1 2	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Warren M. Klein, State Bar No. 303958 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street	
3	Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710 Telephone: (510) 848-8880	
4	Facsimile: (510) 848-8118	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.	
7		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR MARIN COUNTY	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11	4 /	
12	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. CIV1600122
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED]CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	V.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq. and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6)
15	LOWE'S COMPANIES, INC.; KRISTUS,	
16	INC.; & DOES 1-150,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT	

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D., ("Leeman") and defendant Kristus, Inc. DBA Air Power America ("Kristus"), with Leeman and Kristus each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is a resident of the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals, and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating harmful substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

1.3 Defendant

Leeman alleges that Kristus employs ten or more individuals and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code §25249.5 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Kristus manufactures, imports, sells, distributes and/or offers for sale or use in California, or implies by its conduct that it manufactures, imports, sells, distributes and/or offers for sale or use in California, vinyl/PVC oil suction hoses that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP"), and that it does so without providing the health hazard warning that Leeman alleges is required by Proposition 65. DEHP is a chemical listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are vinyl/PVC oil suction hoses containing DEHP including, but not limited to, *LiquiVac Oil Change System (Suction Hose), UPC #0 26137 02005 6* (collectively, the "Products").

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about August 20, 2015, Leeman served Lowe's Companies, Inc. ("Lowe's), Kristus, others, and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice"), alleging that Lowe's and Kristus violated Proposition 65 when they failed to provide a "clear and

reasonable warning" to consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP from the Products. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On January 12, 2016, Leeman filed a complaint in the Superior Court of Marin County against Lowe's and Does 1-150, *Leeman v. Lowe's Companies. Inc.*, Case No. CIV1600122 ("Complaint"), alleging violations of Proposition 65. On July 15, 2016, Leeman filed an amendment to the Complaint, naming Kristus as a defendant ("First Amended Complaint").

1.8 No Admission

Lowe's and Kristus deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and First Amended Complaint, and maintain that all of the products that they have manufactured, imported, sold, distributed, and/or offered for sale or use in California, including the Products, have at all times been, and currently are, in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Lowe's or Kristus of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Lowe's or Kristus of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Kristus' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Kristus as to the allegations in the Complaint, that venue is proper in Marin County, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure § 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" shall mean the date that the Court grants the Motion for Approval of the Consent Judgment.

1 | 2.

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION

2.1 Reformulated Products

Commencing on the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter, any Products imported, sold, manufactured for sale, and/or distributed for sale in California by Kristus shall be "Reformulated Products." For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are Products that contain DEHP in concentrations of less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or any other methodology utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining the DEHP content in a solid substance. Compliance with this Agreement does not require Kristus to recall or otherwise remove from the commercial marketplace any Products, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California by Kristus prior to the Effective Date.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Payment Pursuant to Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(b)(2)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b)(2), and in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Kristus shall pay \$3,000 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. The penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty remitted to Leeman. Payment will be made, as described in Section 3.3 below, and will be delivered to the address provided in Section 3.4, below. Leeman's counsel shall be responsible for remitting the allocated portion of the penalty payment to OEHHA.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Shortly after finalizing the other settlement terms, the Parties expressed a desire to resolve Leeman's fees and costs. The Parties then negotiated a resolution of the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel pursuant to general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at

California Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5. As provided for in Section 3.3 below, for all work performed through the mutual execution of this agreement and the Court's approval of the same, but exclusive of fees and costs on appeal, if any, Kristus shall reimburse Leeman and her counsel shall be reimbursed in the amount of \$28,500. Payment will be made, as described in Section 3.3 below and will be delivered to the address provided in Section 3.4, below. The reimbursement shall cover all fees and costs incurred by Leeman through the Effective Date in investigating, bringing this matter to Kristus' attention, litigating, and obtaining a settlement of the matter in the public interest.

