THOMAS H. CLARKE, JR. (SBN 47592) 1 ADRIAN DRISCOLL (SBN 95468) TERRY A. ANASTIASSIOU (SBN 157996) 2 ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY 201 Spear Street, Suite 1000 3 San Francisco, CA 94105-1667 (415) 543-4800 Telephone: 4 Facsimile: (415) 972-6301 tclarke@rmkb.com Email: 5 Attorneys for Defendant 6 PROVO CRAFT & NOVELTY, INC. 7 CLIFFORD A. CHANLER (SBN 135534) JOSH VOORHEES (SBN 241436) 8 THE CHANLER GROUP 81 Throckmorton Ave., Suite 203 9 Mill Valley, CA 94941 Telephone: (415) 388-1128 10 (415) 388-1135 Facsimile: E-Mail: iosh@chanler.com 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff 12 RUSSELL BRIMER 13 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 14 COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 15 CASE NO. CGC-11-508609 RUSSELL BRIMER, 16 SETTLEMENT PURSUANT TO C.C.P. Plaintiff, 17 SECTION 664.6 V. 18 PROVO CRAFT & NOVELTY, INC.; and 19 DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, 20 Defendant. 21 22 23 The Parties have agreed to settle this lawsuit pursuant to C.C.P. Section 664.6 on the 24 terms and conditions noted hereinafter. 2.5 1. This settlement is made pursuant to C.C.P. § 664.6. The court shall retain jurisdiction 26 over the parties to enforce the settlement until performance in full of the terms of the settlement. 27 Plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Brimer") and Defendant Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc. ("Provo Craft") 28 (collectively Brimer and Provo Craft shall be referred to as the "Parties"), consent to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

jurisdiction and venue of the San Francisco County Superior Court.

- 2. Brimer brought this lawsuit in the public interest, and hereby warrants and represents that he has the authority to bind the plaintiff to this settlement and to enter into the release noted herein in the public interest.
- 3. Donald Olsen, General Counsel, Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., hereby warrants and represents that he has the authority to bind Provo Craft to this settlement.
- 4. On or about October 29, 2010, Brimer served Provo Craft and various public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") alleging a violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 regarding storage binders with storage pages. The listed chemical at issue was lead. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Notice. A copy of this Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."
- 5. On or about September 1, 2011, Brimer served Provo Craft and various public enforcement agencies with a Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Supplemental Notice") alleging a violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 regarding the same storage binders with storage pages noted in Para. 4, herein. The listed chemical at issue was the phthalate DEHP. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Supplemental Notice. A copy of this Supplemental Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."
- 6. On or about November 17, 2011, Brimer served Provo Craft and various public enforcement agencies with a Second Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Second Supplemental Notice") alleging a violation of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 regarding the aforementioned storage binders and additionally binder inserts/pages, shoulder bags, and tote bags with keychains. The listed chemicals were either lead or DEHP, or both. No public enforcer has diligently prosecuted the allegations set forth in the Second Supplemental Notice. A copy of this Second Supplemental Notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "C."
- 7. The Notice, the Supplemental Notice, and the Second Supplemental Notice shall be collectively referred to as the "NOTICE." The specific items set forth in the NOTICE are listed in Paragraph 11 herein.
- 8. On or about February 28, 2011, a complaint was filed in the Superior Court in and for - 2 -RC1/6575489.1/THC

- 9. On or about December 9, 2011, a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of San Francisco entitled Brimer v. Provo Craft et al, Case No. CGC-11-516509, regarding the product and violations stated in the Supplemental Notice. This complaint was amended on or about Feb. 10, 2012, and addressed matters set forth in the Second Supplemental Notice.
- 10. Brimer and Provo Craft have agreed to settle this case and Case No. CGC-11-516509 in order to avoid the cost and uncertainty of litigation. The case denominated CGC-11-508609 and CGC-11-516509 shall collectively be referred to as the "CASE."
- 11. The products addressed in the NOTICE and the CASE (hereinafter the "Products At Issue") are:

The Cuttlebug storage binder and the Cuttlebug storage binder with page insert (aka Cuttlebug Die & Embossing Folder Storage), #37-1575 (#0 93573 41575 3)<sup>1</sup>; Cuttlebug A2 Binder Insert, #37-1576 (#0 93573 41576 0); Cuttlebug Tote, #2000970 (#0 93573 58871 6); Cricut Shoulder Bag, # 29-0692 (#0 93573 106927); and Cuttlebug 2x2 Binder Insert, #37-1577 (#0 93573 41577 7).

