CAROL RENÉ BROPHY, BAR NO. 155767 1 NANCY J. GEENEN, BAR NO. 135968 2 FOLEY & LARDNER ATTORNEYS AT LAW ONE MARITIME PLAZA, SIXTH FLOOR 3 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3404 TELEPHONE: (415) 434-4484 FACSIMILE: (415) 434-4507 4 5 ATTORNEYS FOR SETTLING DEFENDANTS AUG 3 0 2000 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 10 11 MICHAEL DIPIRRO, AN INDIVIDUAL, CONSOLIDATED CASE NO. 306243 12 PLAINTIFF, 13 ٧. CONSENT JUDGMENT WESTERN-HOEGEE COMPANY AND 14 DOES 1 THROUGH 1000, 15 DEFENDANTS. 16 17 18 This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between Michael DiPirro, a California 19 citizen ("Plaintiff"), and AA Worms, Inc.; Andrews Sporting Goods, Inc. d/b/a Turner's 20 Outdoorsman; Angler Technologies, Inc.; Bass Menu; Bass Pro Outdoors Online, L.L.C.; 21 Bead Tackle, Inc.; Big 5 Corp. d/b/a Big 5 Sporting Goods; Big Rock Sports, Inc. and 22 subsidiaries All-Sports, L.L.C., Avis Sports, Inc., AWR Sports, Inc., Big Rock Sports 23 Marketing, Inc., CSI Sports, L.L.C., Henry's Tackle, L.L.C.; Blakemore Sales; Bob Sands 24 Fishing Tackle; Boone Bait Company, Inc.; BPS Catalog, L.P.; Braid Products, Inc.; 25 Brunswick Corporation and its subsidiaries & affiliates including but not limited to Zebco 26 Division & Zebco Corporation; Cabela's Inc. & its subsidiaries; CEMCO, Inc. d/b/a Top 27 Brass Tackle; Columbia Packaging & Marketing, L.L.C.; Comal Tackle Company, Inc.;

28

Dolphin Tackle; F.J. Neil Co., Inc.; Fishco Manufacturing Co., Inc.; Frank's Fisherman

Supply, Inc.; G & M Sales, Inc.; G. Pucci & Sons, Inc.; Gibbs/Nortac Industries Ltd.
Gibbs/Nortac Industries (U.S.) Ltd.; Gudebrod, Inc.; Harrison Hoge Industries, Inc.;
Hayward Fishing Supplies, Inc.; Hendrix Ranch d/b/a Hendrix Bait & Tackle; Hi's Tackle
Box; Hogan's Store, Inc.; ITC/Anglers Attic; James A. Pearce, Jr. d/b/a Lead Masters d/b/a
Strike Masters; Johnson Worldwide Associates; Just Fishin' L.L.C. d/b/a Jerry's Tournament
Tackle; Knight Manufacturing Company, Inc. d/b/a Crème Lure Company, Burke Flex-O
Products, and Burke Fishing; Lawson's Landing, Inc.; Longs Drug Stores California, Inc.;
Luhr Jensen & Sons, Inc.; Mann's Bait Company, Inc.; Mason Tackle Co.; Maurice Sporting
Goods Company; Mojo Lures; Oshman Sporting Goods Co., California; Outback Industries,
Inc.; Outdoor Innovations, L.L.C. d/b/a Horizon Lures, L.L.P. and Okiebug Design and
Manufacturing, L.L.C.; Owner American Corp.; Pace Products, Inc.; Raccolta Del Pacifico,
d/b/a Pacific Catch d/b/a/ Black Belt Offshore Fishing Equipment; Plano Molding Company;
Plastic Research and Development Corporation, d/b/a PRADCO; Point Wilson Company;
R.J. Tackle, Inc./Bagley International; Raley's & its subsidiaries: Bel Air Mart, Nob Hill
General Store, Inc., Warehouse Concepts d/b/a Food Source; Rapala Normark Corporation;
Rodstrainer Tackle Company; Safeway Inc. and the Vons Companies, Inc.; Sea Striker, Inc.;
Seven Strand Tackle Corporation; Sheldons' Inc./ Mister Twister L.L.C.; Snag Proof
Manufacturer, Inc.; Sport & Court, Inc. d/b/a Sav-on Tackle, Sav-on Wayne's Tackle,
Fishermen's Hardware; Sportsmans Specialty Group, Inc.; Sunbeam Corporation & The
Coleman Co., Inc.; Sweeney's Sports; Thrifty Payless, Inc., d/b/a Rite Aid, erroneously
identified as Rite Aid Corporation; Tri-City Sporting Goods, Inc.; Tristar Products, Inc.; True
North Trading Company, Inc. (parent companies/Buzz Bomb & Zzinger Lures); Umpqua
Acquisition Corp. c/o Columbia Naples Capital, L.L.C. d/b/a Umpqua Feather Merchants;
Uncle Josh Bait Company; Universal Telescopic, L.L.C.; Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.;
Western-Hoegee Co.; Woody Manufacturing Co. & Awesome Waters; Wright & McGill
Company d/b/a Eagle Claw Fishing Tackle; Yakima Bait Company; Zak Tackle Mfg., Inc.
("Settling Defendants") to resolve all claims raised in the above-captioned action. This
Consent Judgment shall be effective June 1, 2000, provided that it is approved by this Court