3.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held In Trust

Payments required by this Consent Judgment will made in two installments. The first installment will be made in two checks in the following amounts: \$3,000 in penalty payments in a check made payable to "Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D, Client Trust Account," and \$12,750 in attorneys' fees and costs, made payable to "The Chanler Group," and will be delivered to Kristus' counsel, Robb Krueger, within ten (10) business days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties. Within five (5) business days following receipt by Kristus' counsel of written notice of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, Kristus' counsel shall deliver the first payment installment it has held in trust to Leeman's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.4. A second payment in the amount of \$15,750, for the remainder of fees and costs provided for in Section 3.2, in a check made payable to "The Chanler Group" will be delivered to Leeman's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.4 no later than January 15, 2017. If the Court does not approve the Consent Judgment, all payments made pursuant to Sections 3.1 and 3.2 will be returned to Kristus.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments to Leeman or her counsel owed by Kristus under this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

4.1 Leeman's Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Kristus and its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership including without limitation Air Power America, directors, officers, employees, shareholders and attorneys (collectively, "Releasees") and each entity to whom Releasees directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products including, but not limited to, downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, including without limitation Lowe's Companies, Inc., Lowe's Home Centers, LLC, their respective parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, employees, agents, and assigns (collectively, "Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 based on any alleged exposure to DEHP from Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale or use by Releasees or Downstream Releasees prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice.

Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale or use by Releasees or Downstream Releasees.

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, also provides a release to Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, distributed, sold, and/or offered for sale or use by Releasees and or Downstream Releasees before the Effective Date.

4.3 Kristus' Release of Leeman

Kristus, on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman and her

attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

4.4 Mutual Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

The Parties each acknowledge that she/they is/are familiar with Section 1542 of the Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties, each on their own behalf (and Leeman in her individual capacity only and not in any representative capacity), and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, counsel, successors, and/or assignees, expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent they may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters, as defined by Sections 4.2 and 4.3, above.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties, or within such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing. Leeman and Kristus agree to support the entry of this agreement as a judgment, and to obtain the Court's approval of their settlement in a timely manner, or within such additional time as the Parties may agree to in writing. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which motion Leeman shall draft and file and Kristus shall support, including by appearing at the hearing if so requested. If any third-party objection to the motion is filed,

Leeman and Kristus agree to work together to file a reply and appear at any hearing. This provision is a material component of the Consent Judgment and shall be treated as such in the event of a breach.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected, so long as the deletion of provisions deemed unenforceable does not materially affect, or otherwise result in the effect of the Consent Judgment being contrary to the intent of the Parties.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or if any provision of this Consent Judgment is rendered inapplicable or no longer required as a result of any such repeal or preemption, or rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally as to the Products, then Kristus may provide Leeman with written notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery, (ii) first-class registered or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to any Party by the other at the following addresses:

To Kristus:

To Leeman:

Barry Kearns

Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group

Air Power America 2050 Stanley Ave.

2560 Ninth Street

Portage, MI 49002

Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

12. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

l

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

13. <u>DISMISSAL OF CO-DEFENDANTS WITHOUT PREJUDICE</u>

Within five (5) days after notice of entry of the Consent Judgment, Leeman shall dismiss without prejudice Lowe's Companies, Inc. from this action.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
WHITNEY J. LEEMAN, PH.D.	KRISTUS, INC. DBA AIR POWER AMERICA
Dated: 7/21/2016	By:(Print Name) Its:(Title)
	Dated:

12. AUTHORIZATION

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

13. <u>DISMISSAL OF CO-DEFENDANTS WITHOUT PREJUDICE</u>

Within five (5) days after notice of entry of the Consent Judgment, Leeman shall dismiss without prejudice Lowe's Companies, Inc. from this action.

8		
9	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10		Dall AMPRONER
11	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.	KRÍSTUS, INC. DBA AIR POWER AMERICA
12	Dated:	BY: BARRY KEDRAS
13		Its: Print Name)
14		(Title)
15		Dated: SIIII
16		

(