	Christopher F. Tuttle, State Bar No. 264545	5		
2	THE CHANLER GROUP 2560 Ninth Street, Parker Plaza, Suite 214			
3	Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 Tel.: (510) 848-8880 Fax: (510) 848-8118			
1	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
5	JOHN MOORE			
5	Evan J. Smith, State Bar No. 242352 Ryan P. Cardona, State Bar No. 302113			
7	BRODSKY & SMITH, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste 900			
3	Beverly Hills, CA 90212 Tel.: (877) 534-2590 Fax: (310) 247-0160			
)	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
)	GABRIEL ESPINOSA			
	Lee N. Smith, State Bar No. 138071 PERKINS MANN & EVERETT			
2	7815 N. Palm Ave., Suite 200 Fresno, CA 93711-5531			
3	Tel.: (559) 447-5700 Fax: (559) 447-5600			
1 5	Attorneys for Defendants NCH CORPORATION and DANCO, INC.			
5	SUPERIOR COURT (	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
7	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
3	IOIDIMOORE	F F ( ' C N DC15700151 1		
)	JOHN MOORE,	For Entry in Case Nos. RG15780151 and RG15789612		
)	Plaintiff, v.	[PROPOSED] CONSENT JUDGMENT		
2	NCH CORPORATION, et al.,	(HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §		
3	Defendants.	25249.6 ET SEQ. AND CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE § 664.6)		
í 1	GABRIEL ESPINOSA,			
5	Plaintiff,			
5	v.			
,	DANCO, INC., et al.,			
	Defendants.			

# 1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

- 1.1 This Consent Judgment is entered into by plaintiffs John Moore ("Moore") and Gabriel Espinoza ("Espinosa"), and defendants NCH Corporation, Danco, Inc (collectively, "Defendants") to settle claims asserted by Moore and Espinosa against Defendants as set forth in their respective complaints filed in *John Moore v. NCH Corporation, et al.* (Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG15780151), and *Gabriel Espinosa v. Danco Inc., et al.* (Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG15789612) (collectively, the "Actions"). Espinosa, Moore, and Defendants are each referred to individually as a "Party" and collectively as the "Parties."
- 1.2 On May 21, 2015, Moore served a "Notice of Violation" of the California Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65") (the "Moore Notice") to Defendants NCH Corporation, Inc. and Danco, Inc., the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in the State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Moore Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of di(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate ("DEHP") in vinyl/PVC hoses that are manufactured, sold, and/or distributed for sale in California by Defendants.
- 1.3 On June 3, 2015, Espinosa served a "Notice of Violation" of Proposition 65 (the DEHP "Espinosa Notice") to Defendants Danco, Inc., and Orchard Supply Hardware Stores Corporation, and Orchard Supply Company, LLC, the California Attorney General, the District Attorneys of every County in the State of California, and the City Attorneys for every City in State of California with a population greater than 750,000. The Espinosa Notice alleges violations of Proposition 65 with respect to the presence of DEHP in Danco's kitchen sink spray heads and hoses that are manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants. The Moore Notice and Espinosa Notice are referred to collectively as the "Notices." To the best of the Parties' knowledge, no public enforcer has commenced and is diligently prosecuting any of the alleged violations that are the subject of the Notices.

- **1.4** Each Defendant is a corporation that employs ten (10) or more persons and that manufactures, distributes, and/or sells Covered Products (as defined herein) in the State of California.
- 1.5 For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that: (i) this Court has jurisdiction over the claims alleged in the Notices and Complaints and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaints; (ii) venue is proper in Alameda County; and (iii) this Court has jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Judgment pursuant to Proposition 65 and Code of Civil Procedure section 664.6 as a full and final resolution of all claims which were or could have been raised in the Complaints, based on the facts alleged in the Notices and Complaints with respect to Covered Products manufactured, distributed, and/or sold by Defendants.
- 1.6 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment as a full and final settlement of all claims that were or could have been raised in the Complaints arising out of the facts or conduct related to Defendants alleged therein. By executing this Consent Judgment and agreeing to comply with its terms, the Parties do not admit any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with the Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, conclusion of law, or violation of law. Defendants deny the material, factual, and legal allegations in the Notices and Complaints and expressly deny any wrongdoing whatsoever. Except as specifically provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive, or impair any right, remedy, argument, or defense any Party may have in this or any other pending or future legal proceedings. This Consent Judgment is the product of negotiation and compromise and is accepted by the Parties solely for purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving issues disputed in these Actions.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

///

# 2. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

- 2.1 "Covered Products" means products with vinyl/PVC hoses, tubing and/or spray heads, and faucet connectors containing DEHP that are sold or distributed by Defendants including, but not limited to, the Danco Kitchen Sink Spray Hose & Head Assembly, #80762, UPC #037155003976, and the Danco Kitchen Sink Spray Hose & Head Assembly, #80762, UPC 0371555807628.
- 2.2 "Effective Date" means the date that the Court grants the motion for approval of this Consent Judgment contemplated by Section 6.
- 2.3 "Reformulated Products" are Covered Products that comply with the DEHP Limit established by this Consent Judgment.

