 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. Plaintiff, v. ENERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. INTERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., INTERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. INTERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., INTERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al.,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Clifford Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.		
 10 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 11 12 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. 13 Plaintiff, v. 14 v. ENERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. Plaintiff, v. ENERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. Case No. 16CV290343 (PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6 Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6	9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA		
 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. Plaintiff, v. ENERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 22 23 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 26 26 27 28 29 29 20<	10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D. Plaintiff, v. ENERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	11			
13 Plaintiff, 14 v. 15 v. 16 Defendants. 17 Defendants. 18	12	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.	Case No. 16CV290343	
 v. ENERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. 17 20 21 22 23 24 25 	13			
15 ENERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al., Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6 16 Defendants.	14		Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and	
IP Defendants. 18	15	ENERMAX USA CORPORATION, et al.,	Cal. Code Civ. Proc. § 664.6	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25		Defendants.		
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	-			
20 21 22 23 24 25				
21 22 23 24 25				
22 23 24 25				
23 24 25				
24 25				
25				
27	27			
28	28			

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1.

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman") and defendant Ecomaster Technology Corp., fka Enermax USA Corporation ("Ecomaster"), with Leeman and Ecomaster each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendant

Leeman contends, and Ecomaster disputes, that Ecomaster employs ten or more individuals and is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Ecomaster manufactures, imports, sells, or distributes for sale in California, vinyl/PVC earphone cords that contain di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as vinyl/PVC earphone cords containing DEHP that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Ecomaster including, but not limited to, the *DreamBass Audio with Built-in Amplifier & Earphone, AP001E, UPC #8 16163 00540 6*, hereinafter the "Products."

25

1.6 Notices of Violation

On October 28, 2015, Leeman served Ecomaster and the requisite public enforcement
agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") that provided the recipients with notice that
Ecomaster violated California Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 when it failed to warn its customers

2 commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice. 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 2.

1

1.7 Complaint

On February 8, 2016, Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Ecomaster as a defendant for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

and consumers in California that its Products expose users to DEHP. No public enforcer has

1.8 No Admission

Ecomaster denies the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and it maintains that all of the products that it has sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Ecomaster of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Ecomaster of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Ecomaster's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 **Consent to Jurisdiction**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Ecomaster as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 **Effective Date**

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Court approves this Consent Judgment, including any unopposed tentative ruling granting approval of this Consent Judgment.

26

2.1 **Reformulated Products**

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

27 Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Ecomaster shall only 28 manufacture for sale, purchase for sale, or import for sale in California, "Reformulated Products." Reformulated Products are products that contain DEHP in concentrations less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

3.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Ecomaster shall pay \$15,000 in civil penalties, as set forth in section 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 below. Each civil penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Plaintiff.

13

14

15

16

17

3.1.1 Initial Civil Penalty

Ecomaster shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$5,000. Defendant will provide its payment in a single check made payable to "Whitney R. Leeman, Client Trust Account." Leeman's counsel shall be responsible for remitting International' penalty payment(s) under this settlement to OEHHA.

18 19

3.1.2 Final Civil Penalty

On or before November 15, 2016, Ecomaster shall make a final civil penalty payment 20 of \$10,000. Pursuant to title 11 California Code of Regulations, section 3203(c), Leeman agrees that 21 the final civil penalty payment shall be waived in its entirety if, no later than November 1, 2016, an 22 authorized representative of Ecomaster provides Leeman with written certification that all of the 23 Products it is selling or distributing for sale in California as of the date of such certification are 24 Reformulated Products as defined by Section 2.1, and that Ecomaster will continue to offer only 25 Reformulated Products for sale in California in the future. The option to certify reformulation in lieu 26 of making the final civil penalty payment required by this Section is a material term and time is of the 27 essence. Ecomaster shall deliver its certificate, if any, to Leeman's counsel at the address provided in 28 Section 3.4, below. In the event that Ecomaster does not timely certify its compliance or make the

final civil penalty payment required by this Section, the Parties agree that Leeman may file a motion or application seeking an order compelling Ecomaster's compliance with this Section. In the event the final civil penalty payment becomes due prior to the Effective Date, then Ecomaster shall deliver the final civil penalty payment to its attorney to be held in trust until, and disbursed within two days after the Effective Date.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Ecomaster expressed a desire to resolve Leeman's fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through court approval of this Consent Judgment. Ecomaster shall issue a check to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$30,000, pursuant to the payment procedures in Section 3.3 below, and to the address found in Section 3.4 below.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

Except the final civil penalty payment required by Section 3.1.2, all payments due under this agreement shall be delivered within two (2) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by Ecomaster's counsel until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment. Within two business days of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, Ecomaster's counsel shall tender the initial civil penalty payments and attorneys' fee and costs reimbursements required by Sections 3.1.1 and 3.2. In the event the final civil penalty payment becomes due prior to the Effective Date, then Ecomaster shall deliver the final civil penalty payment to its attorney to be held in trust until, and disbursed within two days after the Effective Date.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Ecomaster and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, resellers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from Products sold by Ecomaster prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice.

4.

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, also provides a release to Ecomaster, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products sold or distributed for sale by Ecomaster before the Effective Date.

4.3 Ecomaster's Release of Leeman

Ecomaster, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and

her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

4.4 Representations

Ecomaster represents that the sales data for the Products and other information it provided to Leeman is truthful to the best of its knowledge and a material factor upon which Leeman has relied to determine the amount of civil penalties assessed pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 in this Settlement Agreement. If, within twelve months of the Effective Date, Leeman discovers and presents to Ecomaster, evidence demonstrating that the preceding representation and warranty was materially inaccurate, then Ecomaster shall have 30 days to meet and confer regarding Leeman's 10 contention. Should this 30-day period pass without any such resolution between Leeman and Ecomaster, Leeman may pursue any remedies to which she claims to be entitled.

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5.

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Ecomaster may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

1	8. <u>NOTICE</u>	
2	Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment	
3	shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail,	
4	return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:	
5	For Ecomaster:	
6		
7	Steven Su, President Ecomaster Technology Corp. 14020 Central Avenue, Suite 500	
8	Chino, CA 91710	
9	with a copy to Ecomaster's counsel:	
10	Jeffrey Margulies Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP	
11	555 South Flower Street, 41 st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071	
12	For Leeman:	
13		
14	The Chanler Group Attention: Prop 65 Coordinator 2560 Ninth Street	
15 16	Parker Plaza Suite 214 Berkeley CA, 94710	
17	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all	
18	notices and other communications shall be sent.	
19	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>	
20	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable	
21	document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when	
22	taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.	
23	10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES	
24	Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and	
25	Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety	
26	Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement.	
27	Leeman and Ecomaster agree to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain	
28	judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner.	
_0	7	

11.

MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12.

AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and acknowledge that they have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:

AGREED TO:

Date:	9/22/16
	Whitney Leeman
By:	
W	HITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.

Date:_____

By:_

Steven Su, President ECOMASTER TECHNOLOGY CORP. FKA ENERMAX USA CORPORATION

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and acknowledge that they have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:

Date:

By:

AGREED TO:

Date: Oct. S. 2016 By: Att 10000 By:

Steven Su, President ECOMASTER TECHNOLOGY CORP. FKA ENERMAX USA CORPORATION

CONSENT	JUDGMENT
CONDENT	10DOMPIAL