and entered as judgment in this case no later than August 1, 2000. If this Court fails to approve this Consent Judgment or to enter it as full and final judgment in this case, this consent judgment shall be null and void and not binding on any Party.

Plaintiff and Settling Defendants (collectively "the Parties") agree to the terms and conditions set forth below.

INTRODUCTION 1.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Michael DiPirro is an individual residing in San Francisco, California, who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.2 Settling Defendants are manufacturers, distributors or retailers of fishing tackle that contain lead, a substance known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects (or other reproductive harm). Settling Defendants have elected to settle this matter by entering into this Consent Judgment.
- 1.3 A list of the products which contain lead and that are covered by this Consent Judgment is provided in Exhibit A (the "Products").
- 1.4 Beginning on September 9, 1999, Michael DiPirro, on behalf of the general public, filed a Complaint against each Settling Defendant under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxics Enforcement Act of 1986 ("Proposition 65"), Cal. Health and Safety Code §§ 25249.5, et seq. and/or the Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq. Each Complaint alleges that a Settling Defendant has violated Proposition 65 and/or the Business and Professions Code by exposing individuals in California to lead, a Proposition 65-listed carcinogen and reproductive toxin, without first providing a clear and reasonable warning to such individuals. The First Amended Consolidated Complaint ("Amended Complaint") is attached as Exhibit B. Plaintiff seeks Damages, Injunctive Relief, Civil Penalties and Restitution in the Superior Court for the County of San Francisco ("Action"), arising from alleged violations of the aforementioned laws, by Settling Defendants.
- 1.5 On April 17, 2000, the cases against certain Settling Defendants were consolidated in the above-captioned matter.

- 1.6 Prior to filing the Amended Complaint, Plaintiff served the Office of the Attorney General, designated public enforcement agencies and certain Settling Defendants with Proposition 65 60-Day Notices of Violation ("Notices") pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), giving notice to Settling Defendants, the Attorney General of California and such public officials authorized to bring suit under Proposition 65 of the alleged violations referred to in paragraph 1.4 above. The Notices are attached as Exhibit C. Settling Defendants stipulate that the Notices are adequate to comply with Cal. Code Regs. tit. 22, § 12903.
- 1.7 Neither the Attorney General nor any other designated public prosecutor has commenced any action in response to the Notices. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, Plaintiff acts on behalf of the general public as to those matters described in the Amended Complaint and Notices.
- 1.8 For purposes of this Consent Judgment, the Parties stipulate that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the allegations contained in the Amended Complaint.

 Although some of the Settling Defendants deny that this Court has personal jurisdiction over them, Settling Defendants do not contest the exercise of personal jurisdiction by this Court or venue in San Francisco County solely and exclusively for the purposes of this Consent Judgment, or the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court to enter this Consent Judgment as a full and final resolution of all claims that were or could have been raised in the Amended Complaint based on the facts alleged therein.
- them and to avoid prolonged litigation. By execution of this Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants do not admit any violations of Proposition 65 or the Business and Professions Code, or any other law or standard applicable to warning or disclosure concerning the manufacture, distribution and/or sale of fishing tackle products that contain lead. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Settling Defendants of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law; nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Settling Defendants of law, or violation

of law. Settling Defendants specifically deny that they have committed any such violation or that any present warning program is not sufficient to comply with any duties under Proposition 65 that relate to the manufacture or distribution or sale of fishing tackle products that contain lead. Settling Defendants assert that their manufacture, distribution or sale of fishing tackle products that contain lead has posed and poses no health or safety risk to persons who handle or use such products; that there has been no violation by them of Proposition 65; that they have violated no other state or federal law (including the common law) or regulation relating to the manufacture, distribution or sale of such products; and that they have no obligation to provide warnings other than those already provided regarding the manufacture, distribution or sale of such products. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceeding. However, this paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of Settling Defendants under this Consent Judgment.