# 3. <u>INJUNCTIVE RELIEF</u>

#### 3.1 Commitment to Reformulate or Warn

Commencing within 60 days of the Effective Date and continuing thereafter, Defendants agree to only manufacture, distribute or purchase for sale in California: (a) "Reformulated Products", or (b) products that bear a clear and reasonable health hazard warning, pursuant to section 3.3 below. For purposes of this consent judgment, "Reformulated Products" are defined as Covered Products with a maximum DEHP concentration of 0.1 percent (1,000 parts per million) when analyzed pursuant to U.S. environmental protection agency testing methodologies 3580a and 8270c, or other methodologies utilized by state or federal agencies for the purpose of determining DEHP content in a solid substance.

#### 3.2 Covered Products in the Stream of Commerce

Covered Products that have already been manufactured, distributed, shipped or sold, or that are otherwise in the stream of commerce prior to the Effective Date shall be released from any and all claims that were brought or that could have been brought by Plaintiffs in this action, as Covered Products within the meaning of this Consent Judgment.

# 3.3 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

Defendants agree that all Covered Products they sell and/or distribute for sale in

California after the Effective Date which are not released in Section 3.2 above, or do not qualify as Reformulated Products, will bear a clear and reasonable warning pursuant to this Section.

Defendants further agree that the warning will be prominently placed with such conspicuousness when compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions before purchase or use, and shall be included with or within the unit package of the Covered Products or affixed to the Covered Products. Such warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed on each Covered Product's label or package, or on the Covered Product itself. If printed on the label, the warning shall be contained in the same section that states other safety warnings, if any, concerning the use of the Covered Product; Defendants may continue to utilize, on an ongoing basis, unit packaging containing substantively the same Proposition 65 warnings as those set forth below, but only to the extent such packaging materials have already been printed within ninety days of the Effective Date. The warning shall contain the following statement:

WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects or other reproductive harm.

# 4. <u>PENALTIES AND PAYMENTS</u>

# 4.1 Payments to Espinosa

Defendants shall make payments to Espinosa in the total sum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), which shall be allocated as follows:

- **4.1.2** \$1,000 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by Espinosa in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.
- **4.1.3** \$9,000 shall constitute reimbursement of Espinosa's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- **4.1.4** The payments required under this Section shall be made in two separate checks. All of the payments shall be sent within two days following the Effective Date. The payments required pursuant to Section 4.1.1 shall be made payable to "Gabriel Espinosa, Client

Trust Account" and mailed to the address set forth in Section 9 below. Espinosa's counsel shall be responsible for remitting Defendant's penalty payment under this Consent Judgment to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA"). The payment required pursuant to Section 4.1.2 shall be made payable to "Brodsky & Smith, LLC" and mailed to address set forth in Section 4.4.2 below.

# 4.2 Payments to Moore

Defendants shall pay to Moore the total sum of thirty thousand five hundred dollars (\$30,500), which shall be allocated as follows:

- **4.2.1** \$3,000 shall constitute a penalty pursuant to Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b), such money to be apportioned by Moore in accordance with Cal. Health & Safety Code § 25249.12.
- **4.2.2** \$27,500 shall constitute reimbursement of Moore's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- 4.2.3 The payments required under this Section shall be made in three separate checks. All of the payments shall be sent within two days following the Effective Date. The payments required pursuant to Section 4.2.1 will be allocated in accordance with California Health and Safety Code section 25249.12(c)(1) & (d), with 75% of the penalty amount remitted to the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") and the remaining 25% of the penalty amount paid to Plaintiff. Defendants will provide payments required pursuant to Section 4.2.1 in two checks for the following amounts made payable to: (a) "OEHHA" in the amount of \$2,250; and (b) "John Moore, Client Trust Account" in the amount of \$750. Moore's counsel shall be responsible for remitting Defendants' penalty payment under this Consent Judgment to OEHHA. The payment required pursuant to Section 4.2.2 shall be made payable to "The Chanler Group." All settlement payments to Moore and his counsel under this Consent Judgment shall be mailed to address set forth in Section 4.4.1, below.