12. Brimer asserts and alleges violations of Proposition 65 as set forth in the NOTICE and the CASE. Defendant Provo Craft denies that it has any liability for the Products At Issue as asserted in the CASE. Provo Craft further denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the NOTICE and the CASE, and maintains that all products it has sold, imported and/or distributed in California, and all Provo Craft products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed by others, including the Products At Issue, have been and are in compliance with all laws, including but not limited to Proposition 65. Nothing herein shall be construed as an admission by Provo Craft of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Erroneously identified in San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-11-516509 as #37-1575 (#0 93573 41575 7).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

25

26

27

28

compliance with this settlement constitute or be construed as an admission by Provo Craft of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law.

- Except as noted in paragraphs 13.1 and 13.2, Provo Craft agrees that as of the date 13. the Court approves this settlement it shall not itself sell or itself distribute the Products At Issue in California.
- 13.1 Brimer and Provo Craft stipulate that the Cricut Shoulder Bag, #29-0692 (#0 93573 10692 7) complies with Proposition 65 in terms of the listed chemicals DEHP and lead, and as such this Product At Issue may be distributed and sold in California.
- 13.2 Brimer and Provo Craft further stipulate that Products At Issue, other than the Cricuit Shoulder Bag, whose sale and distribution is addressed in Section 13.1, that are no longer in the possession of Provo Craft as of the date the Court approves this settlement are subject to the releases contained herein and future sales of these released Products At Issue by unaffiliated third-parties shall not be separately actionable in another case brought pursuant to Section 25249.7(d) of the Health and Safety Code alleging a failure to warn for lead and DEHP under Proposition 65.
- 14. Provo Craft agrees to pay a civil penalty of \$15,000, to be apportioned in accordance with Health & Safety Code section 25249.12, subdivisions (c)(1) and (d), with 75% of these funds earmarked for the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of these monies earmarked for plaintiff Brimer.
- 15. Provo Craft agrees to reimburse Brimer's counsel, pursuant to C.C.P. § 1021.5, a total of \$55,000 for fees and costs incurred as a result of this litigation. This figure includes those future fees and costs to be incurred in seeking judicial approval of this settlement as well as any other legal work performed after the execution of this settlement which is incurred in an effort to obtain finality of the case.
- 16. Provo Craft shall convey those payments noted in Paragraphs 14 and 15 herein within five calendar days of receipt of notification from plaintiff that the settlement has been approved by the Court and that no entity has given formal or informal notice within such five-day period that it intends to appeal the approval of the settlement by the Court. Upon receipt of the payments

2

3

5

6

|   | 7   |
|---|---|
| ź)  | 7<br>8<br>9<br>10                                     |
| 2   | 9   |
| CC KOMN & DEN<br>ional Corporation<br>n Francisco | 10  |
| \$ . \$   | 11  |
| 3 6 3 3   | 12  |
| o S zare  | 13  |
| PXX7 K<br>fessional<br>San Fras                   | 14  |
| 2507  | <ul><li>14</li><li>15</li><li>16</li><li>17</li></ul> |
| Σ ∢<br>λ  | 16  |
| 7   | 17  |
| Ϋ́  | 18  |
|   | 19  |
|   | 20  |
|   | 21  |
|   | 22  |
|   | 23  |
|   | 24<br>25  |
|   | 25  |

| noted in Paragraphs 14 and 15 herein, Brimer shall dismiss the CASE with prejudice. Brimer          |
|---|
| shall keep Provo Craft fully informed of any scheduled date(s) for hearing on a motion for          |
| judicial approval of the settlement (or an ex parte motion to shorten time for hearing a motion for |
| judicial approval of the settlement) in order to allow Provo Craft to plan payment in the normal    |
| course of business operations.  |

