1	Christopher C. Moscone, State Bar No. 170250 Rachel J. Sater, State Bar No. 147976				
2	Jordan M. Otis, State Bar No. 276274  MOSCONE EMBLIDGE SATER & OTIS LLP				
3	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94104				
4	Telephone: (415) 362-3599 Facsimile: (415) 362-2006				
5	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534				
6	THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118				
7					
8					
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
10	ANTHÓNY E. HELD, PhD., P.E.				
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
12	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA - UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION				
13	ANTHONY E. HELD, PhD., P.E.,	Case No. RG 14721395			
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT			
15	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq.)			
16 17	KRUEGER INTERNATIONAL, INC., d.b.a. KI/KRUEGER COMMERCIAL, INC.; and DOES 1 -20, inclusive,				
18	Defendants.				
19	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>				
20	1.1 Parties				
21	This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Anthony E. Held, PhD.,				
22	P.E. ("Held") and defendant Krueger International, Inc. ("Defendant"), with Held and Defendant				
23	each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."				
24	1.2 Held				
25	Held is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure				
26	to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful substances				
27	contained in consumer and commercial products.				
28					

[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT 18027

1

•

 $\parallel$ 

### 1.3 **Defendant**

Defendant employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code section 25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

# 1.4 General Allegations

- 1.4.1 Held alleges that Defendant manufactured, imported, sold and/or distributed for sale in California, chairs with vinyl/PVC upholstery containing Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate ("TDCPP") and Di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without providing the clear and reasonable health hazard warnings required by Proposition 65.
- 1.4.2 Held alleges that exposure to TDCPP and DEHP occurs via ingestion from hand to mouth transfer and via dermal contact with chairs with vinyl/PVC upholstery.

# 1.5 **Product Description**

The category of products covered by this Consent Judgment is all folding chairs with foam padding or vinyl/PVC upholstery containing DEHP or TDCPP that are imported, manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale by Defendant including, but not limited to, Folding Chair, Model #344DV/WG/VBH (hereinafter "Products").

#### 1.6 **Notice of Violation**

On October 25, 2013, Held served Defendant and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") alleging that Defendant was in violation of Proposition 65 for failing to warn customers, consumers, and workers in California that the Products expose users to TDCPP and DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

### 1.7 **Complaint**

On April 9, 2014, Held filed the instant action ("Complaint") naming Defendant as a defendant, and asserting a cause of action for the alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

#### 1.8 **No Admission**

Defendant denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint and maintains that all of the products that it has sold or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendant of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendant's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

### 1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendant as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

## 1.10 California Customer

"California Customer" shall mean any customer of Defendant that Defendant reasonably understands are located in California, have a California warehouse or distribution center, maintain a retail outlet in California, or have distributed Products for sale in California, online via the internet or by any other means.

# 1.11 Reformulated Products

"Reformulated Products" shall mean Products that contain no more than 25 parts per million ("ppm") (.0025%) of TDCPP and that also contain no more than 1000 ppm (0.1%) of DEHP in any material, component, or constituent of a Product, when analyzed by a laboratory accredited by NVLAP (National Volunteer Laboratory Accreditation Program), American Association for Lab Accreditation (A2LA), ANSI-ASQ National Accreditation Board (ANAB) – ACLASS brand (an ANAB company), International Accreditation Service, Inc. (IAS),

Laboratory Accreditation Bureau (L-A-B), Perry Johnson Laboratory Accreditation, Inc. (PJLA), or International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation(ILAC) (such laboratory referred to as an "Accredited Lab") pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580 and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by such Accredited Laboratory or federal or state agencies to determine the presence, or measure the amount, of TDCPP and DEHP in a solid substance (such methodologies referred to as "Approved Methodologies").

#### 1.12 **Effective Date**

"Effective Date" shall mean September 1, 2014.

