1	Christopher M. Martin, State Bar No. 186021 Stephen E. Cohen, State Bar No. 284416 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street				
2					
3	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565				
4	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN				
6					
7					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
9	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA				
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION				
12					
13	DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN,) Case No. RG 13703340				
14	Plaintiff,) [PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT				
15	v.)				
16	BAYCO PRODUCTS, INC.; and DOES 1-150,) inclusive, (Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)				
17	Defendants.				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Dr. Whitney R. Leeman and Bayco Products, Inc.

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Dr. Whitney R. Leeman ("Leeman" or "Plaintiff") and defendant Bayco Products, Inc. ("Bayco" or "Defendant"), with Plaintiff and Defendant collectively referred to as the "Parties" and each individually referred to as a "Party."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances in consumer products.

1.3 <u>Defendant</u>

Bayco employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6, *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Bayco has manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or sold vinyl/PVC light bulb changer grips causing an exposure to di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") in California without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive harm.

1.5 **Product Description**

The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as vinyl/PVC light bulb changer grips containing DEHP including, but not limited to, the 7 Piece Light Bulb Changing Kit LBC-602DA (#0 17398 450409), which Bayco manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or sold in the State of California, hereinafter referred to as the "Noticed Products." In addition to the Noticed Products, this Consent Judgment covers Pro Series Booster Cables, including but not limited to SL-3006; 3VRZ7 (#0 17398 03006 9), which Bayco manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or sold in the State of California, hereinafter referred to as the "Additional Products." The Noticed Products and Additional Products are referred to collectively as the "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On May 31, 2013, Leeman served Bayco and various public enforcement agencies, with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice of alleged violations of Proposition 65 for failing to warn consumers that the Noticed Products allegedly exposed users in California to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 <u>Complaint</u>

On or about November 15, 2013, Leeman, acting in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint ("Complaint" or "Action") in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against Bayco and Does 1 through 150, alleging, *inter alia*, violations of Proposition 65 based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in the Noticed Products.

1.8 No Admission

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were raised in the Notice and Complaint, or that could have been raised in the Notice and Complaint, arising out of the facts or conduct alleged therein. Bayco denies the material, factual and legal allegations contained in Leeman's Notice and Complaint and maintains that all products it has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold in the State of California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws, and are completely safe for their intended use. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, Bayco does not admit any facts or conclusions of law, including, but not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating any violations of Proposition 65 or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to DEHP in the Products, such being specifically denied by Bayco. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Bayco of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Bayco of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Bayco's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Consent to Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has Jurisdiction over Bayco as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean February 27, 2015.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS</u>

2.1 Reformulation Standards

As of the Effective Date, Bayco shall only manufacture, import, distribute, and/or sell in California Products that are "Reformulated Products" or Products that contain Proposition 65 warnings pursuant to Section 2.2 below. Reformulated Products are defined as those Products containing less than or equal to 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) DEHP in each Accessible Component when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C. "Accessible Component," as used in this Consent Judgment, means a component of a Product that can be touched by a person during normal, intended and foreseeable use of the Product.

2.2 **Product Warnings**

Commencing on the Effective Date, Bayco shall, for all Products it manufactures for sale in California, other than Reformulated Products, provide clear and reasonable warnings as set forth in subsections 2.2(a). Each warning shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. Each warning shall be provided in a manner such that the consumer or user understands to which *specific* Product the warning applies, so as to minimize the risk of consumer confusion.

(a) Retail Store Sales.

(i) **Product Labeling.** Bayco shall affix a warning to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Product sold to retail outlets in California by Bayco or any person

selling the Products in California, that states:

WARNING: This product contains chemicals known to the State of California to cause cancer, and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

3. MONETARY PAYMENTS

In settlement of all claims related to Noticed Products referred to in this Consent Judgment, and subject to the qualification set forth in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 below, Bayco shall pay a total of \$8,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Leeman.

3.1 Initial Civil Penalty

Bayco shall pay an initial civil penalty of \$2,000. Bayco shall issue a check in the amount of \$2,000 to "Rogers Joseph O'Donnell Client Trust Account" to be held in trust for OEHHA and Leeman within fifteen (15) days of the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell shall provide The Chanler Group with written confirmation within three (3) days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in its trust account. Within three (3) business days of the approval of this Consent Judgment by the Court, Rogers Joseph O'Donnell shall issue two separate checks for the initial civil penalty payment to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$1,500; and (b) "Dr. Whitney R. Leeman, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$500.

