1 2 3 4 5 6	Brian C. Johnson, State Bar No. 235965 Kimberly Gates, State Bar No. 282369 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Email: brian@chanler.com Email: kimberly@chanler.com	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
11	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
12		
13	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No. CGC-16-552530
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6)
16	SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC.; et al.	Code Civ. 11oc. § 004.0)
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer ("Brimer") and Spectrum Brands, Inc. ("Spectrum"), with Brimer and Spectrum each individually referred to as a "Party" and, collectively, as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Brimer is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Spectrum employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Brimer alleges that Spectrum imports, sells, or distributes for sale in California lanterns and other light products, including but not limited to, flashlights and headlamps, with handles and/or other components that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are lanterns, flashlights, and headlamps, with handles and/or other components containing DEHP, that are manufactured, imported, sold, and/or distributed for sale, in California, by Spectrum, including, but not limited to: (a) the *Rayovac Sportsman LED Lantern*, *SE3DLNA*, *UPC #0 12800 51706 0*; (b) the *Rayovac Value Bright 15-Lumen 2AA LED Flashlight*, *2AA-B RBC*, *UPC #0 12800 50124 3*; and (c) the *Rayovac Indestructible 3AAA 100 Lumen Headlamp*, *DIYHPHL-B*, *UPC #0 12800 51561 5*. The categories of products described in this Section 1.5 shall be referred to, hereinafter, collectively, as the "Products."

1.6 Notices of Violation

On January 29, 2016, Brimer served Spectrum and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice"), alleging that Spectrum violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP from its lanterns with vinyl/PVC handles.

On March 30, 2017, Brimer served Spectrum and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a Supplemental 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Supplemental Notice"), alleging that Spectrum violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP from its flashlights and headlamps.

Collectively, the Notice and Supplemental Notice shall be referred to, hereinafter, as the "Notices." No public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notices.

1.7 Complaint

On June 13, 2016, Brimer filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Spectrum as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notices. At the time he filed the Complaint, however, Brimer did not have standing to bring an action to enforce the alleged violations that are the subject of the Supplemental Notice. The Parties specifically intend for this Consent Judgment to cover all of Spectrum's Products. To this end, they stipulate that, on the Effective Date, or the date that is sixty-one days after Brimer's service of the Supplemental Notice with no public enforcer having elected to enforce the violations alleged therein, the Complaint shall be deemed amended *nunc pro tunc* to include those additional Spectrum Products and alleged violations of Proposition 65 that are the subject of the Supplemental Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Spectrum denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notices and Complaint and maintains that all of the products it sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law,

or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Spectrum's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Spectrum as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Francisco, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

2.1 Commitment to Reformulate Products or Provide Warnings

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Spectrum agrees to only manufacture for sale, import for sale, or purchase for sale, in or into California, either: (a) "Reformulated Products," as described in Section 2.2, below; or (b) Products that contain a clear and reasonable warning, as described in Section 2.3, below.

2.2 Reformulated Products

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products containing DEHP in a maximum concentration of 1,000 parts per million (0.1%) in any accessible component (*i.e.*, any component that may be touched during a reasonably foreseeable use), when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

2.1

2.3.1 Product Warnings

Spectrum agrees that as of the Effective Date, all Products it manufactures for sale, imports for sale, or purchases for sale, in or into California, which do not qualify as Reformulated Products, will bear a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to this Section. Spectrum further agrees that the warning will be prominently placed with such conspicuousness, when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devises, as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions of use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning for the Products shall consist of a warning affixed to the packaging, labeling, hang-tag, or directly to Products sold in California containing the following statement:

WARNING: This product can expose you to DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Or, for Products that Spectrum knows, or reasonably believes, contain a Proposition 65-listed chemical in addition to DEHP, it may use the following warning statement:

WARNING: This product can expose you to chemicals including DEHP, which is known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm. For more information go to www.P65Warnings.ca.gov.

Alternatively, before August 30, 2018, Spectrum shall also have the option to use the following statement:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Or, for Products that Spectrum knows, or reasonably believes, contain a Proposition 65-listed chemical in addition to DEHP, it may use the following warning statement:

WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Alternately, in addition to the above, Spectrum may, at any time, use the safe harbor language provided at 27 Cal. Code Regs. secs. 25601 *et seq.*, effective as amended August 30, 2016, to warn about the risks of exposure to DEHP (and other chemicals, if applicable) from the Products.

