1 2 3 4 5 6	Josh Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Christopher Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545 THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 Attorneys for Plaintiff MARK MOORBERG	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
10	UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION	
11		
12	MARK MOORBERG,	Case No. 115CV288688
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT
14	V.	(Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et seq.)
15 16	REXNORD CORPORATION; RBS GLOBAL, INC.; ZURN INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES 1 – 150, inclusive,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1.1 Parties

This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Mark Moorberg ("Moorberg") and Rexnord Corporation, RBS Global, Inc., and Zurn Industries, LLC, formerly known as Zurn Industries, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants"), with Moorberg and Defendants each individually referred to as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."

1.2 Plaintiff

Moorberg is an individual residing in California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and to improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer products.

1.3 Defendants

For the purposes of this Consent Judgment only, Defendants stipulate that each employs ten or more individuals and each is a "person in the course of doing business" for purposes of the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 *et seq.* ("Proposition 65").

1.4 General Allegations

Moorberg alleges that Defendants manufacture, import, sell, offer for sale, distribute for sale or purchase for resale in California tools with vinyl/PVC grips that contain di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") without first providing the exposure warning required by Proposition 65. DEHP is listed pursuant to Proposition 65 as a chemical known to the State of California to cause (i) cancer and (ii) birth defects or other reproductive harm.

1.5 **Product Description**

The products covered by this Consent Judgment are tools with vinyl/PVC grips containing DEHP that are manufactured, imported, sold, offered for sale, distributed for sale or purchased for resale in California by Defendants including, but not limited to, *Zurn Multi-Head Crimp Tool Kit* (hereinafter the "Products").

1.6 Notice of Violation

On or about July 31, 2015, Moorberg served Defendants and certain requisite public enforcement agencies with a "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") alleging that Defendants violated Proposition 65 by failing to warn their customers and consumers in California that the Products expose users to DEHP. To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting the allegations set forth in the Notice.

1.7 Complaint

On November 23, 2015, Moorberg filed the instant action ("Complaint") naming Rexnord Corporation, RBS Global, Inc., and Zurn Industries, INC. as defendants for the alleged violations of Health and Safety Code section 25249.6 that are the subject of the Notice.

1.8 No Admission

Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations contained in the Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all of the products they have sold and distributed for sale in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with Proposition 65. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission of any fact, finding, conclusion of law, issue of law, or violation of law. This Section shall not, however, diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities, and duties under this Consent Judgment.

1.9 Jurisdiction

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as to the allegations contained in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Santa Clara, and that the Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and California Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6.

1.10 Effective Date

For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "Effective Date" means the date on which the Motion for Approval of the Consent Judgment is granted by the Court.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: REFORMULATION AND WARNINGS

2.1 Commitment to Reformulate or Warn

Commencing sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, and continuing thereafter,
Defendants agree to only manufacture, import, sell, offer for sale, distribute for sale or purchase
for resale in California: (a) "Reformulated Products" or (b) Products that bear a clear and
reasonable health hazard warning, pursuant to Sections 2.2 and 2.3 below. For purposes of this
Consent Judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Products with a maximum
concentration of 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) of DEHP when analyzed pursuant to U.S.
Environmental Protection Agency testing methodologies 3580A and 8270C, or other
methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content
in a solid substance.

2.2 Reformulation or Warning Commitment

All Products manufactured, imported, sold, offer for sale, distributed for sale or purchased for resale in the State of California by Defendants on or after the date that is sixty (60) days after the Effective Date shall either qualify as Reformulated Products or otherwise shall be accompanied by a Proposition 65 warning as set forth in Section 2.3 below.

2.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Defendants agree that on or after the date that is sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, all Products they sell and/or distribute in California that do not qualify as Reformulated Products will bear a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to this Section. Defendants further agree that the warning will be prominently placed with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, a clear and reasonable warning for the Products shall consist of a warning affixed to the packaging, or, if no packaging exists, directly on each non-reformulated Product sold in California, and shall contain one of the statements below:

27 ///

28 ///

WARNING: This product contains chemicals,

including DEHP, known to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects

and other reproductive harm.1

or

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known

to the State of California to cause cancer, birth defects and other reproductive

harm.

3. MONETARY SETTLEMENT TERMS

3.1 Civil Penalty Payments

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(b), in settlement of all the claims referred to in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall pay a total of \$6,000 in civil penalties. The civil penalty payment shall be allocated according to Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) and (d), with seventy-five percent (75%) of the funds paid to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"), and twenty-five percent (25%) of the funds remitted to Moorberg.

3.1.1 Civil Penalty

Defendants shall make a civil penalty payment of \$6,000. Defendants shall provide their payment in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$4,500; and (b) "Mark Moorberg, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$1,500, as set forth in Sections 3.3 and 3.4.

3.2 Reimbursement of Attorneys' Fees and Costs

The Parties acknowledge that Moorberg and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving the issue to be resolved after the material terms of this Consent Judgment had been settled. Shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized, Defendants expressed a desire to resolve Moorberg's fees and costs. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the

¹ Rexnord shall only use the plural "chemicals" in a warning where it has knowledge of more than one listed chemical in the Product.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

compensation due to Moorberg and his counsel under general contract principles and the private attorney general doctrine codified at California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5 for all work performed through the mutual execution of this Consent Judgment. On or before the Effective Date, Defendants shall pay \$29,000, to "The Chanler Group" to be held in trust, as set forth in Sections 3.3 and 3.4, for the fees and costs incurred by Moorberg investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating, and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

3.3 Payments Held in Trust

Within five (5) days of the date that this agreement is fully executed by the Parties, all payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to Moorberg's counsel at the address provided in Section 3.4. Moorberg's counsel shall hold such payments in their trust account until such time as any of the events described in Section 5 occur. Plaintiff requested that the payments be held by the Defendants' counsel but the Defendants' counsel wanted the funds held by Plaintiff's counsel.