## 17. Payments.

A. All payments noted in Paragraphs 14 and 15 shall be delivered to The Chanler Group at the following address:

> The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

- B. After this settlement has been approved and the settlement funds required by Paragraphs 14 and 15 have been transmitted to The Chanler Group, Provo Craft shall issue three separate 1099 forms, as follows:
  - The first 1099 shall be issued to the OEHHA, P.O. Box 4010, i. Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of \$11,250;
  - The second 1099 shall be issued to Russell Brimer in the amount of ii. \$3,750; Brimer's address and tax identification number shall be furnished to Provo Craft upon request; and,
  - The third 1099 shall be issued to The Chanler Group (EIN: 94iii. 3171522) at the address noted in Para. 28 herein in the amount of \$55,000.
- 18. Brimer, suing in the public interest, hereby releases Provo Craft; all entities that supplied or distributed the Products At Issue to Provo Craft; all entities that manufactured the Products At Issue that were directly or indirectly supplied to Provo Craft; all distributors and retailers of the Products At Issue, including, but not limited to, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; Hancock Fabrics, Inc.; Kmart Corporation; Scrapbook Island; Sears Holding - 5 -RC1/6575489-1/THC

26

27

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

| Corporation; Notions Marketing Corp.; Ensign Group International; and, the affiliates and             |
|---|
| subsidiaries of each of these aforenoted entities; all entities served with a 60-day notice, and, the |
| divisions, successors, subsidiaries, parent corporations, related entities, affiliates, agents,       |
| contractors, experts, consultants, counsel, service providers, officers, directors, and employees of  |
| Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.; Amazon.com, Inc.; Hancock Fabrics, Inc.;          |
| Kmart Corporation; Scrapbook Island; Sears Holding Corporation, Notions Marketing Corp.,              |
| Ensign Group International; and, the aforementioned entities described or named in this Para. 18      |
| (collectively, all of these entities are referred to herein as the "DEFENDANT"), of any liability     |
| whatsoever under Proposition 65 related to the Products At Issue and the alleged failure to warn      |
| California consumers of an alleged exposure to lead or to DEHP from any of the Products At            |
| Issue sold in California on or before the date the Court approves this settlement or pursuant to      |
| Para 13.1 or 13.2.  |

19. Additionally, Brimer in his individual capacity and not in his representative capacity, hereby waives any and all rights and benefits which he now has, or in the future may have, conferred upon him with respect to any and all legal or equitable actions that arise from or are related to Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco Superior Court, Docket No. CGC-11-508609 or Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-11-516509, the Products At Issue, or by virtue of the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

> GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO FAVOR AT THE HER OR EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Brimer understands and acknowledges the significance and consequence of this waiver pursuant to California Civil Code Section 1542, and understands and acknowledges that the waiver applies to any and all legal or equitable actions that arise from or are related directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, to the Products At Issue, Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco Superior Court, Docket No. CGC-11-508609, or Brimer v. Provo Craft &

| Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-11-516509, statements        |
|---|
| made regarding Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco Superior Court,          |
| Docket No. CGC-11-508609 or Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco             |
| County Superior Court Case No. CGC-11-516509, acts and omissions related to investigating           |
| Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco Superior Court, Docket No. CGC-11-      |
| 508609 or Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco County Superior Court         |
| Case No. CGC-11-516509, and the underlying facts of the lawsuit or claims made in Brimer v.         |
| Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco Superior Court, Docket No. CGC-11-508609 or       |
| Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., et al., San Francisco County Superior Court Case No.         |
| CGC-11-516509. Furthermore, Brimer acknowledges that he intends these consequences for any          |
| such claims related to the Products At Issue which may exist as of the date of this release but     |
| which Brimer does not know exist, and which, if known, would materially affect his decision to      |
| enter into this Agreement, regardless of whether the lack of knowledge is the result of ignorance,  |
| oversight, error, negligence or any other cause. Brimer further waives all rights to institute any  |
| form of legal or equitable action or defense (including without limit contribution, indemnity, set- |
| off and by right of subrogation) against the DEFENDANT for any and all acts or omissions or         |
| statements made or activities directed to be undertaken or activities that were undertaken by       |
| DEFENDANT in the course of those lawsuits known as Brimer v. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., e         |
| al, San Francisco Superior Court, Docket No. CGC-11-508609; and Brimer v. Provo Craft &             |
| Novelty, Inc., et al, San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-11-516509,                   |
|   |

- 20. Provo Craft, on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter with respect to the Products At Issue.
- 21. The Parties agree, understand, and acknowledge that this settlement represents a compromise of this action, and the release of claims as set forth herein, and neither the fact nor - 7 -RC1/6575489,1/THC

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the terms of this settlement is to be construed as an admission of liability or wrongdoing on the part of the Parties.

