Plaintiffs, Plain				
DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E. David M. Glaspy, State Bar No. 095332 MCGIVNEY, KLUGER & GLASPY 100 Pringle Ave., Suite 750 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 947-1300 Facsimile: (925) 947-1594 Attorneys for Defendants AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION and HAMILTON BELL CO., INC. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION; HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants. Date: Time: Dept: 24 Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch	2 3	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880		
MCGIVNEY, KLUGER & GLASPY 100 Pringle Ave., Suite 750 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 947-1300 Facsimile: (925) 947-1594 Attorneys for Defendants AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION and HAMILTON BELL CO., INC. SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION AND HAMILTON BELL CO., INC. AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION; HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants. Date: Time: Dept: 24 Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch		DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and		
12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, OIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION AND HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants. DAMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC Doept: 24 Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch	8 9 10	MCGIVNEY, KLUGER & GLASPY 100 Pringle Ave., Suite 750 Walnut Creek, CA 94596 Telephone: (925) 947-1300 Facsimile: (925) 947-1594 Attorneys for Defendants	N and	
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs, V. AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION; HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants. Date: Date: Dept: 24 Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch	12	HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.		
UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, V. AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION; HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants. DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION AND HAMILTON BELL CO., INC. Date: Time: Dept: 24 Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch				
DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, V. AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION; HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants. DCase No. RG13706417) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT TO DEFENDANT AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION AND HAMILTON BELL CO., INC. Date: Time: Dept: 24 Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch		COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, V. AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION; HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants. DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN and ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs, DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION AND HAMILTON BELL CO., INC. Date: Time: Dept: 24 Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch		UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION; HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive, Defendants. Defendants. Date: Time: Dept: 24 Judge: Hon. Frank Roesch Defendants.	17 18	ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E., Plaintiffs,))[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS)TO DEFENDANT AMERICAN)DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION AND	
252627	21 22	AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORATION; HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,) Time:) Dept: 24	
	25			
[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC CORPORA	27 28	[PROPOSED] CONSENT HIDCMENT AS TO DE	FENDANT AMERICAN DIAGNOSTIC COPPODATION	

AND HAMILTON BELL CO., INC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Anthony E. Held, PhD., P.E., Dr. Whitney R. Leeman, and American Diagnostic Corporation, Hamilton Bell Co., Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiffs Anthony E. Held, PhD., P.E. ("Held"), Dr. Whitney R. Leeman ("Leeman"; collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs"), and defendants American Diagnostic Corporation ("ADC") and Hamilton Bell Co., Inc. ("HBI") with Held and Leeman, and ADC and HBI collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Anthony E. Held, PhD., P.E.

Held is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Dr. Whitney R. Leeman.

Leeman is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.4 American Diagnostic Corporation.

ADC employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.5 Hamilton Bell Co., Inc.

HBI employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.6 General Allegations.

Held and Leeman allege that ADC and HBI have manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold instrument pouches/cases and vinyl/PVC tape measures causing an exposure to lead

for use in the State of California without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. Lead is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

1.7 Notices of Violation.

On May 31 2013, Leeman served ADC, HBI, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" alleging that ADC and HBI violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn consumers that instrument pouches/cases including, but not limited to, the *EKG Caliper*, #395 (*UPC* #6 34782 00191 9; 7 49361 13510 4) exposed users in California to lead. On September 26 2013, Held served ADC, HBI, and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation" alleging that ADC and HBI violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn consumers that instrument pouches/cases including, but not limited to, the *EKG Caliper*, #395 (*UPC* #6 34782 00191 9; 7 49361 13510 4); and that ADC sold vinyl/PVC tape measures, including but not limited to the *ADC Woven Tape Measure*, #396, (*UPC* #6 34782 03288 3) exposed users in California to lead ("Notices").

1.8 Complaint.

On December 11, 2013, Leeman and Held filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against ADC, HBI, and Does 1 through 150, *Leeman*, *Held v*. *American Diagnostic Corporation*, *et al.*, Case No. RG13706417 ("Action"), alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to lead contained in certain instrument pouches/cases and vinyl/PVC tape measures sold by ADC and HBI in the State of California.

1.9 No Admission.

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Notices and Complaint, or that could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. ADC and HBI deny the material, factual and legal allegations contained in the Notices and the Complaint, and maintain that all of the products they have manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold in the State of California,

1 including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. By execution 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, ADC and HBI do not admit any facts or conclusions of law, including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to lead in Covered Products, such being specifically denied by ADC and HBI. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by ADC and HBI of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by ADC and HBI of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense ADC and HBI may have in this or any other future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by ADC and HBI for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in this action. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of ADC and HBI under this Consent Judgment.