# 2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND INTERIM WARNINGS</u>

# 2.1 **Reformulation**

Commencing on December 1, 2014, and continuing thereafter, Defendant shall only purchase for sale, manufacture for sale, or distribute for sale in California Reformulated Products.

# 2.2 Warnings on Non-Reformulated Products in Inventory

Commencing on the Effective Date, any Products that are not Reformulated Products that are in, or en route to, Defendant's inventory that Defendant reasonably believes may be sold or distributed for sale in California, shall contain the following clear and reasonable warning: "WARNING: This product contains TDCPP, a flame retardant chemical know to the State of California to cause cancer; and DEHP, a phthalate chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm." Each warning in the preceding sentence shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before use.

# 3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

# 3.1 Civil Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b)

In settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall pay the sum of \$25,000 as civil penalties. Each civil penalty payment shall be allocated in

accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with 75% of 1 2 3 4 5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and 25% of the funds paid to Held. All civil penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses provided in Section 3.4.1 below. Defendant shall be liable for payment of simple interest at a rate of 10% per annum for all amounts due and owing that are not received within ten (10) business days of the date they are due.

#### **Initial Civil Penalty** 3.1.1

Within ten (10) business days of the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court, Defendant shall make an initial civil penalty of \$15,000. Defendant shall provide its payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$11,250; and (b) "Anthony Held, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$3,750. Defendant shall deposit the full payment required under this Section to Defendant's counsel "in trust" no later than the Effective Date. Defendant's counsel shall release and pay such funds in accordance with the payment procedures set forth below in Section 3.4 within ten (10) business days after the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment.

### 3.1.2 **Second Civil Penalty**

By November 1, 2014, Defendant shall make a second civil penalty of \$10,000. The amount of the second penalty may be reduced according to the penalty waiver below. Defendant shall provide its payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$7,500; and (b) "Anthony Held, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$2,500.

### **Reductions to Civil Penalty Payment Amounts**

The second civil penalty amount will be waived if Defendant provides Held with certification that commencing October 1, 2014 and continuing thereafter, Defendant will not sell to customers for sale in California any Products that are not Reformulated Products. An officer or other authorized representative shall provide Held with a written certification confirming compliance with this condition no later than October 1, 2014. The option to provide a written

28

certification in lieu of making the second civil penalty payment constitutes a material term of this Consent Judgment, and with regard to such term, time is of the essence.

3

#### 3.2 **Representations and Warranties**

of TDCPP and DEHP presence, and prior reformulation and/or warning efforts, provided to Held were true and accurate based on its knowledge and are material factors upon which Held relied to determine the amount of civil penalties assessed pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b). If, within nine months of the Effective Date, Held discovers and presents to Defendant, evidence demonstrating that the preceding representation and warranty was materially inaccurate, then Defendant shall have 30 days to meet and confer regarding Held's contention. Should this 30 day period pass without any resolution between Held and Defendant, Held shall be entitled to file a formal legal claim including, but not limited to, a claim for

damages for breach of contract; Defendant reserves all defenses respecting any such claim.

Defendant represents that the sales data and information concerning its size, knowledge

14

15

13

#### 3.3 **Reimbursement of Fees and Costs**

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The Parties acknowledge that Held and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Defendant expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Held and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. Specifically, Defendant shall pay a cumulative total of \$60,000 for the fees and costs incurred by Held investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, preparing and filing a complaint, engaging in discovery, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendant shall deposit the full payment required under this Section to Defendant's counsel "in trust" no later than the Effective Date. Defendant's counsel shall release and pay such funds in accordance with the payment procedures