- 22. Each of the Parties acknowledges that they had the right and ability to consultation with and the advice of counsel of their choice and each voluntarily has entered into this settlement.
- 23. Except to the extent otherwise noted, each of the Parties shall bear its own costs and fees.
- 24. This settlement may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified settlement by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful noticed motion of any Party and entry of a modified settlement by the Court.
- 25. Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this settlement shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class registered or certified mail with a return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier to the following addresses:

## To Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc.:

Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc. Attn.: Don Olsen, General Counsel 10876 South River Front Pkwy, Ste. 600 South Jordan, UT 84095-5929

## To Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Ste. 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

## With a copy to:

Thomas H. Clarke, Jr. Ropers, Majeski, Kohn & Bentley 201 Spear St., Suite. 1000 San Francisco, CA 94105-1667

For all notices and correspondence required to be provided pursuant to this settlement in writing, the Parties shall also send a courtesy notice by electronic mail to counsel with the correspondence or notice attached thereto. The provision of such courtesy notice shall not lessen, diminish, or void the requirement noted herein regarding how actual notices and correspondence are to be sent. Further, any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

RC1/6575489,1/THC

-8-

27. Brimer has sent trial subpoenas (with document production) to various individuals and entities requiring their appearance at the trial of San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-11-508609. Subsequent to sending the subpoenas, Brimer agreed to modify the subpoenas so that they were converted to subpoenas duces tecum only, and that any person or entity served would have 14 days notice of the requirement for document production. As part of this settlement herein, the Parties agree that Brimer shall notify the persons and entities subject to the subpoenas, and inform them that the subpoenas are being withdrawn by Brimer and thus rendered null and void. Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc. shall receive a draft copy of the letter withdrawing the subpoenas and shall have five days upon receipt to comment upon its language. The Parties agree to use good faith in resolving any disagreement regarding the wording of such letter. The Parties agree that the withdrawal of the subpoenas is a term and condition of the settlement noted herein.

///
///
///
///
///
///

25 ///

26 | /// 27 | ///

21 | 111

28. As discussed in paragraphs 4-7, above, Brimer served sixty-day notices to various RC1/6575489.1/THC - 9 -

persons and entities in connection with the Products at Issue. The Parties agree that Provo Craft shall notify the persons and entities subject to these sixty-day notices, that the allegations in the NOTICE have been resolved by this settlement and that each is a party to the release contained herein. Brimer shall, consistent to with Code and Regulations, report this settlement on the Attorney General's website so that there is a public record of this settlement, which resolves all outstanding claims with respect to the NOTICE.

Dated: 3 .13.12

BY: Russell Brimer, Plaintiff

Dated:

FOR: Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., Defendant

BY: Donald Olsen ITS: General Counsel

| persons and entities in connection with the Products at Issue. The Parties agree that Provo Craft shall notify the persons and entities subject to these sixty-day notices, that the allegations in the NOTICE have been resolved by this settlement and that each is a party to the release contained |
|--|
|  |
| NOTICE have been resolved by this settlement and that each is a party to the release contained   |
|  |
| herein. Brimer shall, consistent to with Code and Regulations, report this settlement on the   |
| Attorney General's website so that there is a public record of this settlement, which resolves all   |
| outstanding claims with respect to the NOTICE.   |
|  |
| Dated:   |
|  |
| BY: Russell Brimer, Plaintiff  |
|  |
| Dated:   |
| FOR: Provo Craft & Novelty, Inc., Defendant  |
| BY: \Donald Olsen ITS: General Counsel   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |