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this		
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are		
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this		
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code Section		
5	1654.		
6			
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:		
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE	
10		•	
11	Signature	Signature	
12			
13	Date:	Date:	
14	AGREED TO:		
15	Defendant, Phillips-Van Heusen Corpo	Vietna	
16	Entity		
17	All modern services		
18	Signature Signature		
19	RV. Mark D Fischer		
20	Print Name		
21	Its: Sevier Vice President  Title  Date: 3/1/11		
22	Title		
23	Date:3////		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparation	of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule	e of construction providing that ambiguities are
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should	not be employed in the interpretation of this
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partie	s hereby waive California Civil Code Section
5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10		
11	Signature	Signature
12		Date:
13	Date:	Date
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Defendant, Sun MANIXA L	73
16	Entity	
17	50 1	
18	Signature	
19	By: (2) Rosculell	
20	Print Name	
21	Its:	
22	Title	
23	Date:	
24		
25	**************************************	
26		
27		

1	Party as a result of the manner of the preparatio	n of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this
2	Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule	e of construction providing that ambiguities are
3	to be resolved against the drafting Party should	not be employed in the interpretation of this
4	Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Partie	es hereby waive California Civil Code Section
5	1654.	
6		
7	IT IS SO STIPULATED:	
8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Plaintiff, ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.	Plaintiff, JOHN MOORE
10		
11	Signature	Signature
12		Date:
13	Date:	Date
14	AGREED TO:	
15	Defendant, Trebbianno, L2C Entity	
16	Entity	
17		
18	Signature	
19	By: Richard Schaefo	
20	Print Name	
21	Its: CFO	
22	Title	
23	Date: $2/24/2011$	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

# **EXHIBIT A**

# Exhibit A Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership): Phillips-Van Heusen Corporation and its subsidiaries\_

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown 11 below): 12 \_X\_ Wallets and other coin or bill holders 13 <u>X</u> Handbags, purses, clutches and totes 14 <u>X</u> **Belts** 15 <u>X</u> Footwear 16 <u>X</u> Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits) 17 <u>X</u> Jewelry 18 <u>X</u> Key holders, keychains, and key caps 19 <u>X</u> Luggage tags and ID cases 20 <u>X</u> Bag charms and zipper pulls 21 <u>X</u> Eyeglass cases 22 Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3 X 23 players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops 24 <u>X</u> Coverings for journal/address books 25 \_X\_ Cosmetic cases/bags 26 <u>X</u> Toiletry cases/bags 27

CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

28

1

2

### Exhibit A 1 2 Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership): 3 Sears Roebuck and Co. / Kmart Corporation 4 and their subsidiaries 5 6 7 8 9 Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown 10 below): 11 <u>X</u> Wallets and other coin or bill holders 12 <u>X</u> Handbags, purses, clutches and totes 13 <u>X</u> Belts 14 <u>X</u> Footwear 15 <u>X</u> Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits) 16 <u>X</u> Jewelry 17 <u>X</u> Key holders, keychains, and key caps 18 <u>X</u> Luggage tags and ID cases 19 <u>X</u> Bag charms and zipper pulls 20 <u>X</u> Eyeglass cases 21 <u>X</u> Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3 22 players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops 23 <u>X</u> Coverings for journal/address books 24 <u>X</u> Cosmetic cases/bags 25 <u>X</u> Toiletry cases/bags 26 27 28

## Exhibit A 1 2 Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership): 3 4 Loungefly, Inc. 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown 12 below): 13 Wallets and other coin or bill holders \_X\_ 14 Handbags, purses, clutches and totes 15 **Belts** 16 Footwear 17 Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits) 18 X Jewelry 19 Key holders, keychains, and key caps <u>X</u> 20 <u>X</u> Luggage tags and ID cases 21 Bag charms and zipper pulls <u>X</u> 22 Eyeglass cases 23 Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3 <u>X</u> 24 players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops 25 Coverings for journal/address books \_X\_ 26 Cosmetic cases/bags <u>X</u> 27 Toiletry cases/bags \_X\_ 28 -1-