1.10 **Consent to Jurisdiction.**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, ADC and HBI stipulates that this Court has jurisdiction over ADC and HBI as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means any instrument pouches/cases including, but not limited to, the EKG Caliper, #395 (UPC #6 34782 00191 9; 7 49361 13510 4), and any vinyl/PVC tape measures, including but not limited to the ADC Woven Tape Measure, #396, UPC #6 34782 03288 3) manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold in the State of California by ADC and HBI.
 - 2.2 "Effective Date" means September 30, 2014.

2.3 "Vendor" means a person or entity that manufactures, imports, distributes, or supplies a product to ADC and HBI.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PRODUCT REFORMULATION

3.1 Reformulation Commitment and Standards.

As of the Effective Date, ADC and HBI shall only manufacture or sell Covered Products which contain less than or equal to lead 100 parts per million of lead in each Accessible Component when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining lead content in a solid substance ("Reformulated Covered Products"). By entering into this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not intend to expand or restrict any obligations or responsibilities that may be imposed upon ADC and HBI by laws other than Proposition 65, nor do the Parties intend this Consent Judgment to affect any defenses available to ADC and HBI under such other laws.

3.2 Vendor Notification Requirement.

To the extent it has not already done so, on or before the Effective Date, ADC and HBI shall provide the reformulation standards specified in Section 3.1 for Reformulated Covered Products to any and all of its vendors of Covered Products or their component parts that will be sold or offered for sale to California consumers, and shall instruct each vendor to provide only Reformulated Covered Products or component parts that meet the reformulation standards for Reformulated Covered Products in Section 3.1 above.

3.3 Sales of Existing Products with Warnings

Nothing in this consent judgment shall preclude ADC and HBI from shipping and selling in California its existing inventory of Products. Commencing on September 30, 2014, ADC and HBI agrees that any Products that ADC and HBI manufactured prior to September 30, 2014, and which ADC and HBI directly distributes to, imports to, ships to, sells in, or offers for sale in California that are not Reformulated Products as defined in Section 3.1 will include a warning affixed to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product that states:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer.

4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, ADC and HBI shall pay a total of \$23,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), 12.5% of the penalty remitted to Leeman, and 12.5% of the penalty remitted to Held, as follows:

4.1 Initial Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

ADC and HBI shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$3,000.00 on or before September 30, 2014. ADC and HBI shall issue three separate checks to: (a) OEHHA, in the amount of \$2,250.00; (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Dr. Whitney R. Leeman" in the amount of \$375.00; and (c) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Anthony E. Held, PhD., P.E." in the amount of \$375.00. All penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses listed in Section 4.4.1 below.

4.2 Final Civil Penalty Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b).

ADC and HBI shall pay a final civil penalty in the amount \$20,000 on or before

November 30, 2014. The final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, if, on or before

November 15, 2014, an Officer of ADC and an Officer of HBI certify in writing that it, as of

September 30, 2014, each one has manufactured for sale in California only Reformulated

Covered Products and that each will continue to manufacture, distribute, sell and offer for sale in

California only Reformulated Covered Products, or that each have discontinued selling the

Covered Products on or before the Effective Date. Such certification must be received by The

Chanler Group on or before November 15, 2014. The certification in lieu of paying the final

civil penalty provided by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. Unless

waived, ADC and HBI shall issue three separate checks for its final civil penalty payment to: (a)

1	OE
2	Lee
3	PhI
4	
5	
6	disp
7	the
8	sett
9	oth
10	acc
11	prii
12	Pro
13	fees
14	Gei
15	\$36
16	this
17	and
18	pay
19	sha
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

OEHHA, in the amount of \$15,000.00; (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Dr. Whitney R. Leeman" in the amount of \$2,500.00; and (c) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Anthony E. Held, PhD., P.E." in the amount of \$2,500.00.

4.3 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs.

The Parties acknowledge that Leeman and Held, and their counsel, offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. ADC and HBI then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman and Held and their counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed (and to be performed) in this matter, except fees that may be incurred in connection with a third-party, including the Office of the Attorney General, appeal (if any). Under these legal principles, ADC and HBI shall pay the amount of \$36,000.00 to reimburse Plaintiffs' fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, including the fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest. This payment shall be made payable to no later than September 30, 2014, to The Chanler Group and shall be delivered to the address in Section 4.4.1(a) below.