3.4 Payment Address

All payments required by this Consent Judgment shall be delivered to the following address:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

4. CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED

21 **4.1** Moorberg's Public Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Moorberg, acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, fully and finally releases Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Products, including, but not limited to, their downstream distributors, wholesalers, sales representatives, customers, retailers, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any actual or alleged violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP (including use of any intended or

1 p
2 n
3 C
4 a
5 N
6 tl
7 e

purported Proposition 65 warning other than those listed in Section 2.3) from Products manufactured, imported, sold, offered for sale, distributed for sale or purchased for resale in California by the Defendants (as set forth in the Notice) prior to the date that is sixty (60) days after the Effective Date, or based on any other alleged violation by Defendants known to Moorberg on or before the date that is sixty (60) days after the Effective Date. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to failures to warn about DEHP from the Products sold by Defendants (as set forth in the Notice) prior to the date that is sixty (60) days after the Effective Date.

4.2 Moorberg's Individual Release of Claims

Moorberg, in his individual capacity only and *not* in his representative capacity, also provides a release to Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Moorberg of any nature, character or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in the Products manufactured, imported, sold, offered for sale, distributed for sale or purchased for resale by Defendants, or based on any other alleged violation by Defendants known to Moorberg, in each case on or before the date that is ninety (90) days after the Effective Date.

4.3 Defendants' Release of Moorberg

Defendants, on their own behalf, and on behalf of their past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Moorberg and his attorneys and other representatives for any and all actions taken or statements made by Moorberg and his attorneys and other representatives, whether in the course of investigating claims, otherwise seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter, or with respect to the Products.

5. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

5.1 Court Approval

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court.

5.2 Actions to be Taken Upon Court Approval

Moorberg's counsel shall, within five (5) days of the Court's approval of this Consent Judgment, cause the payments described in Section 3 to be made.

5.3 Failure by the Court to Approve Consent Judgment

If this Consent Judgment is not approved and entered by the Court within one hundred eighty (180) days after it has been fully executed by the Parties, it shall be of no force or effect and shall never be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding. Upon written request by the Defendants, all civil penalty payments and attorneys' fee and cost reimbursements shall be returned to Defendants within five (5) days of Defendants counsel's receipt of such request.

6. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected, so long as the Parties' original intent remains intact.

7. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the state of California and apply within the state of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, Defendants may provide written notice to Moorberg of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be interpreted to relieve Defendants from any obligation to comply with any pertinent state or federal toxics control laws.

8. NOTICE

Unless specified herein, all correspondence and notice required by this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and sent by: (i) personal delivery; (ii) first-class, registered, or certified mail, return receipt requested; or (iii) a recognized overnight courier to the following addresses:

1	Defendants	
2	Todd Adams, President O'Melveny & Myers LLP	
3	Rexnord Corporation 400 South Hope Street 247 Freshwater Way, Suite 200 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2899 Milwaukee, WI 53204 Attn: Bob Nicksin	
5	Todd Adams, President General Counsel RBS Global, Inc. Rexnord Corporation	
6	4701 West Greenfield Ave. 247 Freshwater Way, Suite 200 Milwaukee, WI 53214 Milwaukee, WI 53204	
7	Craig Wehr, President Zurn Industries, LLC	
8	1801 Pittsburgh Avenue Erie, PA 16502	
10	Moorberg	
11	Proposition 65 Coordinator	
12	The Chanler Group 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214	
13	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565	
14	Any Party may, from time to time, specify in writing to the other a change of address to which all	
15	notices and other communications shall be sent.	
16	9. <u>COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES</u>	
17	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile or portable	
18 19	document format (PDF) signature, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which,	
20	when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.	
21	10. POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES	
22	Moorberg agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health and	
23	Safety Code section 25249.7(f). The Parties further acknowledge that, pursuant to Health and	
24	Safety Code section 25249.7(f), a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of the	
25	settlement. Moorberg shall prepare and file a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment. In	
	furtherance of obtaining such approval, the Parties agree to mutually employ their best efforts,	
26	and those of their counsel, to support the entry of this agreement as judgment, and to obtain	
2728	judicial approval of this Consent Judgment in a timely manner. For purposes of this Section,	

"best efforts" shall include, at a minimum, cooperating on the drafting and filing of the necessary moving papers. Defendants' obligation to support a Motion for Approval of this Consent Judgment shall not necessarily apply to any proposed revisions to this Consent Judgment requested by the Court or the California Attorney General.

11. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (i) a written agreement of the Parties and entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court; or (ii) a successful motion or application of any Party, and the entry of a modified consent judgment by the Court.

12. ENFORCEMENT

Any Party may, after meeting and conferring, for a period no longer than sixty (60) days, by motion or application for an order to show cause before this Court, enforce the terms and conditions contained in this Consent Judgment. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to implement or modify the Consent Judgment.

13. <u>AUTHORIZATION</u>

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions contained herein.

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
Date: February 2, 2016	Date: 2/3/16
Ву:	By: Pan Whily
MARKINGORBERG	Patricia Whaley
	Vice President and General Counsel REXNORD CORPORATION
0	RBS GLOBAL, INC.
	ZURN INDUSTRIES, LLC
	(formerly known as
	ZURN INDUSTRIES, INC.)