1	set forth below in Section 3.4 within ten (10) business days after the Court's approval and entry			
2	of this Consent Judgment.			
3	3.4 Payment Procedures			
4	3.4.1 Payment Addresses			
5	(a) All payments and tax documentation for Held and his counsel shall			
6	be delivered to:			
7	Moscone Emblide Sater & Otis LLP Attn: Proposition 65 Controller			
8	220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100 San Francisco, CA 94104			
9	(b) All payments owed to OEHHA shall be delivered directly to			
10	OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at one of the following addresses, as			
11	appropriate, with a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to the payment address			
12	provided in Section 3.4.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA:			
13	For United States Postal Service Delivery:			
<ul><li>14</li><li>15</li></ul>	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment			
16	P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010			
17	For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery or Courier:			
18 19	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief			
20	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment 1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814			
21				
22	4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>			
23	4.1 Held's Release of Proposition 65 Claims			
24	Held, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendant, its parents,			
25	subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, agents employees,			
26	attorneys, and each entity to whom Defendant directly or indirectly distributes or sells the			
27	Products, including, but not limited, to downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers,			

retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, and licensees (collectively, "Releasees"), from all claims alleging violations of Proposition 65 through the Effective Date based on unwarned exposures to TDCPP and DEHP in the Products, as set forth in the Notice. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to TDCPP and DEHP from the Products, as set forth in the Notice.

### 4.2 Held's Individual Releases of Claims

Held, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, limited to and arising out of alleged or actual exposures to TDCPP and DEHP in Products sold or distributed for sale by Defendant prior to the Effective Date.

# 4.3 **Defendant's Release of Held**

Defendant, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Held and his attorneys and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Held and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

# 5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved in its entirety and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. Held and Defendant agree to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and to obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required for judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Held shall draft and file and Defendant shall support,

appearing at the hearing if so requested. If any third-party objection to the motion is filed, Held and Defendant agree to work together to file a reply and appear at any hearing. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the language or appeal the ruling. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial calendar. If the Court's approval is ultimately overturned by an appellate court, the Parties shall meet and confer as to whether to modify the terms of this Consent Judgment. If the Parties do not jointly agree on a course of action to take, then the case shall proceed in its normal course on the Court's trial calendar. In the event that this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court and subsequently overturned by any appellate court or not approved by the Court, any monies that have been provided to OEHHA, Held or his counsel pursuant to Section 3, above, shall be refunded within 15 days of the appellate decision becoming final.

### 6. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendant may provide Held with notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendant from its obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxic control laws.

# 7. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

1	To De	efendant:	To Held:	
2	Attn:	Arthur Casey	Moscone Emblide Sater & Otis LLP	
3		Joshua J. Borger Robinson & Wood, Inc.	Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 220 Montgomery Street, Suite 2100	
4	227 North First Street		San Francisco, CA 94104	
5	,	San Jose, CA 95113		
6	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to			
7	which all notices and other communications shall be sent.			
8	8. COUNTERPARTS, FACSIMILE AND PDF SIGNATURES			
9			in counterparts and by facsimile or portable	
10	document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which,			
11 12	when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.			
13	9. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS			
13		Held and his counsel agree to comply wi	th the reporting form requirements referenced in	
15	California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).			
16	10. MODIFICATION			
17		This Consent Judgment may be modified	only: (i) by written agreement of the Parties and	
18	entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) a successful motion or			
19	application of any Party and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.			
20	11.	<u>SEVERABILITY</u>		
21		If, subsequent to the Court's approval and	d entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment,	
22	any pi	rovision is held by a court to be unenforcea	ble, the validity of the remaining provisions	
23	shall r	shall not be adversely affected.		
24	12.	<u>AUTHORIZATION</u>		
25		The undersigned are authorized to execut	te this Consent Judgment on behalf of their	
26	respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions			
27	contained herein.			

- 1		
1		
2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
3		
4	PLAINTIFF ANTHONY HELD	DEFENDANT KRUEGER INTERNATIONAL, INC.
5	author 6 400	1 C
6	Unihony & Hell	By: M UMSwer
7	Anthony Held, PhD., P.E.	Name: Tim Van Severen Title: Corporate Lisk Manage
8		Title: Corporate Lisk Manager
9	Dated:07/31/2014	Dated: $\sqrt{4/14}$
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		