2.3.2 Spectrum represents that all Products that it has manufactured for sale, imported for sale, or purchased for sale in or into California on or after May 10, 2016, which do not qualify as Reformulated Products, bear the following statement:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Spectrum further represents that warnings described in this subparagraph 2.3.2 have been placed in a manner that complies with the placement requirements of subparagraph 2.3.1 above.

2.3.3 Internet Warnings

In the event that Spectrum sells non-Reformulated Products via its internet website to consumers located in California, the warning shall appear either: (a) on the same web page on which a non-Reformulated Product is displayed and/or described; (b) on the same page as the price for the non-Reformulated Product; or (c) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser during the checkout process. Alternatively, a symbol consisting of a black exclamation point in a yellow or white equilateral triangle may appear adjacent to or immediately following the display, description, price, or checkout listing of the Product, provided that the warning statement appears elsewhere on the same web page in a manner that clearly associates it with the Product(s) to which the warning applies.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payment

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in the Notices, Complaint and this Consent Judgment, Spectrum agrees to pay \$25,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. The civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%)

of the penalty paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

("OEHHA"), and the remaining twenty-five percent (25%) of the penalty retained by Brimer.

Spectrum shall issue its payment in two checks for the following amounts: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$18,750; and (b) "Russell Brimer" in the amount of \$6,250. Brimer's counsel shall be responsible for delivering OEHHA's portion of any penalty payment made under this Consent

Judgment.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Brimer and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties negotiated the compensation due to Brimer and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine, codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment, and through court approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any. Spectrum shall pay \$40,000 for all fees and costs incurred by Brimer in investigating, bringing this matter to Spectrum's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this Consent Judgment shall be held in trust until such time as the Court approves the Parties' settlement. Within fifteen (15) business days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, all payments due under this agreement shall be delivered to Spectrum's counsel, Dentons US LLP ("Dentons"), and held in trust by Dentons, until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment, as contemplated by Section 5. Dentons shall provide Brimer's counsel with written confirmation, upon its receipt of Spectrum's settlement payments. Within five business days of the Effective Date, Dentons shall deliver all payments due under this agreement to Brimer's counsel at the address in Section 3.4 below.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Brimer's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

In consideration of the promises and commitments herein contained, Brimer, on his own behalf and on behalf of his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, and in the public interest, releases Spectrum and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees"), and each entity to whom Spectrum directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, without limitation, its downstream customers, distributors, wholesalers, and retailers ("Downstream Releasees") for any violation arising under Proposition 65 pertaining to the failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products manufactured for sale, imported for sale, purchased for sale, sold, or distributed for sale by Spectrum prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notices. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from Products manufactured for sale, imported for sale, purchased for sale, sold or distributed for sale by Spectrum after the Effective Date.

4.2 Brimer's Individual Release of Claims

Brimer, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Spectrum, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Brimer of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured for sale, imported for sale, purchased for sale, sold or distributed for sale by Spectrum before the Effective Date.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

5.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4.3 **Spectrum's Release of Brimer**

Spectrum, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Brimer and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or within such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally or as to the Products, then Spectrum may provide written notice to Brimer of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. **NOTICE**

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Spectrum:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

General Counsel Spectrum Brands, Inc. 3001 Deming Way Middleton, WI 53562

with a copy to Spectrum's counsel:

Sarah Choi, Esq. Dentons US LLP 1999 Harrison St., Suite 1300 Oakland, CA 94612

For Brimer:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Brimer agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement, which motion Brimer shall draft and file. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include supporting the motion for settlement approval, responding to any third-party objection or comment which may be lodged, and appearing at the settlement approval hearing, if so requested.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. <u>COUNTERPARTS</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

13. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and acknowledge that they have read, understand, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 5/2/2017	Date:4/24/2017 10:26 AM CDT
By:RUSSELL BRIMER	By: Mathon E. Ingre Nathan Fagio, 5 v 1, Scholar Counsel and
	Secretary
	SPECTRUM BRANDS, INC.