1 2 3 4 5 6	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Harris A. Weinstein, State Bar No. 282166 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
11	RUSSELL BRIMER,	) Case No. 113CV243043	
12	Plaintiff,	)	
13	v.	) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
14	PETCO ANIMAL SUPPLIES, INC.; and	) Dept:	
15	DOES 1-150, inclusive,	Judge: Date: None set	
16	Defendants.	Action Filed: March 15, 2013	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

### 

#### CONSENT JUDGMENT

#### 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

#### 1.1 Russell Brimer and Petco Animal Supplies, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Russell Brimer ("Brimer"), and Petco Animal Supplies, Inc. ("Petco"), with Brimer and Petco collectively referred to as the "Parties." Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products. Brimer is an individual acting in the public interest pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(d). Petco employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.2 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that Petco has manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in the State of California photo frames containing lead, vinyl/PVC raincoats containing DEHP, and aquarium nets with vinyl/PVC handles containing lead and DEHP without first providing the clear and reasonable warning required by Proposition 65. Lead and DEHP are listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as chemicals known to the state of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

#### 1.3 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Settlement Agreement are defined as: (1) photo frames containing lead including, but not limited to, \$1 Deals Photo Frame, SKU# 1389734 (#8 00443 14068 0); (2) vinyl/PVC raincoats containing DEHP including, but not limited to, Petco Raincoat For Dogs, SKU # 1344854 (#8 00443 12211 2); and (3) aquarium nets with vinyl/PVC handles containing lead and DEHP including, but not limited to, Petco Aquarium Net, SKU #1190962 (#8 00443 10611 2) manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by Petco in the state of California, collectively hereinafter the "Products."

# 

# 

# 

## 

### 

### 

## 

### 

#### 

### 

#### 

### 

### 

#### 

## 

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 1.4 Notices of Violation

On May 24, 2012, Brimer served Petco and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice that Petco was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that its photo frames exposed users in California to lead.

On December 20, 2012, Brimer served Petco and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Supplemental Notice") that provided the recipients with notice that Petco was in violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers that its photo frames exposed users in California to lead, its vinyl/PVC raincoats exposed users in California to DEHP, and its aquarium nets with vinyl/PVC handles exposed users in California to lead and DEHP. The Notice and Supplemental Notice shall collectively be referred to hereinafter as the "Notices." To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public prosecutor has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action against these violations.

#### 1.5 Complaint

On March 15, 2013, Brimer filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Santa Clara against Petco and Does 1 through 150, *Brimer v. Petco Animal Supplies, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 113CV243043 (the "Action"), alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to lead contained in certain photo frames and aquarium nets with vinyl/PVC handles and DEHP contained in vinyl/PVC raincoats and aquarium nets with vinyl/PVC handles sold by Petco in the State of California..

#### 1.6 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date on which this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

#### 1.7 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Petco as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.8 Duties Limited to California

This Consent Judgment shall have no effect on products sold by Petco for sale, distribution or use outside of California.

#### 1.9 No Admission

Petco denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Brimer's Notice and maintains that all products that it has sold and distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Petco of any fact, finding, issue of law or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Petco of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Petco under this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

#### 2.1 Reformulation Standards

"Reformulated Products" are defined as:

- (a) those Products that contain a total lead content of less than or equal to 100 parts per million ("ppm") when analyzed pursuant to Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3050B and/or 6010B in each accessible component; and
- (b) those Products containing DEHP in concentrations less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the DEHP content in a solid substance.

#### 2.2 Reformulation Commitment

As of September 1, 2013, all Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale in the State of California by Petco shall be Products that qualify as Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.1 above.

#### 3. PENALTIES PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Petco shall pay a total of \$22,500 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Brimer, as follows:

#### 3.1 <u>Initial Civil Penalty</u>

Petco shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$6,500 within ten days of the Effective Date. Petco shall issue two separate checks to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$4,875; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$1,625. All penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses listed in Section 3.3 below.

#### **3.2 Final Civil Penalty**

Petco shall pay a final civil penalty of \$16,000 on or before October 1, 2013. The final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, however, if, no later than September 15, 2013, an officer of Petco provides Brimer with written certification that, as of the date of such certification and continuing into the future, Petco has met the reformulation standard specified in Section 2 above, such that all Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and offered for sale in California by Petco are Reformulated Products. Brimer must receive any such certification on or before September 15, 2013. The certification in lieu of a final civil penalty payment provided by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. Petco shall issue two separate checks for its final civil penalty payments to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$12,000; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$4,000.