///

27 ///

# 4.3 Payment Timing; Payments Held In Trust

Defendants shall deliver all settlement payments due under this Consent Judgment to their counsel within one month of the date that this agreement is fully executed by the Parties. Defendants' counsel shall confirm receipt of settlement funds in writing to Plaintiffs' counsel and, thereafter, hold the amounts paid in trust until such time as the Court grants the motion for approval of the Parties' settlement contemplated by Section 6. Within two days of the Effective Date, Defendants' counsel shall deliver all settlement payments they have held in trust to Moore's and Espinosa's counsel at the addresses provided in Section 4.4, below.

# 4.4 Payment Addresses

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**4.4.1** All payments to Moore and his counsel shall be delivered to:

The Chanler Group Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214 Berkeley, CA 94710

**4.4.2** All payments to Espinosa and his counsel shall be delivered to:

Brodsky & Smith, LLC Attn: Proposition 65 Controller 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900 Beverly Hills, CA 90212

# 5. <u>CLAIMS COVERED AND RELEASED</u>

#### 5.1 Plaintiffs' Release of Proposition 65 Claims

Moore and Espinosa, each acting on his own behalf and in the public interest, hereby release Defendants and their parents, subsidiaries, affiliated entities under common ownership, directors, officers, employees, and attorneys ("Releasees") and each entity to whom they directly or indirectly distribute or sell the Covered Products including, but not limited to, their downstream distributors, wholesalers, customers retailers (including, without limitation, HD Supply, Inc., Orchard Supply Hardware Stores Corporation and Orchard Supply Company, LLC), and related entities, franchisers, cooperative members, licensors and licensees ("Downstream Releasees") for any violations arising under Proposition 65 for unwarned exposures to DEHP from the Covered Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Defendants prior to the

Effective Date, as set forth in the Notices. Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 by Defendants with respect to the alleged or actual failure to warn about exposures to DEHP from Products manufactured, sold, or distributed for sale by Defendants after the Effective Date.

#### 5.2 Plaintiffs' Individual Release of Claims

Moore and Espinosa, each in his individual capacity only and *not* in any representative capacity, also provide releases to Defendants, Releasees, and Downstream Releasees which shall be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction, as a bar to all actions, causes of action, obligations, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, losses, claims, liabilities and demands of Moore and Espinosa of any nature, character, or kind, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, arising out of alleged or actual exposures to DEHP in Products manufactured, imported, distributed or sold by Defendants before the Effective Date.

# 5.3 Defendants' Release of Moore and Espinosa

Defendants, each on its own behalf and on behalf of its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, hereby waive any and all claims against Moore and Espinosa and their attorneys and other representatives, for any and all actions taken or statements made by Moore and Espinosa and their attorneys and other representatives in the course of investigating claims, seeking to enforce Proposition 65 against them in this matter, or with respect to the Covered Products.

# 5.4 Mutual Waiver of California Civil Code Section 1542

The Parties each acknowledge that he/it is familiar with Section 1542 of the Civil Code, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

The Parties, each on his/its own behalf, and on behalf of his/its past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors, and/or assignees, expressly waive and relinquish any and all rights and benefits which they may have under, or which may be conferred upon them by the provisions of Civil Code section 1542 as well as under any other state or federal statute or common law principle of similar effect, to the fullest extent he/it may lawfully waive such rights or benefits pertaining to the released matters, as specifically defined by Sections 5.1 through 5.3, above.

# 6. <u>COURT APPROVAL</u>

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment shall take effect on the Effective Date. The Parties acknowledge that pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f), they must obtain court approval of their settlements upon a noticed motion. Espinosa and Moore shall cooperate on the preparation and filing of the papers necessary to obtain court approval of this Consent Judgment, and Defendants shall support the motion, and the approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment in each Plaintiff's respective action, by replying to any opposition or objection to the settlement which may be made, if any, and by appearing at the hearing on the motion if so requested.
- **6.2** If this Consent Judgment is not entered by the Court, it shall be of no force or effect and shall not be introduced into evidence or otherwise used in any proceeding for any purpose.

# 7. <u>SEVERABILITY</u>

If, subsequent to the Court's approval and entry of this Consent Judgment as a judgment, any provision of this Consent Judgment is held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the remaining provisions shall not be adversely affected.

# 8. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

Any party may, by motion, application for an order to show cause, or any other procedure available at law, enforce the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Prior to bringing a motion or application to enforce the requirements of Sections 3, above, Espinosa and/or Moore

shall provide Defendant(s) with a notice of violation and a copy of any test result, purchase receipt, or any other documentation which purportedly supports Plaintiff(s) claimed breach or violation. The Parties shall then meet and confer for a period of not less than thirty (30) days in an attempt to resolve the alleged breach or violation informally, including providing Defendants a reasonable opportunity of at least thirty (30) days to cure any alleged violation to the plaintiff or plaintiffs' reasonable satisfaction. Should such attempts at informal resolution fail, Espinosa and/or Moore may file his motion or application to enforce. The prevailing party on any motion to enforce this Consent Judgment shall be entitled to recover his/its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred as a result of such motion or application. This Consent Judgment may only be enforced by the Parties.

