1 2 3 4 5 6	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Gregory M. Sheffer, State Bar No. 173124 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118  Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER		
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	IE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
8	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARIN		
9	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
10			
11	RUSSELL BRIMER	Case No. CIV 1205318	
12	Plaintiff,	CONSENT TO JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT DGL GROUP, LTD.	
13	v.	,	
14	DGL GROUP, LTD. and DOES 1-150,	Action Filed: November 30, 2012 Trial Date: Not Assigned	
15	Defendants.		
16		ı	
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CONSENT TO JUDGMENT RE: DGL GROUP, LTD.		

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

# 

### 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The Parties

This Consent To Judgment is entered into by and between Plaintiff Russell Brimer, ("Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Defendant DGL Group, Ltd. ("DGL") with Brimer and DGL collectively referred to as the "Parties."

### 1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

### 1.3 Defendant

DGL employs 10 or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

## 1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that DGL manufactured, distributed and/or sold, in the State of California, certain types of vinyl/PVC cases for electronics, including, but not limited to, Hype Reptile Case, HY-1019-IPD-BLKLIZ, that exposed users to DEHP, without first providing any "clear and reasonable warning" under Proposition 65. DEHP is listed as a reproductive and developmental toxicant pursuant to Proposition 65 and is referred to hereinafter as the "Listed Chemical."

### 1.5 Notice of Violation

On August 1, 2012, Brimer served Defendant and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided public enforcers and these entities with notice of alleged violations of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn consumers of the presence of DEHP, a toxic chemical found in and on Defendant's Covered Products sold in California. DGL received the Notice. To the best of the

6

9 10

11 12

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

DGL's knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

#### 1.6 Complaint

On November 30, 2012, Brimer, acting, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a Complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Marin, alleging violations by Defendant of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 based, inter alia, on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the referenced Covered Products (the "Action").

#### 1.7 No Admission

This Consent To Judgment resolves claims that are denied and disputed by DGL. The Parties enter into this Consent To Judgment pursuant to a full and final settlement of any and all claims between the Parties for the purpose of avoiding prolonged litigation. Defendant denies the material factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Action, maintains that it did not knowingly or intentionally expose California consumers to DEHP through the reasonably foreseeable use of the Covered Products and otherwise contends that all Covered Products it has manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California have been and are in compliance with all applicable laws. Nothing in this Consent To Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent To Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendant. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect DGL's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent To Judgment.

#### 1.8 **Consent to Jurisdiction**

For purposes of this Consent To Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over DGL as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County in which the Complaint is filed, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment. As an express part of this Agreement,

pursuant to C.C.P. §664.6 the Court in which this action was filed shall retain jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the settlement until performance in full of the terms of the settlement.

### 2. DEFINITIONS

- 2.1 The term "Complaint" shall mean the November 30, 2012, Complaint.
- 2.2 The term "Exemplar Product" means the Hype Reptile Case, HY-1019-IPD-BLKLIZ.
- 2.3 The term "Covered Products" means any vinyl/PVC/polyurethane case for electronics devices, including, but not limited to the Hype Reptile Case, HY-1019-IPD-BLKLIZ.
  - 2.4 The term "Effective Date" shall mean May 1, 2014.
- 2.5 The term "DEHP Free" Covered Products shall mean Covered Products containing materials or other components that may be handled, touched or mouthed by a consumer, and which components contain less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of DEHP as determined by a minimum of duplicate quality controlled test results performed by a laboratory certified by the State of California or accredited by the State of California, a federal agency, the National Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program or similar nationally recognized accrediting organization to perform the analysis in question using Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, CPSC-WH-C1001-09.3, or equivalent methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

## 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

### 3.1 Formulation Commitment

No later than April 1, 2014, Defendant shall provide the DEHP Free Standards, to its then-current Vendors of Covered Products that will be sold or offered for sale to United States consumers and shall instruct each Vendor to provide Covered Products that comply with such DEHP Free Standards expeditiously. In addressing the obligation set forth in the preceding sentence, Defendant shall not employ statements that will encourage a Vendor to delay compliance with the DEHP Free Standard. Upon request, Defendant shall provide Plaintiff with

copies of such Vendor notification and Plaintiff shall regard such copies as confidential business information.

As of the Effective Date, Defendant shall not order, cause to be ordered, manufacture or cause to be manufactured any Covered Product for distribution to or sale in the United States that the Vendor of the product has not certified is DEHP Free. For every Covered Product ordered, caused to be ordered, manufactured or caused to be manufactured for distribution to or sale in California after the Effective Date, Defendant shall maintain copies of any testing or Vendor certification of such products demonstrating compliance with this section.

# 3.2 Previously Obtained Covered Products.

This Section 3.2 applies to any Covered Product manufactured, ordered or obtained by DGL prior to the Effective Date ("Previously Obtained Covered Product").