2. PROPOSITION 65 WARNINGS

- 2.1 Settling Defendants represent that they have already revised the health hazard warnings for their Products to be consistent with the language set forth in this section.

 Beginning on November 1, 2000, Settling Defendants that manufacture or distribute fishing tackle products agree that they will not knowingly and intentionally ship (or knowingly and intentionally cause to be shipped) any Products containing lead for sale in the State of California unless such Products comply with paragraphs 2.2-2.6 below.
- 2.2 Packaged Products. For all Products containing lead (except Bulk Products described in paragraph 2.3), such Products shall bear the following warning statement on the Product label:

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm";

or

///

FULLY & LANDNER	A, SIXTH FLOOR	ORNIA 94111-3404	. (415) 434-4484	FACSIMILE: (415) 434-4507
FULEY &	ONE MARITIM	SAN FRANCISCO	TELEPHONE	FACSIMILE

016.213344.5

"WARNING:	This product contains a chemical known to the	
	State of California to cause cancer and birth	
	defects and other reproductive harm";	

or

"WARNING: This product contains a chemical known to the

State of California to cause cancer and birth

defects and other reproductive harm. Do not

place your hands in your mouth after handling

the product. Do not place the product in your

mouth. Wash your hands after touching this

product."

or

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. Do not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. Do not place the product in your mouth. Wash your hands after touching this product."

The warning statement shall be prominent and displayed on the product label with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements, or designs as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual.

- 2.3 Unpackaged Bulk Products. If a Settling Defendant manufactures unpackaged Bulk Products that are intended to be sold without packaging (e.g., loose items, such as fishing weights, displayed for sale in a bin), such Settling Defendant shall comply with this paragraph by:
 - (1) Affixing "Instructions to Retailer" and a Proposition 65 Retail Warning
 Sign to each bulk package, so it will be seen by the retailer at the time

1	its employees open the container and place the Bulk Products in the
2	retail display bin at the point of resale; and
3	(2) Within 10 days of receiving an initial order for Bulk Products from a
4	California customer or a distributor selling to California customers,
5	sending by first class mail a "Proposition 65 Warning Letter," in a form
6	similar or identical to the model letter attached hereto as Exhibit F. The
7	letter shall be addressed to the purchasing agent or buyer for the
8	California customer, and inform the purchaser that the Bulk Products are
9	subject to Proposition 65, and that California retailers are responsible for
10	providing Proposition 65 warnings to their customers. The letter shall
11	be resent annually by first class mail.
12	2.4 The Proposition 65 Retail Warning Sign shall be printed on 65 pound stock in a
13	size and format attached hereto as Exhibit G. The warnings shall state:
14	"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known
15	to the State of California to cause cancer and
16	birth defects and other reproductive harm. Do
17	not place your hands in your mouth after
18	handling the product. Do not place the
19	product in your mouth. Wash your hands
20	after touching this product."
21	or
22	"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known
23	to the State of California to cause cancer and
24	birth defects and other reproductive harm."
25	2.5 The "Instructions to Retailer" shall inform the retailer to post the warning signs
26	in front of, or next to, unpackaged "Bulk" Products so that the warning is visible to the
27	consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected Products. An exemplar of the

"Instructions to Retailers" is attached hereto as Exhibit H.

2.6 The Proposition 65 Warning Letter, when sent directly to a retailer by a bulk lead manufacturer or distributor, shall contain as enclosures at least two (2) warning signs printed on 65 pound stock that comply with the requirements of paragraph 2.4 of this section. The Proposition 65 Warning Signs shall be displayed in front of, or next to, the Bulk Products so that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected Bulk Products. When sent to a distributor, the Proposition 65 Warning Letter shall be accompanied by at least twenty (20) warning signs as described above and shall indicate that additional signs are available upon request. The Proposition 65 Warning Signs shall state:

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth and other reproductive harm. Do not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. Do not place the product in your mouth. Wash your hands after touching this product."

or

"WARNING: This product contains lead, a chemical known
to the State of California to cause cancer and
birth defects and other reproductive harm."