# 9. GOVERNING LAW AND CONSTRUCTION

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed, preempted, or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products, then Defendant(s) may provide Plaintiff(s) with written notice of any asserted change in the law, and shall have no further injunctive obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment, with respect to, and to the extent that, the Products are so affected.

# 10. ENTIRE AGREEMENT

- 10.1 This Consent Judgment contains the sole and entire agreement and understanding of the Parties with respect to the entire subject matter hereof, and any and all prior discussions, negotiations, commitments, or understandings related thereto, if any, are hereby merged herein.
- 10.2 There are no warranties, representations, or other agreements between any Party or Parties except as expressly set forth herein. No representations, oral or otherwise, express or implied, other than those specifically referred to in this Consent Judgment have been made by any Party.
- 10.3 No other agreement not specifically contained or referenced herein, oral or otherwise, shall be deemed to exist or to bind any of Party hereto. No agreement specifically

-11-

1	For NCH:	For Moore:			
2	Michael J. Near, Regulatory Affairs Counsel	Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator			
3	NCH Corporation	The Chanler Group			
4	2727 Chemsearch Boulevard Irving, TX 75062	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214			
5		Berkeley, CA 94710-2565			
6	For Danco:	For Espinosa:			
7	Michael J. Near	Attn: Proposition 65 Coordinator			
8	Danco Inc. 2727 Chemsearch, Boulevard	Brodsky & Smith, LLC 9595 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 900			
9	Irving, TX 75062	Beverly Hills, CA 90212			
10	With a copy to:				
11	Lee Norman Smith, Esq.				
12	Perkins, Mann & Everett 7815 North Palm Ave., Suite 200				
13	Fresno CA 93711				
14	15. EXECUTION IN COUNTERPARTS				
15					
16	This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by means of facsimile,				
17	which taken together shall be deemed to constitute one document.				
18	16. <u>COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS</u>				
19	Plaintiffs and their counsel agree to comply with the reporting form requirements				
20	referenced in California Health and Safety Code section 25249.7(f).				
21	17. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT				
	This Consent Judgment may only be modified by a written agreement of the Parties and				
22	the subsequent entry of an order by the Court app	proving such modification, or upon motion			
23	brought by Espinosa, Moore, or Defendants, as p	rovided by law, and the subsequent entry of a			
24	modified judgment by the Court thereon. The Pa	arties agree and understand that the Office of the			
25	Attorney General of the State of California shall	receive notice of any effort by any Party or the			
26	Parties to seek any modification of the terms of the	his Consent Judgment.			
27					
28		-12-			

CONSENT JUDGMENT FOR ENTRY IN CASE NOS. RG15780151 & RG15789612

# 18. **AUTHORIZATION** The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood, and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. [ Signatures of Parties Attached on Following Page(s) ] -13-

CONSENT JUDGMENT FOR ENTRY IN CASE NOS. RG15780151 & RG15789612

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
10 01	
JOHN MOORE	NCH CORPORATION
Dated: _9/2/2016	By: (Print Name) Its: (Title)  Dated:
GABRIEL ESPINOSA  Dated:	DANCO, INC.  By:(Print Name)  Its:(Title)
	Dated:
	-14- PR ENTRY IN CASE NOS. RG15780151 & RG15789612

AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:
	019
	Zoyle Lyde
JOHN MOORE	NCH CORPORATION
Dated:	By: (Print Name)
	Its: Assistant Secreto
	Dated:
	Ch Pr
CARRIEL EGRINOCA	DANCO, INC.
GABRIEL ESPINOSA	By: CRAIG CALL
Dated:	(Print Name)
Datou.	(Title)
	Dated: 9/7/16
	-14-

1	1 AGREED TO: AGREED T	'O:
2	2	2
3		
4	4	ORPORATION
5	5 Dated:	(Print Name)
6	6 Its:	(Title)
7		(
8		
9	DANIO	O, INC.
10	A ANDREE ESTRICON	
11	Dated: 9/2/2016 Its:	(Print Name)
12	2 Dated: Its:	(Title)
13	a	
14		The state of the s
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	О	
21	.1	
22	2	
23	3	
24	4	
25	5	
26	6	
27	7	
28	8	
	-14- CONSENT JUDGMENT FOR ENTRY IN CASE NO	S DC15780151 & DC15780613