1 2 3 4 5	Clifford Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.	
7 8	SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN MATEO	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11	UNLIMITED	IVIL JUNISDICTION
12		
13	WHITNEY R. LEEMAN, PH.D.,	Case No. CIV537498
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
15	v.	(Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
16	NAPOLEON PERDIS COSMETICS, INC., et al.,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Whitney R. Leeman, Ph.D. ("Leeman") and Napoleon Perdis Cosmetics, Inc. ("Napoleon") and Dillard's, Inc. ("Dillard's"), with Leeman and Napoleon and Dillard's each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Leeman is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendants

Leeman alleges, that Napoleon and Dillard's employ ten or more individuals and are each a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65"). Napoleon disputes this allegation.

1.4 General Allegations

Leeman alleges that Napoleon and Dillard's manufacture, import, sell, or distribute for sale in California, vinyl/PVC cosmetic bags that contain di(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 Product Description

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are vinyl/PVC cosmetic bags allegedly containing DEHP that are manufactured, imported, sold, or distributed for sale in California by Napoleon and Dillard's. This product includes, but is not limited to the vinyl/PVC bag offered in connection with, the *Napoleon Limited-Edition Love Birds Collection White Swan, UPC #9 322111 175547* and the *Napoleon Limited-Edition Love Birds Collection Flamingo*, UPC #9 322111 175530, hereinafter the "Products."

1.6 Notice of Violation

On September 15, 2015, Leeman served Napoleon and Dillard's and the requisite public enforcement agencies with a 60-Day Notice of Violation ("Notice") alleging that Napoleon and Dillard's violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn its customers and consumers in California of the health hazards associated with exposures to DEHP from the Products. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting an action to enforce the violations alleged in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On February 23, 2016, Leeman filed the instant action ("Complaint"), naming Napoleon and Dillard's as defendants for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Napoleon and Dillard's deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and they maintain that all of the products that they have sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Napoleon's or Dillard's obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Napoleon and Dillard's as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of San Mateo, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Motion for Approval of the Consent Judgment is granted by the Court.

2. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION</u>

2.2 Reformulated Products

Commencing on the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Napoleon and Dillard's shall only purchase for sale, or manufacture for sale in California, "Reformulated Products."

Reformulated Products are Products that contain DEHP in concentrations less than 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C or other methodology utilized by federal or state government agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Payment into California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7 (b) (1).

Pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay \$ 2,900 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Plaintiff. Leeman's counsel shall be responsible for delivering any penalty payment under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA.

Defendants will provide payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a)

"OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,175; and (b) "Whitney R. Leeman, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$725.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorney's Fees and Costs

The parties acknowledge that Leeman and her counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the

other settlement terms had been finalized, the Parties then negotiated the compensation due to Leeman and her counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. Defendants shall issue a check to "The Chanler Group" in the amount of \$30,000, pursuant to the payment procedures in Section 3.3 below, and to the address found in Section 3.4 below.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

All payments due under this agreement shall be delivered within ten (10) days of the date that this Consent Judgment is fully executed by the Parties, and held in trust by Defendants' counsel until the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment. Within two business days of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, Defendants' counsel shall tender the civil penalty payments and attorneys' fee and costs reimbursements required by Sections 3.1.1 and 3.2.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

4.1 Leeman's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Leeman, acting on her own behalf and in the public interest, releases Napoleon and Dillard's and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom it directly or indirectly distributes or sells the Products including, but not limited to, its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers, franchisers, resellers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees, including, but not limited to, Dillard's ("Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from Products sold by Defendants prior to the Effective Date, as set forth in the Notice. Products at issue in this consent judgment that have been manufactured

and distributed for retail sale prior to the Effective Date shall be subject to the release of liability pursuant to Section 4 of this Consent Judgment, without regard to when such Products were, or are in the future, sold to consumers, whether by internet sales or any other means.

4.2 Leeman's Individual Release of Claims

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, also provides a release to Defendants,, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees, including but not limited to Dillard's, which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products sold or distributed for sale by Napoleon or Dillard's or Downstream Releasees before the Effective Date.

4.3 Defendants' Release of Leeman

Napoleon, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

Dillard's, on its own behalf, and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waives any and all claims against Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against it in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

4.4 Representations

Defendants represent that the sales data they provided to Leeman was truthful to its knowledge and a material factor upon which Leeman has relied to determine the amount of civil penalties assessed pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7 in this Consent Judgment. If, within twelve months of the Effective Date, Leeman discovers and presents to Defendants, evidence demonstrating that the preceding representation and warranty was materially inaccurate, then

- Defendants, and each of them, shall have 30 days to meet and confer regarding Leeman's contention.
- 2 | Should this 30-day period pass without any such resolution between Leeman and Defendants,
- 3 Leeman shall be entitled to file a formal legal claim including, but not limited to, a claim for damages
- 4 for breach of contract.

5. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by the Parties.

6. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

7. **GOVERNING LAW**

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Napoleon and/or Dillard's may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

For Napoleon: For Leeman:

Napoleon Perdis, President
Napoleon Perdis Cosmetics, Inc.

6621 Hollywood Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90028

Proposition 65 Coordinator
The Chanler Group
2560 Ninth Street
Parker Plaza, Suite 214
Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

For Dillard's:

- Steven Duke
- Assistant General Counsel
- Dillard's, Inc.
 - 1600 Cantrell Rd.
- 4 Little Rock, AR 72201
 - with a copy to:
- Debra J. Albin-Riley, Esq.
- 7 Lynn Rene Fiorentino, Esq.
 - Arent Fox LLP
 - 555 W 5th St, Ventura Blvd. 48th Floor
 - Los Angeles, CA 90013-1065

Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other, a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

10. POST-EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

Leeman agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the settlement. In furtherance of obtaining such approval, Leeman and Napoleon and Dillard's agree to mutually employ their best efforts, and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain judicial approval of their settlement in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section, "best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of the necessary moving papers, supporting the motion, and appearing at the hearing before the Court.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment thereon by the Court.

12. **AUTHORIZATION** The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and acknowledge that they have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein. **AGREED TO: AGREED TO:** 7/29/16 Date: Whitney leeman, PH.D. By:_ **AGREED TO:** JOHN ROSIELLO GENORAL MANAGIER , (Title) DILLARD'S, INC.

mund 12. AUTHORIZATION 2 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and acknowledge that they have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions 3 contained herein. 4 5 AGREED TO: AGREED TO: 6 7/29/16 Date: Date: 7 Whitney Leeman 8 By: 9 WHITNEY R. LEEMAN. PH.D. NAPOLEON PERDIS COSMETICS, INC. 10 AGREED TO: 11 12 13 14 VP. General Course Land, (Title) DILLARD'S, INC. Secretary 15 16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28