1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Jonathan A. Bornstein, State Bar No. 196345 Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ALAMEDA UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION		
11	DR. WHITNEY R. LEEMAN,	Case No.	RG14725565
12			SED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
13	Plaintiff,	AS TO I	EFENDANT TRADEMARK
14	VS.	GAMES, INC.	
15	TRADEMARK GAMES, INC.; and DOES 1-150, inclusive,	Date:	
16	Defendants.	Time: Dept. Judge:	19 Hon. Gail Brewster Bereola
17		0.0020	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	[Proposed] Consent Judgment As To Defendant Tradem	ark Games, Inc.	Case No. RG14725525

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I. **INTRODUCTION**

Dr. Whitney R. Leeman and Trademark Games, Inc. 1.1

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Dr. Whitney R. Leeman ("Leeman" or "Plaintiff") and defendant Trademark Games, Inc. ("TGI" or "Defendant"), with Leeman and TGI collectively referred to as the "Parties."

1.2 Dr. Whitney R. Leeman.

Leeman is an individual residing in the State of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposure to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and commercial products.

Trademark Games, Inc. 1.3

Leeman alleges that TGI employs ten or more persons and is a person in the course of doing business for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations.

Leeman alleges that TGI has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold stools with vinyl/PVC upholstery containing DEHP for use in the State of California without the requisite Proposition 65 warnings. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and reproductive harm.

1.5 Notice of Violation.

On November 15, 2013, Leeman served TGI and various public enforcement agencies with a document entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" alleging that TGI violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn California consumers that its stools with vinyl/PVC upholstery including, but not limited to, the Bud Light Lime Bar Stool, AB1000-BLLIME, exposed users in California to DEHP (the "Notice").

Complaint. 1.6

On May 15, 2014, Leeman filed a complaint in the Superior Court in and for the County of Alameda against TGI and Does 1 through 150, Leeman v. Trademark Games, Inc., et al., Case No. RG14725565 (the "Action"), alleging violations of California Health & 1.7

5

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 1.8

Consent to Jurisdiction.

responsibilities and duties of TGI under this Consent Judgment.

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, TGI stipulates that this Court has jurisdiction over TGI as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in

Safety Code § 25249.6, based on the alleged exposures to DEHP contained in certain

claims that were raised in the Notice and Complaint, or that could have been raised in the

Complaint, arising out of the facts and/or conduct alleged therein. TGI denies the material,

factual and legal allegations contained in the Notice and the Complaint, and maintains that it

is not a person subject to Proposition 65, nor subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of

including the Covered Products, have been, and are, in compliance with all laws, and are

California, and that all of the products it has manufactured, imported, distributed and/or sold,

completely safe for their intended use. By execution of this Consent Judgment and agreeing

to comply with its terms, TGI does not admit any facts or conclusions of law including, but

not limited to, any facts or conclusions of law suggesting or demonstrating that it has sold any

products in the State of California, or that it has committed any violations of Proposition 65,

or any other statutory, common law or equitable requirements relating to DEHP in Covered

Products, such being specifically denied by TGI. Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor

compliance with its terms, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by TGI of any

fact, conclusion of law, issue of law or violation of law, nor that it is subject to personal

jurisdiction in the State of California. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice,

waive or impair any right, remedy, argument or defense TGI may have in this or any other

in California. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is

Action. However, this Section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations,

accepted by TGI for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in the

future legal proceedings, including TGI's position that it is not subject to personal jurisdiction

The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all

upholstered stools sold by TGI in the State of California.

No Admission.

Page 2

the County of Alameda and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

- 2.1 "Covered Product[s]" means stools manufactured by TGI with vinyl/PVC upholstery, including but not limited to the *Bud Light Lime Bar Stool, AB1000-BLLIME*, which is distributed and/or sold in the State of California.
 - **2.2** "Effective Date" means November 30, 2014.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: PRODUCT REFORMULATION

3.1 Reformulation Commitment and Standards.

As of the Effective Date, all vinyl/PVC that TGI purchases for use on Covered Products manufactured for sale in California shall contain less than or equal to 1,000 parts per million ("ppm") of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to EPA testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or equivalent methodologies utilized by federal or state agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance ("Reformulated Covered Products"). By entering into this Consent Judgment, the Parties do not intend to expand or restrict any obligations or responsibilities that may be imposed upon TGI by laws other than Proposition 65, nor do the Parties intend this Consent Judgment to affect any defenses available to TGI under such other laws. If TGI does not meet the requirement by the Effective Date to purchase only vinyl/PVC for use in the manufacture of Reformulated Covered Products for sale in California, it must comply with Proposition 65 by labeling such non-Reformulated Covered Products manufactured for sale in California as specified in Sections 3.2 to 3.4 after the Effective Date, and must pay the Final Civil Penalty as specified in Section 4.2.