The Proposition 65 Warning Letter, when sent to a distributor, shall include as enclosures twenty (20) copies of a Retailer Proposition 65 Notice to be forwarded by the distributor to the retailers, along with the warning signs. The Retailer Proposition 65 Notice shall inform the retailer to post the warning signs in front of, or next to, the Products so that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected Products. (Exhibit H)

- 2.7 Beginning on November 1, 2000, Settling Defendant distributors and retailers agree that they will not knowingly or intentionally offer Products for sale in California, unless:
 - (1) Packaged Products added to inventory are labeled pursuant to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

516.213344.5

paragraph 2.2 above or in accordance with a consent decree to which the Product's manufacturer is a party, or

- **(2)** Unpackaged Products (Bulk Products described in paragraph 2.3 above) are displayed at the point of sale adjacent to a Proposition 65 Warning Sign as described in paragraph 2.4, such that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected Products.
- 2.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment requires that warnings be given for Products sold for use outside the State of California.

3. **CIVIL PENALTIES**

Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of \$500,000 in two installments. The first payment of \$200,000 shall be paid on June 1, 2000. The second payment of \$300,000 shall be made on or before April 30, 2001. However, the second payment shall be waived if Settling Defendants take the two significant steps listed below which aim to reduce users' exposure to lead from fishing tackle by March 1, 2001. For purposes of this paragraph, Settling Defendants agree to implement a written Lead Reduction Policy to reduce lead exposure in California from fishing tackle. The Lead Reduction Policy is attached as Exhibit I. The Lead Reduction Policy identifies efforts that Settling Defendants will undertake and includes the following activities:

- (1) Settling Defendant manufacturers will eliminate "bare" (uncoated) lead split/shot and other bare lead terminal tackle in fishing kits marketed in California to persons who are sixteen years of age and under; and
- **(2)** Settling Defendant distributors and retailers will eliminate the sale in California of unpackaged, bulk bare lead split/shot, sinkers and weights under four ounces.

A written report certifying Settling Defendants' lead exposure and reformulation efforts including a copy of the Lead Reduction Policy and a detailed description of its implementation of the two commitments provided above must be provided to Plaintiff by April 1, 2001. A

single report shall be submitted on behalf of all Settling Defendants, but shall be signed by an officer of each company.

Plaintiff shall waive the second payment if by March 1, 2001, Settling Defendants: (1) remove 100% bare lead fishing tackle from 100% of the fishing kits manufactured and distributed by Settling Defendants' that are marketed in California to persons who are sixteen years of age or under; and (2) reduce the amount of unpackaged bulk bare lead split/shot, sinkers, and weights under four ounces that are sold in California from January 1, 2000 levels by 100% (by packaging them and placing appropriate warnings on each package). If Settling Defendants are unable to meet the above target, Plaintiff shall entertain, but not necessarily accept, other lead reduction efforts by Settling Defendants in lieu thereof. Settling Defendants shall be deemed to have complied with the lead reduction or reformulation provision if Plaintiff fails to send a certified letter to counsel for Settling Defendants to trigger the second payment in this matter by November 1, 2001.

If any Settling Defendant manufacturer fails to remove lead in fishing kits marketed in California to persons of sixteen years of age and under, or if any Settling Defendant distributor or retailer sells unpackaged bare lead weights under 4 oz. in California within six (6) months after the March 1, 2001 deadline (i.e. through September 1, 2001), it shall be subject to payment of a \$75,000 liquidated penalty. Before the \$75,000 liquidated penalty is triggered, Plaintiff must provide written notice to counsel for Settling Defendants identified in paragraph 17 in order to allow the Settling Defendant an opportunity to cure the alleged violation. If the violation is not cured within sixty (60) days from the date of the notice, then the liquidated penalty provision shall be triggered.

The payment or payments are to be made payable to "Chanler Law Group In Trust For Michael DiPirro." Penalty monies shall be apportioned by Plaintiff in accordance with Health & Safety Code § 25192, with 75% of these funds remitted to the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control.