### 3.2.1 Customer Notification

No later than Effective Date, DGL shall issue an express, written letter (electronic or otherwise) notice to (1) each, individual, California retail store or establishment to which it supplied any Exemplar Products within fifteen months prior to the Effective Date, (2) any California store or establishment from which defendant sold any Exemplar Products and (3) any other California store or establishment that the party is aware of having sold any Exemplar Product within fifteen months prior to the Effective Date, that identifies the Exemplar Product (by brand and trade name, SKU, ISB and any other identifying name or number utilized by DGL in the sale of the Exemplar Product), advises the recipient that each such identified Exemplar Product "contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm", and requests such recipient to either label the Exemplar Product with the product label identified in Section 3.2.2 or to return the Exemplar Product to DGL. DGL shall maintain records of all compliance correspondence or other communication generated pursuant to this Section for two (2) years from the Effective Date and shall produce copies of such records upon written request by Brimer.

# 3.2.2 Product Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, DGL shall not distribute or sell any Previously Obtained Covered Products directly to California, or to a retailer that DGL reasonably understands maintains a retail outlet in California, unless such Previously Obtained Covered Products meet the DEHP Free standard of Section 2.4 or are manufactured, distributed or shipped with one of the clear and reasonable warnings set forth hereafter.

Each such warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. Each warning shall be affixed to or printed on the Previously Obtained Covered Product itself.

## (a) Retail Store Sales.

For DGL's distribution of Previously Obtained Covered Products to California, or to any business entity that DGL reasonably understands maintains any retail outlets in California, DGL shall affix a warning to the packaging, labeling, or directly on any Previously Obtained Covered Products that states:

**WARNING:** This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

- (b) Mail Order Catalog and Internet Sales. DGL may satisfy its Proposition 65 warning obligations for Previously Obtained Covered Product sold by mail order catalogue or from the internet by providing a warning: (1) in the mail order catalogue as specified in Section 3.2.1(b)(i); on the website as specified in Section 3.2.1(b)(ii); and/or by affixing the warning specified in Section 3.2.1(a)(i) to the packaging, labeling, or directly on any Previously Obtained Covered Product.
- (i) Mail Order Catalog Warning. Any warning provided in a mail order catalog must be in the same type size or larger than the Previously Obtained Covered Product description text within the catalog. The following warning shall be provided on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Previously Obtained Covered Product:

**WARNING:** This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Where it is impracticable to provide the warning on the same page and in the same location as the display and/or description of the Previously Obtained Covered Product, DGL may utilize a designated symbol to cross-reference the applicable warning and shall define the term "designated symbol" with the following language on the inside of the front or back cover of the catalog or on the same page as any order form for the Previously Obtained Covered Product:

**WARNING:** Certain products identified with this symbol ▼ and offered for sale in this catalog contain DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

The designated symbol must appear on the same page and in close proximity to the display and/or description of the Previously Obtained Covered Product. On each page where the designated symbol appears, DGL must provide a header or footer directing the consumer to the warning language and definition of the designated symbol.

If DGL elects to provide warnings in any mail order catalog, then the warnings must be included in all catalogs offering to sell one or more Previously Obtained Covered Product printed after the Effective Date.

(ii) Internet Website Warning. A warning may be given in conjunction with the sale of any Previously Obtained Covered Product via the Internet, provided it appears either: (a) on the same web page on which a Previously Obtained Covered Product is displayed; (b) on the same web page as the order form for a Previously Obtained Covered Product; (c) on the same page as the price for any Covered Product; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. The following warning statement shall be used and shall appear in any of the above instances adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Previously Obtained Covered Product for which it is

given in the same type size or larger than the Previously Obtained Covered Product description text:

**WARNING:** This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Alternatively, the designated symbol may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, or price of the Previously Obtained Covered Product for which a warning is being given, provided that the following warning statement also appears elsewhere on the same web page, as follows:

**WARNING:** Products identified on this page with the following symbol ▼ contain DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm.

DGL shall maintain records of compliance correspondence, inventory reports or other communication confirming compliance with § 3.2.1 for two (2) years from the Effective Date and shall produce copies of such records upon written request by Brimer.

# 4. PENALTIES PURSUANT TO HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(B)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent To Judgment, DGL shall pay a total civil penalty of \$20,000.00 under this Section, as follows:

### 4.1 **Initial Civil Penalty**

DGL shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$12,000.00 within five (5) business days of the date of Court approval of this settlement. The civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c) & (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Brimer. DGL shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$9,000.00 representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$3,000.00, representing 25% of

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments. The checks and 1099s shall be delivered to the addresses listed in Section 4.3 below.