#### 3.3 Payment Procedures

- **3.3.1. Issuance of Payments.** Payments shall be delivered as follows:
  - (a) All payments owed to Brimer, pursuant to Sections 3.1 through 3.2, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

1	The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller		
2	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
3	Berkeley, CA 94710		
4	(b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Sections		
5	3.1 through 3.2, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop		
6	65 Penalties") at the following addresses:		
7	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
8	Mike Gyrics		
9	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
10	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
11	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
12	Mike Gyrics		
13	Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
14	1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814		
15	With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to The Chanler		
16	Group at the address set forth above in 3.3.1(a), as proof of payment to		
17	ОЕННА.		
18	<b>3.3.2 Issuance of 1099 Forms.</b> After each penalty payment, Petco shall issue		
19	separate 1099 forms for each payment to Brimer, whose address and tax identification number		
20			
21	shall be furnished upon request after this Consent Judgment has been fully executed by the Parties,		
22	and OEHHA at the addresses listed in Section 3.3.1 above.		
23	4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS		
24	The parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without		
25	reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee		
26	issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Brimer then		
27	expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been		

finalized. The parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to

Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. Petco shall pay The Chanler Group \$36,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Petco's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Petco shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 94-3171522), shall make the check payable to "The Chanler Group" and shall deliver payment within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date, to the address listed in Section 3.3.1(a) above.

#### 5. RELEASES

#### 5.1 Brimer's Release of Petco

This Consent Judgment is a full, final and binding resolution between Brimer, and Petco, of any violation of Proposition 65 that was or could have been asserted by Brimer on behalf of himself his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, against Petco, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, and each entity to whom Petco directly or indirectly distributes or sells Products including, but not limited, to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members and licensees ("Releasees"), based on their failure to warn about alleged exposures to lead and DEHP contained in the Products that were manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by Petco in California before September 1, 2013.

In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, Brimer on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives all his rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims that he may have including, without limitation, all actions and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses -- including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal -- limited to and arising under Proposition 65 with respect to lead and DEHP in the Products manufactured, distributed, sold and/or offered for sale by Petco before the Effective Date (collectively "claims"), against Petco and Releasees.

Brimer also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposure to lead and DEHP in the Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Petco or Releasees. Brimer acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and not in his representative capacity, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which he may have under, or which may be conferred on him by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that he may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

#### 5.2 <u>Petco's Release of Brimer</u>

Petco, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter or with respect to the Products.

Petco acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

15

16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26

27 28 A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Petco expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which it may have under, or which may be conferred on it by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

#### 6. **COURT APPROVAL**

This Consent To Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within nine months after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

#### 7. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are deemed by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

#### 8. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then Petco shall provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products

26

27

28

are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Petco from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control law.

#### 9. NOTICES

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and: (i) personally delivered; (ii) sent by first-class (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (iii) sent by overnight courier, to one party by the other party at the following addresses:

For Petco Animal Supplies, Inc.:

Stan Little, Esq. Petco Animal Supplies, Inc. 9125 Rehco Road San Diego, CA 92121

#### with copy to:

Mark E. Elliott Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP 725 S. Figueroa St, Suite 2800 Los Angeles, CA 90017

#### For Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

#### 10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE AND SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f)(4), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of

this Consent Judgment. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Brimer and Petco and their respective counsel agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and to obtain judicial approval of the same in a timely manner. For purposes of this section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of any papers in support of the required motion for judicial approval.

#### 12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) the written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) upon a successful motion or application of any Party and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

#### 13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

1	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood		
3	and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.		
4			
5			
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:	
7			
8	Date: April 12, 2013	Date:	
9			
10	Ву:	By:	
11	Russell Brimer	Darragh J. Davis Vice-President and General Counsel	
12		Petco Animal Supplies, Inc.	
13	/		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	14. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>	
2	The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood	
3	and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.	
4		
5		
6	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
7		Date: 4//2/13
8	Date:	Date: ゲ//2//3
9		
10	By: Russell Brimer	By: Anna A Laus  Darragh J. Wavis
11	Russen Billiei	Vice-President and General Counsel Petco Animal Supplies, Inc.
12		retto Ammai Supplies, me.
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		
26 27		
28		
۵.0		