# Exhibit A

Name	of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
	Aldo US Inc.
	Aldo Group Inc.
Fashi	on Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shows
below	):
$\checkmark$	Wallets and other coin or bill holders
	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
<u> </u>	Belts
<u> </u>	Footwear
1	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
$\sqrt{}$	Jewelry
V	Key holders, keychains, and key caps
	Luggage tags and ID cases
<u>~</u>	Bag charms and zipper pulls
V	Eyeglass cases
V	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP
	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
V	Coverings for journal/address books
<u>√</u>	Cosmetic cases/bags
<u> </u>	Toiletry cases/bags

1	Exhibit A		
2	None of Sattle - Defendance of the land of		
3	Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):		
4	Helen of Troy L.P.		
5	Belson Products (assumed name)		
6	Pro Beauty Tools (assumed name)		
7	Fusion Tools (assumed name)		
8			
9			
10	Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown		
11	below):		
12	Wallets and other coin or bill holders		
13	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes		
14	Belts		
15	Footwear		
16	X Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)		
17	x Jewelry		
18	Key holders, keychains, and key caps		
19	Luggage tags and ID cases		
20	Bag charms and zipper pulls		
21	Eyeglass cases		
22	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3		
23	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops		
24	Coverings for journal/address books		
25	<u>x</u> Cosmetic cases/bags		
26	<u>x</u> Toiletry cases/bags		
27			
28	,		
	-1-		

Exhibit A
Name of Sattling Defendant (and relevant associated autition under associated autition)
Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
Trebbiarro, LLC. 29 West 35B
29 West 35th
New York, My 1000)
212 568 2770
·
Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks show
below):
Wallets and other coin or bill holders
Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
Belts
Footwear
Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
Jewelry
Key holders, keychains, and key caps
Luggage tags and ID cases
Bag charms and zipper pulls
Eyeglass cases
Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP.
players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
Coverings for journal/address books
Cosmetic cases/bags
Toiletry cases/bags

28

1	Exhibit A
2	Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
3	<del></del> .
4	Fossil, Inc.
5	Fossil Stores I, Inc.
6	Fossil Stores I, Inc.
7	<del></del>
8	·
9	·
10	
11	Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
12	below):
13	Wallets and other coin or bill holders
14	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
15	- Relts
16	Footwear
17	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
18	Jewelry
19	Key holders, keychains, and key caps
20	Luggage tags and II) cases
21	Bag charms and zipper pulls
22	Eyeglass cases
23	✓ Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
24	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
25	Coverings for journal/address books
26	Cosmetic cases/bags
27	Toiletry cases/bags
28	· ·
	-1-
ı	CONSENT JUICOMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1	Exhibit A		
2			
3	Name of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):		
4	Collective Brands, Inc. and its subsidiaries		
5			
6			
7			
8	·		
9	·		
10	Fashion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown		
11	below):		
12	Wallets and other coin or bill holders		
13	X Handbags, purses, clutches and totes		
14	_X Belts		
15	X Footwear		
16	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)		
17	Jewelry		
18	Key holders, keychains, and key caps		
19	Luggage tags and ID cases		
20	Bag charms and zipper pulls		
21	Eyeglass cases		
22	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3		
23	players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops		
24	Coverings for journal/address books		
25	Cosmetic cases/bags		
26	Toiletry cases/bags		
27			
28	-1-		
·	CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729		

I		Exhibit A	
2			
3	Namo	e of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):	
4		Steven Madden, Ltd., and its subsidiaries	
5		Steven Madden Retail, Inc., and its subsidiaries	
6		Big Buddha, Inc.	
7			
8	Fashi	on Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown	
9	below	·):	
10	<u>X</u>	Wallets and other coin or bill holders	
11	<u>X</u>	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes	
12	<u>x</u>	Belts	
13	<u>_x</u>	Footwear	
14	天	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)	
15	X	Jewelry	
16	X	Key holders, keychains, and key caps	
17		Luggage tags and ID cases	
18		Bag charms and zipper pulls	
19	X	Eyeglass cases	
20	<u>x</u>	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3	
21		players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops	
22		Coverings for journal/address books	
23	天	Cosmetic cases/bags	
24	天	Toiletry cases/bags	
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-1-	