#### 4.2 **Final Civil Penalty**

DGL shall pay a final civil penalty of \$8,000.00 within thirty five (35) days of the date of Court approval of this settlement. The final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, however, if an Officer of DGL provides Brimer, care of his counsel, with written certification that, as of the Effective Date and continuing into the future, DGL has met the reformulation standard specified in Section 3.1 above, such that all newly obtained Covered Products sold by Defendant after the Effective Date are DEHP Free. Brimer must receive any such certification on or before 10 business days after the Effective Date, and time is of the essence. The final civil penalty shall be apportioned in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12 (c)(1) & (d), with 75% of these funds remitted to OEHHA and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Brimer, as provided by California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(d). DGL shall issue two separate checks for the penalty payment: (a) one check made payable to "OEHHA" in the amount of \$6,000.00, representing 75% of the total penalty; and (b) one check made payable to "The Chanler Group in Trust for Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$2,000.00, representing 25% of the total penalty. Two separate 1099s shall be issued for the above payments. The checks and 1099s shall be delivered to the addresses listed in Section 4.3 below.

#### 4.3 **Augmentation Of Penalty Payments**

For purposes of the penalty assessment under this Consent To Judgment, Plaintiff is relying entirely upon defendant and its counsel for accurate, good faith reporting to Plaintiff of the nature and amounts of relevant sales activity. If within nine (9) months of the Effective Date, Plaintiff discovers and presents to Defendant evidence that the Noticed Product has been distributed by DGL in sales volumes materially different than those identified by Defendant prior to execution of this Agreement, then Defendant shall be liable for an additional penalty amount of \$10,000. Defendant shall also be liable for any reasonable, additional attorney fees expended by plaintiff in discovering such additional retailers or sales. Plaintiff agrees to provide

1	Defendant with a written demand for all such additional penalties and attorney fees under this		
2	Section. After service of such demand, Defendant shall have thirty (30) days to meet and confer		
3	regarding the demand and submit such payment to Plaintiff in accordance with the method of		
4	payment of penalties identified in Sections 4.4. Should this thirty (30) day period pass without		
5	any such resolution between the Parties and payment of such additional penalties and fees,		
6	Plaintiff shall be entitled to file a formal legal claim for damages for breach of this contract and		
7	the prevailing party in a breach of contract action shall be entitled to all reasonable attorney fees		
8	and costs relating to that action.		
9	4.4 Payment Procedures		
10	<b>4.4.1 Issuance Of Payments.</b> Payments shall be delivered as follows:		
11	(a) All payments owed to Brimer, pursuant to Sections 4.1 through 4.3,		
12	shall be delivered to the following payment address:		
13	The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller		
14	2560 Nintĥ Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214		
15	Berkeley, CA 94710		
16	(b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to		
17	Sections 4.1 through 4.3, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo		
18	line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:		
19	For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
20	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
21	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010		
22	Sacramento, CA 95812-4010		
23	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
24	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief		
25	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street		
26	Sacramento, CA 95814		
27			

With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to The Chanler Group at the address set forth above in 4.4.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA.

Any failure by defendant to deliver the above-referenced payments to either OEHHA or The Chanler Group within two days of the required date shall result in imposition of a 10% simple interest assessment on the undelivered payment(s) until delivery.

- **4.4.2 Issuance Of 1099 Forms.** After each payment, DGL shall issue separate 1099 forms for each payment, as follows:
  - (a) For each penalty payment owed in Sections 4.1 through 4.3, a 1099 shall be issued to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard

    Assessment, 1001 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 (EIN: 68-0284486) in the amount of 75% of the total penalty payment;
  - (b) For each penalty payment owed in Sections 4.1 through 4.3, a 1099 shall be issued to Brimer, whose address and tax identification number shall be furnished upon request, in the amount of 25% of the total penalty payment.

### 5. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

The parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Brimer then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure (CCP) § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement. DGL shall pay \$40,000.00 for fees and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to DGL's attention, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. DGL shall issue a separate 1099 for fees and costs (EIN: 94-3171522), shall make the check payable to "The Chanler Group" and shall deliver

payment within five (5) business days of the date of Court approval of this settlement, to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any failure by defendant to deliver the above-referenced payments to The Chanler Group within two days of the required date shall result in imposition of a 10% simple interest assessment on the undelivered payment(s) until delivery.

## 6. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASE

### 6.1 Brimer's Releases of DGL

Brimer, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the public interest, and DGL and its parents, subsidiaries, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, owners, shareholders, members, successors, licensors and assigns ("Defendant Releasees"), and all entities to whom DGL directly or indirectly distributes or sells Covered Products, including but not limited to distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees") of any violation of Proposition 65 that has been or could have been asserted against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees regarding the failure to warn about exposure to the Listed Chemical arising in connection with Covered Products manufactured, sourced, distributed, or sold by Defendant Releasees prior to the Effective Date. DGL's compliance with this Consent To Judgment shall constitute compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the Listed Chemical in the Covered Products after the Effective Date.