Ш

7 & LAKONER PRESPATUM 74, SATH FLOOR FORMS #111:340 Dr. (415) 434-484

4. REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS.

The parties acknowledge that Plaintiff offered to resolve the dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed, thereby leaving this open issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been reached, and the matter settled. The Settling Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue concurrently with other settlement terms, so the parties tried to reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at C.C.P. § 1021.5.

On or before June 1, 2000, the Settling Defendants shall pay the sum of Three Hundred Ninety Thousand Dollars (\$390,000) to Plaintiff as reimbursement for Plaintiff's attorneys' fees and costs incurred to investigate and prosecute this matter, and to negotiate this Consent Judgment. Such payment shall be made by certified check, made payable to "Chanler Law Group," and received by June 1, 2000. This amount includes all fees and costs that may be incurred in the implementation of this Consent Judgment, and additional work to be performed by Plaintiff's attorneys until the entry of judgment. Except as specifically provided in this paragraph, each party shall bear its own costs and attorneys' fees.

5. MICHAEL DIPIRRO'S RELEASE OF SETTLING DEFENDANTS

Michael DiPirro, by this Consent Judgment, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, assigns and the citizens of the State of California, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action, and releases all claims, liabilities, obligations, losses, costs, expenses, fines and damages, against Settling Defendants (defined for purposes of paragraph 5 to include their parent, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, subdivisions, directors, officers, employees, agents or attorneys) and their distributors, retailers, customers, directors, officers, employees, affiliates, predecessors, successors and assigns, whether under Proposition 65 or the Business & Profession Code § 17200 et seq. based on Settling Defendants' failure to warn about exposure to lead contained in any of the Products.

///

016.213344.5

6. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' RELEASE OF MICHAEL DIPIRRO.

Settling Defendants, by this Consent Judgment, waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Michael DiPirro and his attorneys or representatives, for all actions or statements made by Michael DiPirro and his attorneys or representatives, up to the date of this Consent Judgment in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 or Business & Profession Code § 17200 against Defendants.

7. WAIVER OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE, SECTION 1542

Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and assigns, and *not* in his representative capacity on behalf of citizens of the State of California, and Settling Defendants hereby waive the provision of the California Civil Code, Section 1542, which provides as follows: "A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him, must have materially affected his settlement with the debtor."

8. CLAIMS COVERED

8.1 This Consent Judgment is a final and binding resolution between and among the Plaintiff and his agents and attorneys, acting on behalf of the general public, and Settling Defendants (defined for purposes of paragraph 8 to include their parent, subsidiaries, affiliates, divisions, subdivisions, directors, officers, employees, agents or attorneys), and their customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of business who may use, maintain, or sell fishing tackle products that contain lead that were manufactured, sold or distributed by a Settling Defendant, with respect to any and all Claims, as defined in paragraph 8.4, which Settling Defendants or Plaintiff each now have or may hereafter have against each other, or any of them, whether based on actions committed or omitted by any of Settling Defendants, or by any entity within their chain of distribution, including, but not limited to, retail sellers, wholesalers, and any other person in the course of business, with respect to fishing tackle products that contain lead, manufactured, sold or

016.213344.5

distributed by Settling Defendants. The Parties mutually release each other with respect to all such Claims.

- 8.2 Plaintiff further releases the Settling Defendants from any claim of alleged occupational or environmental exposure to lead from Products that contain lead.
- 8.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves any issue, now and in the past, concerning compliance by any Settling Defendant, its parent, subsidiaries, affiliates, predecessors, successors, divisions, subdivisions, directors, officers or employees, and its customers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers or any other person in the course of doing business who may use, maintain or sell fishing tackle products that contain lead, that were manufactured, sold, distributed, or labeled by Settling Defendants, with the requirements of Proposition 65 and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.
- 8.4 For purposes of paragraph 8.1 of this Consent Judgment, "Claims" shall mean any and all manner of action or actions, cause or causes of action, in law or in equity, administrative actions, petitions, suits, debts, liens, contracts, agreements, promises, liabilities, claims, demands, known or unknown, fixed or contingent, that have existed, or now exist, all to the extent based upon, arising out of or relating to the compliance of Settling Defendants with Proposition 65, or regulations promulgated thereunder, and Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq., with respect to the distribution or use of the Products.