	İ	
1	1	
2	1	Exhibit A
3	Nan	ne of Settling Defendant (and relevant associated entities under common ownership):
4		Liz Claiborne, Inc. / Kate Spade
5		Axcess Ruby and Mila
6	l	Villager Mac and Jac
7	l	Kensie Juicy Couture .
8	ł	Lucky Brand Kate Spade/Jack Spade
9		DKNY Jeans DKNY Active
10		DKNY Mens Liz & Co
11	1	Liz Claiborne Claiborne Liz Claiborne NY
12	Fash	nion Accessories Categories Applicable to Above (as indicated via checkmarks shown
13	belo	w):
14	X.	Wallets and other coin or bill holders
15	<u>x</u>	Handbags, purses, clutches and totes
16	_ <b>x</b> _	Belts
17	x	Footwear
. 18	×	Apparel, including gloves and headwear (and excluding sauna suits)
19	×	Jewelry
20	x	Key holders, keychains, and key caps
21	<u> </u>	Luggage tags and ID cases
22	X	Bag charms and zipper pulls
23	_X_	Eyeglass cases
24	_X_	Coverings/cases for mobile electronic devices (e.g., for telephones, cameras, MP3
25		players, CDs/DVDs, and laptops
26	<u>.x</u> .	Coverings for journal/address books
27	X	Cosmetic cases/bags
28	<u> </u>	Toiletry cases/bags
		1

-]-

# **EXHIBIT B**

1	Exhibi	t B	
2			
3	Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1		
4	Susan M. Rosenfeld	Michael J. Steel	
5	Name	Name	
6	Associate General Counsel – Intellectual Property Title	Partner Title	
7	Phillips-Van Heusen Corporation	Morrison & Foerster LLP	
8	200 Madison Avenue	425 Market Street	
9	Address Line 1	Address Line 1	
10	New York, NY 10016 Address Line 2	San Francisco, CA 94105Address Line 2	
[]	susanrosenfeld@pvh.com	MSteel@mofo.com	
12	Email Address	Email Address	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18		1	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-2-		

1	Ext	hibit B	
2			
3	Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1		
4	Cary W. Mergele	Michael J. Steel	
5	Name	Name	
6	Associate General Counsel Title	Partner Title	
7	Sears Holdings Management Corporation	Morrison & Foerster LLP	
8	3333 Beverly Road Address Line 1	425 Market Street	
9		Address Line 1	
10	Hoffman Estates, IL 60179 Address Line 2	San Francisco, CA 94105 Address Line 2	
11	Cary.Mergele@searshc.com	MSteel@mofo.com	
12	Email Address	Email Address	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		-2-	
- 1	CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEA	AD CASE NO CGC-10-497729	

1	Exhibit B		
2	Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1		
3	Transchale II		
4	Trevor Shultz Jeffrey B, Margulies Name Name		
5	CEO Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P. Title Title		
6	100		
7	923 Oso Avenue 555 South Flower Street, 41st Floor Address Line 1 Address Line 1		
8	Chatsworth, CA 91311 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Address Line 2 Address Line 2		
9			
10	imargulies@fulbright.com Email Address Email Address		
н			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	-2-		
Ī	CONRENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO CCC-10-497729		

## Exhibit B

## Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 8.1

Catherine Ross
Legal Department
Aldo US Inc.
2300 Emile-Belanger
Montreal, Quebec
H4R 3J4
Canada
cross@aldogroup.com

With a copy to: Jodi Smith Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker, LLP 55 Second Street, 24th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94105 jodismith@paulhastings.com

Exhibit B Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1 and Robert Name Morrison + Office of General Counsel 1 Helen of Troy Plaza Address Line 1 El Paso, Texas 79912 Address Line 2 vcarson@hotus.com; wbass@hotus.com Email Address -2-

