1	Jonathan A. Bornstein, State Bar No. 196345		
1	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP		
2	2560 Ninth Street		
3   4	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880		
5	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, Ph.D.		
7			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA		
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
11	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D	Case No. RG14746125	
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT	
13	vs.	AS TO DEFENDANT AMERICAN DJ SUPPLY, INC.	
14	AMERICAN DJ SUPPLY, INC.; and DOES		
15	1-150, inclusive,		
16	Defendants.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>			
27			
28			
U			
	[Proposed] Consent Judgment As To Defendant American DJ Supply, Inc.		

# 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### 

### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

#### 

## 

#### 

#### 

## 

## 

## 

#### 

#### 

#### I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

#### 1.1 Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D., and American DJ Supply, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D ("Leeman" or "Plaintiff") and defendant American DJ Supply, Inc. ("ADJ" or "Defendant"), with Leeman and ADJ collectively referred to as the "Parties."

#### 1.2 Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D.

Leeman is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

#### 1.3 American DJ Supply, Inc.

Leeman alleges that ADJ employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

#### 1.4 General Allegations.

Leeman alleges that ADJ has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold headphones with vinyl/PVC ear cushions and vinyl/PVC headphone bags containing DEHP for use in the State of California without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and reproductive harm.

#### 1.5 Notice of Violation.

On July 30, 2014, Leeman served ADJ and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" alleging that ADJ violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn California consumers that its headphones with vinyl/PVC ear cushions and vinyl/PVC headphone bags, including, but not limited to, the *American Audio Professional High Powered Headphones, HP 550, UPC # 6 40282 02412 9*, exposed users in California to DEHP (the "Notice").

///

///

4

6 7

8

1011

1213

1415

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

2728

#### 1.6 Complaint.

On October 28, 2014, Leeman filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against ADJ and Does 1 through 150, *Leeman v. American DJ Supply, Inc., et al.*, Case No. RG14746125 (the "Action"), alleging violations of California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain headphones with vinyl/PVC ear cushions and vinyl/PVC headphone bags sold by ADJ in the State of California.

#### 1.7 No Admission.

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Notice and Complaint, or that could have been raised in the Complaint, arising out of the facts and/or conduct alleged therein. ADJ denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and the Complaint, and maintains that it is not a person subject to Proposition 65, and that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold, including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and are completely safe for their intended use. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, ADJ does not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating that it has sold any products in the State of California, or that it has committed any violations of Proposition 65, or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to DEHP in Covered Products, such being specifically denied by ADJ. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by ADJ of any fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor that it is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of California. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense ADJ may have in this or any other future legal proceedings. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of ADJ under this Consent Judgment.

#### 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, ADJ stipulates that this Court has jurisdiction over ADJ as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of

Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

#### 2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Product[s]" means headphones with vinyl/PVC ear cushions containing DEHP and vinyl/PVC headphone bags containing DEHP, including, but not limited to, the *American Audio Professional High Powered Headphones, HP 550, UPC # 6 40282 02412 9*, manufactured by ADJ, which are distributed and/or sold in the State of California.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date the Court approves the Consent Judgment, including any tentative rulings not opposed by the Parties.

#### 3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

As of December 1, 2015, all Covered Products that ADJ manufactures for sale in California shall: (1) be "Reformulated Covered Products" as defined in Section 3.1 or (2) contain of the clear and reasonable warnings set forth in Section 3.2.

#### 3.1 Reformulation

Reformulated Covered Products" shall contain less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

#### 3.2 Warnings

Covered Products that are not "Reformulated Covered Products" as defined in Section 3.1 will include a warning affixed to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Covered Product that states:

WARNING: This product contains DEHP, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude ADJ from shipping and/or selling in California its existing inventory of Covered Products, for ADJ shall pay a civil fine pursuant to Section 4.1.

///

#### 4. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

#### 4.1 Penalties Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b).

In complete settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, ADJ shall pay a total of \$8,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated by Leeman in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty retained by Leeman, as follows:

#### 4.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty.

ADJ shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$3,000 on or before the Effective Date. Defendant shall issue a check payable to "Sherman IP LLP" in the amount of \$3,000 to be held in trust by Sherman IP LLP. Sherman IP LLP shall provide The Chanler Group with written confirmation within five (5) days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account. Within two (2) days of the Effective Date, Sherman IP LLP shall issue one check payable to "Whitney Leeman, Client Trust Account." in the amount of \$3,000.

#### 4.1.2 Final Civil Penalty.

ADJ shall pay a final civil penalty in the amount \$5,000 on or before October 15, 2015, except that the final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, if, on or before October 1, 2015, an Officer of ADJ certifies in writing that, as of the October 1, 2015, ADJ only manufactures Reformulated Covered Products for sale in California, and that it will thereafter continue to manufacture for sale in California only Reformulated Covered Products, or that it has discontinued manufacturing for sale the Covered Products in California. Such certification must be received by The Chanler Group on or before October 1, 2015. The certification for expedited reformulation, or discontinuing sales of the Covered Products, in lieu of paying the final civil penalty provided by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. Unless waived, ADJ shall issue one check payable to "Whitney Leeman, Client Trust Account." in the amount of \$5,000.