Final Civil Penalty

Bayco shall pay a final civil penalty of \$6,000 on or before April 15, 2015. The final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, however, if, no later than April 1, 2015, an officer of Bayco provides Leeman with written certification that, as of the date of such certification and continuing into the future, Bayco has met the reformulation standard specified in Section 2.1 above for Noticed Products, such that all Noticed Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold and offered for sale in California by Bayco are Reformulated Products. The certification in lieu of a final civil penalty payment provided by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. In the event the final civil penalty is not waived, Bayco shall issue two separate checks for its final civil penalty

1	payments to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$4,500; and (b) "Dr. Whitney R. Leeman, Client Trust				
2	Account" in the amount of \$1,500.				
3	3.3 Payment Procedures				
4		3.3.1	Issuance of Payments. Payments shall be delivered as follows:		
5			(a) All payments owed to Leeman pursuant to Sections 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4		
6	shall be delivered to the following payment address:				
7 8			The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller		
9			2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710		
10			(b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Sections		
11	3.1 and 3.2, shall be delivered to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following				
12					
13	addresses:				
14 15			For United States Postal Service Delivery:		
16 17 18	Mike Gyurics Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment P.O. Box 4010 Sacramento, CA 95812-4010				
19			For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:		
20			Mike Gyurics		
21			Fiscal Operations Branch Chief Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment		
22			1001 I Street Sacramento, CA 95814		
23	With	a conv o	of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to The Chanler Group at the address		
24			.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA.		
25	500 10101 000		(a), as proof of pajmont to ominin		
26					
27					
28					

3.4.

Reimbursement of Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute

this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Leeman then

expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been

finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due

to Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general

doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure § 1021.5, for all work performed through

the mutual execution of this agreement, and any further work necessary to seek and obtain court

approval of this Consent Judgment. Bayco shall pay \$31,000 for plaintiff's fees and costs incurred

as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Bayco's attention, negotiating a settlement in the

public interest, and any further work necessary to seek and obtain court approval of this Consent

Judgment. Bayco shall issue a check payable to "Rogers Joseph O'Donnell Client Trust Account"

in the amount of \$31,000 within fifteen (15) days of the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment

confirmation within three (3) days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account.

Within three (3) business days of the approval of this Consent Judgment by the Court, Rogers

Joseph O'Donnell shall issue a check payable to "The Chanler Group" to the address listed in

Section 3.3.1(a) above. Except as set forth herein each Party shall bear its own attorney's fees and

by the Parties. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell shall provide The Chanler Group with written

without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving

2

4

6 7

8

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

expenses.

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 <u>Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims</u>

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Bayco, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys ("Defendant Releasees"), and each entity to whom Bayco directly or indirectly distributes or sells Noticed Products, including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisees, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 asserted in the public interest in her

Notice and Complaint for Noticed Products manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Bayco up through the Effective Date based on alleged exposures to DEHP from the Noticed Products as set forth in the Notice and Complaint. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment by Bayco constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to DEHP from the Noticed Products manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold after the Effective Date by Defendant, Defendant Releasees and Downstream Defendant Releasees as alleged in the Notice and Complaint.

Leeman, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the public interest, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever (collectively "Claims"), against Bayco, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any alleged violation of Proposition 65 regarding the alleged failure to warn about alleged exposure to DEHP in the Noticed Products.

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, on her own behalf, and on behalf of her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, also provides a release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities, and demands of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, distributed, and/or sold by Bayco, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees. Leeman acknowledges that she is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Leeman, in her individual capacity only, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which she may have under, or which may be conferred on her by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that she may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

The releases provided by Section 4.2 are expressly limited to those claims that arise under Proposition 65, as such claims relate to Bayco's alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of DEHP contained in the Products, as such claims are identified in the Proposition 65 60-Day Notice to Bayco and to the extent that any alleged violations of Proposition 65 related to DEHP occur in Products manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold by Bayco prior to the Effective Date.

The releases provided by Section 4.2 are solely on Leeman's behalf, and not on behalf of the public in California.

4.3 Bayco's Release of Leeman

Bayco on behalf of itself, its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman, her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, and/or with respect to the Products.

Bayco also provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Bayco of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Action relating to alleged DEHP in the Products. Bayco acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

24

23

25 26

28

27

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER VOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Bayco expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which it may have under, or which may be conferred on it by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters relating to alleged DEHP in the Products. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

5. **COURT APPROVAL**

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties. If the Court does not approve and enter the Consent Judgment within one year of the full execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, any monies that have been provided to or held in trust for OEHHA, Leeman or her counsel pursuant to Section 3, above, shall be refunded to Bayco within 15 days.

6. **SEVERABILITY**

If, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Bayco shall provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products

are so affected.

8. NOTICES

Unless otherwise specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any party by the other party at the following addresses:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

To Bayco:

Bijan Bayat, President Bayco Products, Inc. 640 Sanden Boulevard Wylie, TX 75098 To Leeman:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

With a copy to:

James Robert Maxwell Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California St., 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Any party, from time to time, may specify in writing to the other party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or .pdf signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document. A facsimile or .pdf signature shall be as valid as the original.

10. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(f)

Leeman and her attorneys agree to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f).

11. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Leeman and Bayco agree to mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a

2728

§ 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Leeman shall draft and file, and Bayco shall not oppose. If any third-party objection to the noticed motion is filed, Leeman and Bayco shall work together to file a joint reply and appear at any hearing before the Court. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

12. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only: (1) by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon; or (2) upon a successful motion of any party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

13. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, and understandings related hereto. No representations, oral, or otherwise, express or implied, other than those contained herein have been made by any party hereto. No other agreements not specifically referred to herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of the Parties.

14. **AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED 10;	AGREED 10
Date: 2/13/15	Date: 245/15
By: Mohustelman Dr. Whitney R Leeman	By: Bayco Products, Inc.