1		
2	Exh	ibit B
3	Person(s) to receive Notice	ces Pursuant to Section 7.1
4	TSUGUVA SAEKI	
5	TSUGUYA SAEKI Name CFO	Name
6	40.1	Title
7	Address Line 1  SANTA FE SPRINGS (A 900 70  Address Line 2  Teack (@ but noterys Come Email Address	Title
8	Address Line 1	Address Line 1
9	Address Line 2	Address Line 2
10	Teachile buembers com	Address Ellie 2
11	Email Address	Email Address
12	1	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
- 1		

I		١		
2	Exhibit B			
3	Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1			
4	Douglas L. Williams			
5	Name Name			
6	EVP & General Counsel Title Title			
7 8	3 Limited Parkway Address Line 1 Address Line 1			
9	Columbus, Ohio 43230 Address Line 2 Address Line 2			
10 11	dwilliams@limitedbrands.com Email-Address Email Address			
12				
13		ļ		
14		l		
15				
16		ļ		
17				
18				
19				
20 21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

Exhibit B Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1 Allow Morkovs Leek Goodle Malloy - Mittie LP Trobogono, LLC
Address Line 1

SIS South Figueroa ST, 7th flor 29 West 35th ST.
Address Line 2

Los Andress Line 2 Hew York M 10101 Email Address jallen@allenmatkins.com -2-CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

Exhibit B Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1 Michael J. Massey Michael Morant Name Name Senior Vice President and General Counsel Title Counsel Title 3231 SE Sixth Avenue Address Line 1 3231 SE Sixth Avenue Address Line 1 Topeka, Kansas 66607 Address Line 2 Topeka, Kansas 66607 Address Line 2 Michael, Massey@collective brands.com Email Address Michael Morant@collective brands.com Email Address CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEAD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729

1	Ex	hibit B			
2	Person(s) to receive Not	ices Pursuant to Section 7.1			
3	Matthew R. Orr, Esq.				
4	Name	Name			
5	Counsel for Steve Madden, Ltd. and Steve Madden Retail, Inc.				
6	Title	Title			
7	610 Newport Center Drive, Suite 700 Address Line 1	Address Line 1			
8	Newport Beach, CA 92660	Address Line 1			
9	Address Line 2	Address Line 2			
10	morr@calljensen.com Email Address	Email Address			
11	Email Address	Elitati Address			
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	-2-				
	CONSENT JUDGMENT - LEA	AD CASE NO. CGC-10-497729			

-2-

262728

# **EXHIBIT C**

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Clifford A. Chanler (Bar No. 135534) Laurence D. Haveson (Bar No. 152631) Josh Voorhees (Bar No. 241436) Troy C. Bailey ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiffs ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E. and JOHN MOORE		
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	COUNTY OF SAN	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
13	UNLIMITED JU	RISDICTION	
14			
15	ANTHONY E. HELD, Ph.D., P.E.,	Lead Case No. CGC-10-497729	
16	Plaintiff, v.		
17	ALDO U.S., INC., ALDO GROUP, INC, et al.,	AMENDED STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT	
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	JOHN MOORE,		
21	Plaintiff, v.		
22	KATE SPADE, LLC, et al.,		
23	Defendants.		
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
۷٥			
	AMENDED STIPULATION FO SFSC CASE NO. CGC-10-497729 AND CGC-	R ENTRY OF JUDGMENT 10-498981 (CONSOLIDATED HEREIN)	

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Luggage tags and ID cases

☐ DEHP

 $\square$  BBP

 $\square$  DBP

 MENDED STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT SFSC CASE NO. CGC-10-497729 AND CGC-10-498981 (CONSOLIDATED HEREIN)

Exhibit B		
Person(s) to receive Notices Pursuant to Section 7.1 of the Consent Judgment		
Name	Name	
Γitle	Title	<u>-</u>
Address Line 1	Address Line 1	
Address Line 2	Address Line 2	
Email Address	Email Address	