**6.1.2** Brimer on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby waives with respect to Covered Products all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal

action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "claims"), against Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees that arise under Proposition 65 or any other statutory or common law claims that were or could have been asserted in the public interest, as such claims relate to Defendant Releasees' and Downstream Defendant Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to the Listed Chemical contained in the Covered Products.

6.1.3 Brimer also, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Complaint as to Covered Products manufactured, distributed or sold by Defendant Releasees. Brimer acknowledges that he is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which he may have under, or which may be conferred on him by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that he may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and

complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

12

15

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

The Parties further understand and agree that this Section 6.1 release shall not extend upstream to any entities, other than Defendant, that manufactured the Covered Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Covered Products or any component parts thereof to Defendant.

**6.1.4** Upon court approval of the Consent To Judgment, the Parties waive their respective rights to a hearing or trial on the allegations of the Complaint.

#### 6.2 DGL's Release of Brimer

- **6.2.1** DGL waives any and all claims against Brimer, his attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.
- **6.2.2** DGL also provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of DGL of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Action. DGL acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

DGL expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits that it may have under, or which may be conferred on it by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law

principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

### 7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent To Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent To Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected, unless the Court finds that any unenforceable provision is not severable from the remainder of the Consent To Judgment.

### 8. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent To Judgment is effective upon execution but must also be approved by the Court. The Consent To Judgment shall become null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within nine months after it has been fully executed by all Parties. If the Consent to Judgment becomes null and void after any payment of monies under this agreement to The Chanler Group in trust, such monies shall be returned to Defendant by payment of such monies to its counsel, in trust for DGL.

### 9. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent To Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then Defendant may make a noticed motion to the Court to be relieved from the terms of this agreement or any Judgment of the Court.

### 10. NOTICES

When any Party is entitled to receive any notice under this Consent To Judgment, the notice shall be sent by certified mail and electronic mail to the following:

For DGL to:

Ezra Zaafarani, President

1	DGL Group, Ltd.
2	195 Raraitan Center Parkway Edison, NJ 08837
3	With Copy to DGL's counsel:
4	Peg Carew Toledo, Esq.
5	Stacy Don. Esq. Toledo Don LLP
6	3001 Douglas Blvd., Ste. 340 Roseville, CA 95661-3853
7	For Brimer to:
8	Proposition 65 Coordinator
9	The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street
10	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565
11	Any Party may modify the person and address to whom the notice is to be sent by sending each
12	other Party notice by certified mail and/or other verifiable form of written communication.
13	11. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)
14	Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced, in California
15	Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f) and to file a motion for approval of this Consent Judgment.
16	12. MODIFICATION
17	This Consent To Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the
18	Parties; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent To
19	Judgment by the Court.
20	13. ADDITIONAL POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES
21	The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed
22	motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent To Judgment. In furtherance of
23	obtaining such approval, Brimer and DGL and their respective counsel agree to mutually
24	employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent To Judgment and
25	obtain approval of the Consent To Judgment - sufficient to render a formal judgment approving
26	this agreement - by the Court in a timely manner. Any effort by Plaintiff or DGL to impede
27	judicial approval of this Consent To Judgment shall subject such impeding party to liability for

attorney fees and costs incurred by that party or his/its counsel in their efforts to meet or oppose the impeding conduct.

#### 14. **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

This Consent To Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any Party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

#### 15. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- Should Brimer prevail on any motion, application for order to show cause or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent To Judgment, Brimer shall be entitled to his reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion, order or application, consistent with C.C.P. §1021.5. Should Defendant prevail on any motion, application for order to show cause or other proceeding to enforce a violation of this Consent Judgment, Defendant may be entitled to its reasonable attorney fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion, order or application upon a finding that Brimer's prosecution of the motion or application lacked substantial justification. For purposes of this Consent To Judgment, the term substantial justification shall carry the same meaning as used in the Civil Discovery Act of 1986, Code of Civil Procedure §§ 2016, et seq.
- 15.2 Except as specifically provided hereinabove, each Party shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees in connection with this action.
- 15.3 Nothing in this Section 15 shall preclude a Party from seeking an award of sanctions pursuant to law.

27

28

# 16. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent To Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

# 17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned Parties are authorized to execute this Consent To Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent To Judgment.

IT IS SO AGREED

Dated: March 3, 2014	Dated: February, 2014
Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Ezra Zaafarani, President
I Idingil Russel Dilitel	DGL Group, Ltd.
<del></del>	1

# 16. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent To Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

### 17. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned Parties are authorized to execute this Consent To Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent To Judgment.

IT IS SO AGREED

Dated: February, 2014	Dated: February, 2014
Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Ezra Zaafarani, President DGL Group, Ltd.