3.2 Sales of Existing Products with Warnings

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall preclude TGI from fulfilling customer orders, shipping, and/or selling in California its existing inventory of Covered Products.

Commencing on the Effective Date, TGI agrees that any Covered Products that are manufactured for sale in California with vinyl/PVC that TGI purchased prior to the Effective Date and which are not Reformulated Covered Products as defined in Section 3.1 will include

a warning affixed to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each Covered Product that states:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

3.3 Retail Store Product Labeling

After the Effective Date, TGI shall affix a warning to the packaging, labeling, or directly on each non-reformulated Covered Product provided for retail sale in California that states:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

3.4 Point of Sale Warnings

Alternatively, TGI may provide warning signs in the form below to its customers in California with instruction to post warnings in close proximity to the point of display of the Covered Products. Such instruction sent to TGI retail customers shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested.

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

Where more than one Covered Product is sold in close proximity (for purposes of this Consent Judgment, "in close proximity" shall mean that the Covered Product and other another similar product are sold close enough such that a consumer could not reasonably determine which product is subject to the warning sign) to like items or to those that do not require a warning (Reformulated Covered Products as defined in Section 3.1), the following statement shall be used:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

4. MONETARY PAYMENTS

In complete settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, TGI shall pay a total of \$15,500 in civil penalties in accordance with this Section. Each penalty payment will be allocated in accordance with California Health & Safety Code § 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the funds remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty

remitted to Leeman, as follows:

25249.7(b).

4.1

TGI shall pay an initial civil penalty in the amount of \$3,000 on or before October 31, 2014. Defendant shall issue a check payable to "Rogers Joseph O'Donnell" in the amount of \$3000 to be held in trust by Rogers Joseph O'Donnell. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell shall provide The Chanler Group with written confirmation within five (5) days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account. Within five (5) business days of the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court, Rogers Joseph O'Donnell shall issue two separate checks to: (a) OEHHA, in the amount of \$2250; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Dr. Whitney R. Leeman." in the amount of \$750. All penalty payments shall be delivered to the addresses listed in Section 4.4.1 below.

Initial Civil Penalty Payment Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §

4.2 Final Civil Penalty Pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b).

TGI shall pay a final civil penalty in the amount \$12,500 on or before January 30, 2015, except that the final civil penalty shall be waived in its entirety, if, on or before January 15, 2015, an Officer of TGI certifies in writing that, as of the Effective Date, TGI only purchased vinyl/PVC for the manufacture of Reformulated Covered Products for sale in California, and that it will thereafter manufacture for sale in California only Reformulated Covered Products, or that it has discontinued manufacturing for sale the Covered Products in California. Such certification must be received by The Chanler Group on or before January 15, 2015. The certification in lieu of paying the final civil penalty provided by this Section is a material term, and time is of the essence. Unless waived, TGI shall issue two separate checks for its final civil penalty payment to: (a) OEHHA, in the amount of \$9375; and (b) "The Chanler Group in Trust for Dr. Whitney R. Leeman." in the amount of \$3125.

4.3 Reimbursement of Plaintiff's Fees and Costs.

The Parties acknowledge that Leeman and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been

settled. TGI then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Leeman and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, for all work performed (and to be performed) in this matter, except fees that may be incurred in connection with an Office of the Attorney General, appeal (if any). Under these legal principles, TGI shall pay the total amount of \$34,000 to reimburse Plaintiff's fees and costs incurred investigating, litigating and enforcing this matter, inclusive of all fees and costs incurred (and yet to be incurred) negotiating, drafting, and obtaining the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment in the public interest. On or before October 31, 2014, TGI shall issue a check payable to "Rogers Joseph O'Donnell" in the amount of \$34,000 to be held in trust by Rogers Joseph O'Donnell for The Chanler Group. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell shall provide The Chanler Group with written confirmation within five (5) days of receipt that the funds have been deposited in a trust account. Within five (5) business days of the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court, Rogers Joseph O'Donnell shall issue a check payable to "The Chanler Group" which shall be delivered to the address in Section 4.4.1(a) below.