4.4 Payment Procedures.

4.4.1 Funds Held In Trust

- (a) All payments owed to Leeman and Held, pursuant to Sections
- 4.1 through 4.2, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

(b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to

6

25

1	Sections 4.1 and 4.2, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo		
2	line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:		
3	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
4	Mike Gyurics		
5	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
6	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
7	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
8	Mike Gyurics		
9	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
10	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814		
11	With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to The Chanler		
12	Group at the address set forth above in 4.4.1(a), as proof of payment to		
13	ОЕННА.		
14	If for any reason this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court within nine (9)		
15	months of September 30, 2014, Plaintiffs shall meet and confer with ADC and HBI about		
16	mutually agreeable steps the parties can take to ensure entry of the Consent Judgment. If such		
17	steps cannot be agreed between the Parties, Plaintiffs shall return promptly any and all monies		
18	paid and held in trust herein under Sections 4.1, 4.2 (if not waived) and 4.3 upon ADC's and		
19	HBI's written request.		
20	4.4.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms		
21	After each penalty payment, ADC and HBI shall issue separate 1099 forms for each		
22	payment to Leeman and Held, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished		
23	upon request after this Consent Judgment has been fully executed by the Parties at the addresses		
24	listed in Section 4.4.1 above.		
25	5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED		
26	5.1 Leeman and Held, acting on behalf of themselves and in the public interest,		
27	hereby release ADC and HBI, their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under		

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, shareholders ("Defendant Releasees"), and any of their downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, licensees, and any other person or entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 that has been asserted by Leeman and Held in the public interest in their Notices and Complaint regarding the alleged failure to warn about exposure to lead in Covered Products sold or distributed by ADC and HBI prior to the Effective Date. ADC's and HBI's compliance with this Consent Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to lead in Covered Products.

- 5.2 Leeman and Held on behalf of themselves, their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against ADC and HBI, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation of Proposition 65 regarding the failure to warn about exposure to lead in Covered Products sold or distributed prior to the Effective Date.
- 5.3 Leeman and Held also, in their individual capacities only and *not* in their representative capacities, provide a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman and Held of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged exposure to any chemical listed under Proposition 65 from use of the Covered Products manufactured prior to the Effective Date. Leeman and Held acknowledge that they are familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

25

26

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Leeman and Held, in their individual capacities only and *not* in their representative capacities, expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred on them by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities, other than ADC and HBI, that manufactured the Covered Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Covered Products or any component parts thereof to ADC and HBI.

- 5.4 ADC and HBI waive any and all Claims against Leeman and Held, their attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Leeman and Held and their attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.
- 5.5 ADC and HBI also provide a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of ADC and HBI of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Action. ADC and HBI acknowledge that they are familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER

FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

ADC and HBI expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred on it by, the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

6. COURT APPROVAL

- **6.1** By this Consent Judgment and upon its approval, the Parties waive their right to a trial on the merits, and waive their right to initiate appellate review of this Consent Judgment, and of any and all interim rulings, including all pleading, procedural, and discovery orders.
- 6.2 The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Leeman and Held shall file and which ADC and HBI shall support as reasonably necessary.
- 6.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7. GOVERNING LAW

- 7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, and shall apply only to Covered Products offered for sale in the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then ADC and HBI may provide written notice to Leeman and Held of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.
- 7.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To ADC and HBI:

David M. Glaspy Mcgivney, Kluger & Glaspy 100 Pringle Ave., Suite 750 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

To Leeman and Held:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group

2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

8.2 Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. MODIFICATION

- **9.1 Modification.** This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court, or by motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court.
- **9.2 Subsequent Legislation.** If, subsequent to the Effective Date, legislation or regulation is adopted that addresses the lead content of Covered Products sold in California hereunder, any Party shall be entitled to request that the Court modify the reformulation standard in Section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment for good cause shown.
- **9.3 Notice; Meet and Confer.** Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment or to allege a violation thereof shall first attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver unless set forth in writing between the Parties.

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent To Judgment and shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Consent Judgment, or any provision thereof, under C.C.P. §664.6.

1 12. **COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES** 2 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or 3 portable document format (pdf), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, 4 when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. 5 13. **AUTHORIZATION** 6 13.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of 7 8 this Consent Judgment. 9 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 10 Date: 11 12 13 Defendant American Diagnostic Corporation 14 15 16 17 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: **APPROVED** 18 By Tony Held at 2:01 pm, Aug 21, 2014 19 20 By: 21 E. Held, PhD., P.E. 22 23 24 25 26

27

1	portable document format (pdf), each of which	shall be deemed an original, and all of which,
2	when taken together, shall constitute one and the	ne same document.
3	13. AUTHORIZATION	
4	13.1 The undersigned are authorized	to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of
5	their respective Parties and have read, understo	od, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of
6	this Consent Judgment.	
7 8	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
9	Date:	Date: 8/20/14
10		,
11	Rv.	By: Malital
12	By:	Defendant American Diagnostic Corporation
13		
14		
15	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
16	Date:	Date:
17		
18	Ву:	Ву:
19	By: Plaintiff Anthony E. Held, PhD., P.E.	By: Defendant Hamilton Bell Co., Inc.
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		13