#### 4.2 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs.

The Parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving

21

23 24 25

27 28

26

the fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. ADJ then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed (and to be performed) in this matter, except fees that may be incurred in connection with an Office of the Attorney General, appeal (if any). Under these legal principles, ADJ shall pay the total amount of \$32,000 to reimburse Plaintiff's fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, inclusive of all fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest. On or before the Effective Date, ADJ shall issue a check payable to "Sherman IP LLP" in the amount of \$32,000 to be held in trust by Sherman IP LLP for The Chanler Group. Sherman IP LLP shall provide The Chanler Group with written confirmation within five (5) days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account. Within two (2) days of the Effective Date, Sherman IP LLP shall issue a check payable to "The Chanler Group."

#### 4.3 Payment Procedures.

All payments pursuant to Sections 4.1 through 4.2, shall be delivered to the following

payment address: The Chanler Group

Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street

Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

If for any reason this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court within nine (9) months of the date the Consent Judgment is executed by all parties, Plaintiff shall meet and confer with ADJ about mutually agreeable steps the parties can take to ensure entry of the Consent Judgment. If such steps cannot be agreed between the Parties, Plaintiff shall promptly return to ADJ any and all monies paid by ADJ herein under Sections 4.1 and 4.2 (if not waived) upon ADJ's written request.

111 111

#### 5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

- 5.1 Leeman, acting on behalf of herself and in the public interest, hereby releases ADJ, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, shareholders ("Defendant Releasees"), and any of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, licensees, and any other person or entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 that has been asserted by Leeman in the public interest in her Notice and Complaint regarding the alleged failure to warn about exposure to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, sold and/or distributed by ADJ prior to the Effective Date. ADJ's compliance with this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in Covered Products.
- 5.2 Leeman on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against ADJ, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream

  Defendant Releasees arising from any violation or alleged violation of Proposition 65 regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, sold or distributed prior to the Effective Date.
- 5.3 Leeman also, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged exposure to DEHP in the Covered Products manufactured prior to the Effective Date.

The Parties further understand and agree that the release covered by Sections 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 shall not extend upstream to any entities, other than ADJ, that manufactured the Covered Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Covered Products or any component parts thereof to ADJ.

- 5.4 ADJ waives any and all Claims against Leeman, her attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.
- 5.5 ADJ also provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of ADJ of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Notice and Action.

#### 6. COURT APPROVAL

- 6.1 By this Consent Judgment and upon the Court's approval of the same in its entirety and without modification, unless such a modification is agreed to by the Parties in writing, the Parties waive their right to a trial on the merits, and waive their rights to initiate appellate review of this Consent Judgment, and of any and all interim rulings, including all pleading, procedural, and discovery orders.
- 6.2 The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Leeman shall file and which ADJ shall not oppose.
- 6.3 If this Consent Judgment is not approved by the Court: (a) this Consent Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the Action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, including

the parties' participation in the negotiation and preparation of this Consent Judgment, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this Action, or in any other proceeding; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

#### 7. GOVERNING LAW

- 7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, and shall apply only to Covered Products offered for sale in the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then ADJ may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.
- 7.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment, and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

#### 8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To ADJ:

SHERMAN IP LLP 1519 26<sup>th</sup> Street Santa Monica, Ca 90404

Page 8

To Leeman:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

**8.2** Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

#### 9. MODIFICATION

- **9.1 Modification**. This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court, or by motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.
- 9.2 Subsequent Legislation. If, subsequent to the Effective Date, legislation or regulation is adopted that addresses the DEHP content of Covered Products sold in California hereunder, any Party shall be entitled to request that the Court modify the reformulation standard in Section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment for good cause shown.
- 9.3 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment or to allege a violation thereof shall first attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

#### 10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver unless set forth in writing between the Parties.

///

28 | ///

11.1

Consent Judgment and shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Consent Judgment, or any provision hereof, under California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6. Should either Party allege a violation of this Consent Judgment, the alleging Party agrees to provide written notice thereof, and to meet and confer and provide all relevant evidence of any alleged violation to the other Party. If the Parties cannot agree on an appropriate resolution of the alleged violation within 30 days of the written notice thereof and provision of all relevant evidence, either Party shall be free to move the Court to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the

#### 12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

12.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 13. AUTHORIZATION

13.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: May 22, 2015	Date:
By: Wuthunkeenaw Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D.	By: Toby Velazquez, President Defendant American DJ Supply, Inc.

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment and shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Consent Judgment, or any provision hereof, under California Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6. Should either Party allege a violation of this Consent Judgment, the alleging Party agrees to provide written notice thereof, and to meet and confer and provide all relevant evidence of any alleged violation to the other Party. If the Parties cannot agree on an appropriate resolution of the alleged violation within 30 days of the written notice thereof and provision of all relevant evidence, either Party shall be free to move the Court to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

#### 12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

12.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

#### 13. AUTHORIZATION

13.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: 5 28/15
By:Plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D.	By:  Toby Velazquez, President  Defendant American DJ Supply, Inc.

Page 10