4.4 Payment Procedures.

4.4.1 Funds Held For Leeman In Trust

(a) All payments owed to Leeman, pursuant to Sections 4.1 through4.2, shall be delivered to the following payment address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

(b) All payments owed to OEHHA (EIN: 68-0284486), pursuant to Sections 4.1 and 4.2, shall be delivered directly to OEHHA (Memo line "Prop 65 Penalties") at the following addresses:

For United States Postal Service Delivery:

2728

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
P.O. Box 4010
Sacramento, CA 95812-4010

For Non-United States Postal Service Delivery:

Mike Gyurics
Fiscal Operations Branch Chief
Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
1001 I Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

With a copy of the checks payable to OEHHA mailed to The Chanler Group at the address set forth above in 4.4.1(a), as proof of payment to OEHHA.

If for any reason this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court within nine (9) months of November 30, 2014, Plaintiff shall meet and confer with TGI about mutually agreeable steps the parties can take to ensure entry of the Consent Judgment. If such steps cannot be agreed between the Parties, Plaintiff shall promptly return to TGI any and all monies paid by TGI herein under Sections 4.1, 4.2 (if not waived) and 4.3 upon TGI's written request.

5. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

TGI, its parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities that are under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, attorneys, shareholders ("Defendant Releasees"), and any of its downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers, retailers (including but not limited to The Home Depot), franchisees, cooperative members, licensors, licensees, and any other person or entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell Covered Products ("Downstream Defendant Releasees"), from any alleged or actual violation of Proposition 65 that has been asserted by Leeman in the public interest in her Notice and Complaint regarding the alleged failure to warn about exposure to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, sold and/or distributed by TGI prior to the Effective Date. TGI's compliance with this Consent Judgment

constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to DEHP in Covered Products.

- 5.2 Leeman on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waive all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims, including, without limitation, all actions, and causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses, or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees, and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against TGI, Defendant Releasees, and Downstream Defendant Releasees arising from any violation or alleged violation of Proposition 65 regarding the failure to warn about exposure to DEHP in Covered Products manufactured, sold or distributed prior to the Effective Date.
- capacity, on behalf of herself, her past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Leeman of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged exposure to any chemical listed under Proposition 65 from use of the Covered Products manufactured prior to the Effective Date. Leeman acknowledges that she is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Leeman, in her individual capacity only and *not* in her representative capacity, expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which she may have under, or which may be conferred on her by the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar

effect, to the fullest extent that she may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

The Parties further understand and agree that this release shall not extend upstream to any entities, other than TGI, that manufactured the Covered Products or any component parts thereof, or any distributors or suppliers who sold the Covered Products or any component parts thereof to TGI.

- 5.4 TGI waives any and all Claims against Leeman, her attorneys, and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made (or those that could have been taken or made) by Leeman and her attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims or otherwise seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 against them in this matter, and/or with respect to the Covered Products.
- 5.5 TGI also provides a general release herein which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of TGI of any nature, character or kind, known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of the subject matter of the Action. TGI acknowledges that it is familiar with Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

TGI expressly waives and relinquishes any and all rights and benefits which it may have under, or which may be conferred on it by, the provisions of Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent that it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters. In furtherance of such intention, the release

hereby given shall be and remain in effect as a full and complete release notwithstanding the discovery or existence of any such additional or different claims or facts arising out of the released matters.

6. COURT APPROVAL

- 6.1 By this Consent Judgment and upon its approval, the Parties waive their right to a trial on the merits, and waive their rights to initiate appellate review of this Consent Judgment, and of any and all interim rulings, including all pleading, procedural, and discovery orders.
- 6.2 The parties acknowledge that, pursuant to California Health & Safety Code § 25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment, which Leeman shall file and which TGI shall not oppose.
- Judgment and any and all prior agreements between the Parties merged herein shall terminate and become null and void, and the action shall revert to the status that existed prior to the execution date of this Consent Judgment, with Trademark entitled to re-notice for hearing its currently noticed motion to quash for lack of personal jurisdiction; (b) no term of this Consent Judgment or any draft thereof, or of the negotiation, documentation, or other part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, including the parties' participation in the negotiation and preparation of this Consent Judgment, shall have any effect, nor shall any such matter be admissible in evidence for any purpose in this action, or in any other proceeding, including in connection with TGI's motion to quash for lack of personal jurisdiction in the underlying lawsuit; and (c) the Parties agree to meet and confer to determine whether to modify the terms of the Consent Judgment and to resubmit it for approval.

