2 3	Brian Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	
4	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
5	brian@chanler.com josh@chanler.com	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	RUSSELL BRIMER	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
12		
13	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. 16CV303618
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	70° (200 0000 E 23200 2) 20°	
16	v. A & E INCORPORATED, et al.,	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
17	Defendant.	
18	Defendant.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Brimer"), and defendant A & E Incorporated ("A & E"), with Brimer and A & E each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Brimer alleges that A & E employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that A & E manufactures, imports, sells, distributes, and/or offers for sale or use in California, retractable test leads with exterior coverings containing the phthalate chemical di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP"), and that it does so without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

For purposes of this Consent Judgment "Products" are defined as retractable test leads containing DEHP that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by A & E including, but not limited to, the *Lang Tools 10 FT. Retractable Test Leads, Part No. 1140*, #20915, UPC No. 0 77769 20915 9 identified in the Notice.

1.6 Notice of Violation

On September 7, 2016, Brimer served A & E and all requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"). The Notice alleges that A & E violated Proposition 65 when it failed to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with

exposures to DEHP from the Products. No public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On December 6, 2016, Brimer filed the instant action ("Complaint"), for the violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

A & E denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintains that all the products it has manufactured, imported, sold distributed, or distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect A & E's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that the Court has jurisdiction over A & E as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Santa Clara County, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65, and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the motion for approval of the Parties' settlement contemplated by Section 5 is granted by the Court, including the date of any unopposed tentative ruling approving the settlement.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

2.1 Commitment to Provide Reformulated Products or Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, A & E shall only offer either (a) "Reformulated Products;" or (b) Products offered with a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to Section 2.3.

2.2 Reformulated Products Defined

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Reformulated Products are Products containing no more than 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) DEHP in any component analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies used by state or federal agencies to determine DEHP content in a solid substance.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, for any Products sold or distributed for sale in California by A & E that are not Reformulated Products, A & E will only offer such Products for sale with a clear and reasonable warning in accordance with this Section, or title 27 California Code of Regulations section 25600 et seq., as amended from time to time. A & E further agrees that any warning used will be prominently placed in relation to the Product with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of purchase or use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a warning satisfying the above criteria that is affixed directly to a Product or its accompanying labeling or packaging that contains the following statement shall be deemed clear and reasonable:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

If A & E sells Products via an internet website to customers or consumers in California, the warning requirements of this Section shall be satisfied if the foregoing warning appears either: (a) on the same web page on which a Product is displayed and/or described; (b) on the same page as the price for the Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed prior to purchase during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, price, or checkout listing of the Product, provided that the warning statement appears elsewhere on the same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the product(s) to which the warning applies.

2.4 Grace Period for Existing Inventory of Products/Warning Labels

A & E represents that, prior to entering this Consent Judgment, it implemented a health hazard warning for the Products, which includes the following language: "This product may contain a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer, or birth defects or other reproductive harm." Brimer agrees that A & E may continue using this warning until it exhausts its current supply of Products or packaging/labeling bearing this warning statement. Such Products, provided they are/were manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale by A & E prior to the Effective Date, subject to the releases provided under Sections 4.1 and 4.2, below.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notice, Complaint, and this Consent Judgment, A & E shall pay \$3,000 in civil penalties. A & E's civil penalty payment will be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds retained by Brimer. A & E shall provide its payment in two checks for the following amount made payable to (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,250; and (b) "Russell Brimer, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$750. Brimer's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of the penalty payment.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after all the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties negotiated the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. As provided for in Section 3.3. below, A & E shall pay \$29,000, for all fees and costs incurred by Brimer through the Effective Date in investigating,

bringing this matter to A & E's attention, litigating, and obtaining court approval of the Parties' settlement in the public interest.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be held in trust until the Court approves the Parties' settlement. A &E shall deliver the settlement payments to its counsel within fifteen (15) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties. A & E's counsel shall provide Brimer's counsel with written notice following its receipt of the settlement funds.

Thereafter, A & E's counsel shall hold the funds in trust until, and disburse the funds to Brimer's counsel within five (5) days after, the Effective Date.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Brimer's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Brimer, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, releases A & E and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, shareholders and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees (collectively "Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 based on any alleged exposure to DEHP from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale by A & E prior to the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale by A & E after the Effective Date.

4.2 Brimer's Individual Release of Claims

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to A & E, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees that shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale by A & E before the Effective Date.

4.3 A & E's Release of Brimer

A & E, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

4.4 Mutual Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

The Parties each acknowledge that he/it is familiar with Section 1542 of the Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties, each on his/its own behalf (and Brimer in his individual capacity only and *not* in any representative capacity), and on behalf of his/its past and current agents, representatives, counsel, successors, and/or assignees, expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits that they may have under, or that may be conferred upon them by, the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent he/it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters, as specifically defined by Sections 4.2 and 4.3, above.

5. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Only the Parties may enforce this Consent Judgment. Any Party may enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment, provided that such Party complies with the terms and conditions set forth in this Section 5. The prevailing party shall be entitled to its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs associated with such enforcement.

No application, motion, or action to enforce this Consent Judgment may be filed, commenced or maintained, and no 60-day notice related to the Products may be served unless (i) the Party seeking enforcement first notifies the other Party of the specific act(s) alleged to breach this Consent Judgment, and (ii) the entity receiving a notice of alleged breach fails to comply with the requirements set forth in this Section.

Any notice of breach to A & E must: (i) identify the Product by item, model number, brand name, or SKU (stock keeping unit), as applicable; (ii) specify all known dates when the Product was sold, offered for sale, or purchased in California; (iii) identify the store or other seller offering the Product for sale to consumers in California; and (iv) include any additional evidence or information supporting the alleged breach or violation as is available.

Within 30 days of receiving written notice from Brimer alleging a breach of this Consent Judgment, A & E, at its own election shall either (i) send the entity offering the Product for sale in or into California a letter directing that the Product be promptly removed from inventory and returned to A & E at A & E's expense, (ii) provide the entity offering the Product for sale in or into California with warning materials in compliance with Section 2.3 and, thereafter, verify with the entity that such warnings have been, or are being, provided, or (iii) refute the alleged breach during the meet and confer period to Brimer's satisfaction. Such actions taken by A & E shall be considered a cure of the alleged breach, and Brimer may take no further action to alleged breach or violation of this Consent Judgment. Should the Parties be unable to resolve the dispute after meeting and conferring for not less than 45 days following Brimer's service of a notice of breach in compliance with this Section, he may pursue formal enforcement of this Consent Judgment.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25 26

27

28

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or within such additional time to which the Parties may agree in writing.

7. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

8. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then A & E may provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

9. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For A & E:

John W. Lang A & E Incorporated 5501 21st Street Racine, WI 53406

With a copy to:

J.T. Wells Blaxter, Esq. Blaxter | Blackman LLP 475 Sansome Street, Suite 1850 San Francisco, CA 94111

For Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notice or other correspondence shall be sent.

10. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE/EMAIL SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or emailed signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

11. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Brimer shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts and those of their counsel to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of the settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, supporting the motion for approval, responding to any opposition or objection any third-party may file, and appearing at the hearing, if so requested.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court thereon.

25 ///

26 | ///

27 ///

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO: AGREED TO:

Date: _1/9/2018 _____ Date: _/2/14/17

By:
RUSSELI BRIMER
John W. Lang

A & E INCORPORATED