7. GOVERNING LAW

7.1 The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California, and shall apply only to Covered Products offered for sale in the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable

by reason of law generally, or as to the Covered Products, then TGI may provide written notice to Leeman of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Covered Products are so affected.

7.2 The Parties, including their counsel, have participated in the preparation of this Consent Judgment and this Consent Judgment is the result of the joint efforts of the Parties. This Consent Judgment was subject to revision and modification by the Parties and has been accepted and approved as to its final form by all Parties and their counsel. Accordingly, any uncertainty or ambiguity existing in this Consent Judgment shall not be interpreted against any Party as a result of the manner of the preparation of this Consent Judgment. Each Party to this Consent Judgment agrees that any statute or rule of construction providing that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting Party should not be employed in the interpretation of this Consent Judgment and, in this regard, the Parties hereby waive California Civil Code § 1654.

8. NOTICES

8.1 Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (i) first-class, (registered or certified mail) return receipt requested; or (ii) overnight courier on any Party by the other Party at the following addresses:

To TGI:

Trademark Global, LLC Attn: Dan Sustar, President 7951 West Erie Avenue Lorain, Ohio 44053

With Copy to:

J. Robert Maxwell, Esq. Rogers Joseph O'Donnell 311 California Street, 10th Floor San Francisco, California 94104

To Leeman:

Proposition 65 Coordinator The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565

8.2 Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other Party a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

9. MODIFICATION

- 9.1 Modification. This Consent Judgment may be modified by written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court, or by motion of any Party and entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the court.
- 9.2 Subsequent Legislation. If, subsequent to the Effective Date, legislation or regulation is adopted that addresses the DEHP content of Covered Products sold in California hereunder, any Party shall be entitled to request that the Court modify the reformulation standard in Section 3.1 of this Consent Judgment for good cause shown.
- 9.3 Notice; Meet and Confer. Any Party seeking to modify this Consent Judgment or to allege a violation thereof shall first attempt in good faith to meet and confer with the other Party prior to filing a motion to modify the Consent Judgment.

10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein. No supplementation, modification, waiver, or termination of this Consent Judgment shall be binding unless executed in writing by the Party to be bound thereby. No waiver of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment shall be deemed or shall constitute a waiver of any of the other provisions hereof whether or not similar, nor shall such waiver constitute a continuing waiver unless set forth in writing between the Parties.

///

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

Consent Judgment and shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Consent Judgment, or any provision hereof, under C.C.P. §664.6. Should either Party allege a violation of this Consent Judgment, the alleging Party agrees to provide written notice thereof, and to meet and confer and provide all relevant evidence of any alleged violation to the other Party. If the Parties cannot agree on an appropriate resolution of the alleged violation within 30 days of the written notice thereof and provision of all relevant evidence, either Party shall be free to move the Court to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

12.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

13. AUTHORIZATION

13.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: 10/14/14	Date:
By: // // fluston elemen	By:
By: Clust Plaintiff Dr. Whitney R. Leeman	Defendant Trademark Games, Inc.

11. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

11.1 This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement or modify the Consent Judgment and shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Consent Judgment, or any provision hereof, under C.C.P. §664.6. Should either Party allege a violation of this Consent Judgment, the alleging Party agrees to provide written notice thereof, and to meet and confer and provide all relevant evidence of any alleged violation to the other Party. If the Parties cannot agree on an appropriate resolution of the alleged violation within 30 days of the written notice thereof and provision of all relevant evidence, either Party shall be free to move the Court to enforce the terms of this Consent Judgment.

12. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

12.1 This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable document format (pdf), each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

13. AUTHORIZATION

13.1 The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date:	Date: 10-14-14
Ву:	By: 88416, CEO_
Plaintiff Dr. Whitney R. Leeman	Defendant Trademark Games, Inc.