9. ADDITIONAL PARTIES

This Consent Judgment is executed with the understanding that additional parties not Settling Defendants, and presently unknown to Plaintiff, have manufactured, distributed or sold lead fishing products for use in the State of California since January 1, 1988, and that those parties may be subject either to a separate suit, or may be added to this Action as defendants sued under the fictitious names of Does 1 - 1000.

9.1 Any person or entity that employs ten or more persons, or who reasonably believes that at some time since January 1, 1988 it has employed ten or more persons, and who manufactured or distributed for use in California since January 1, 1988 lead fishing products may resolve any liabilities that may have arisen under Proposition 65 and the

Business and Professions Code as a result of such distribution by "opting in" as a defendant in this case, and agreeing to settle claims against it under Proposition 65 and the Business and Professions Code by entering into this Consent Judgment. Any person who opts in shall be referred to as an "Opt-in Defendant." An Opt-in Defendant who enters into this Consent Judgment will be obligated to carry out the Proposition 65 Compliance Requirements set forth at paragraphs 2 and 3 above, and shall be considered a Settling Defendant for purposes of the second civil penalty payment and implementation of the Lead Reduction Plan. The deadline for compliance with paragraph 2 (Warnings) shall be May 1, 2001. The deadline for certifying compliance with the Lead Reduction Policy or payment of the stipulated additional penalty amount under paragraph 3 shall be September 1, 2001. To opt-in, the Opt-in Defendant shall submit its list of covered products for review and approval by Plaintiff prior to executing the Consent Judgment. Such Opt-in Defendant further shall pay the amount determined by the schedule set forth at paragraph 10 below. In consideration for these acts, said Opt-in Defendant shall be released from all claims against it pursuant to paragraphs 5 & 8. The procedure for opting in is set forth at paragraphs 9.1.1, and 9.1.2 below.

9.1.1 Any person not named as a Defendant in a Complaint at the time this Consent Judgment is entered may, not later than 120 days after this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court, execute the opt-in stipulation at Exhibit D to this Consent Judgment, thus stipulating that it:

- (a) sold lead fishing products for use in the State of California since

 January 1, 1988; and
- (b) agrees to accept service of a Notice of Intent to Sue under Cal. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), as implemented pursuant to Cal. Code Regs. tit. 22, § 12903 ("Notice"), and waive any rights to assert any defense based on inadequate notice, lack of compliance with the requirements of Cal. Code Regs., tit. 22 § 12903, or any other defense alleged under Cal. Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et. seq., or the regulations promulgated

.. (415) 434-484 E: (415) 434-4507 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

thereunder, and any right to extension of any service period pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1013; and agrees to accept service of the Summons and Complaint as a D defendant to be designated by Plaintiff, acting on behalf of the

(c) agrees to accept service of the Summons and Complaint as a Doe defendant to be designated by Plaintiff, acting on behalf of the general public and agrees to be bound by all terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

9.1.2 Thereafter, such Opt-in Defendant shall mail the original signed opt-in stipulation, which includes the information necessary to provide notice as required under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 22 § 12903(c)(4), and a check for \$350, representing the cost incurred by Plaintiff to prepare and mail the Notice. Plaintiff shall then, as soon as practicable, mail a Notice as required under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 22, § 12903, except that any rights to extension of the service period under C.C.P. § 1013 shall be waived. Upon receipt of further notice by Plaintiff that the 60-day notice period has elapsed and no action has been filed by a public prosecutor under Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(d), the Opt-in Defendant shall provide Plaintiff with a check for its share of the settlement payment determined under paragraph 9, plus a handling fee of \$465, which represents (among other things) the costs of a Doe designation and the filing of the stipulation, fully postage pre-paid, to Plaintiff, who shall file the opt-in stipulation with the court, pay the court filing fee, other charges (such as delivery expenses) and distribute the settlement payment as indicated in paragraph 10. Additionally, any party electing to opt in shall send a copy of the opt-in stipulation to counsel for the Settling Defendants, identified at paragraph 17. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 9.1.1., any person named as a defendant in any other civil action arising under Proposition 65 from alleged exposure to lead fishing products prior to the execution of this Consent Judgment may not opt in under this agreement.

9.2 <u>Distribution of Opt-In Defendant Settlement Payments</u>. The settlement payments collected from Opt-In Defendants will be distributed by the Plaintiff as follows:

35% shall be allocated to Civil Penalties pursuant to Cal. Health and Safety Code

§ 25249.7(b); 35% shall be paid to Plaintiff's counsel as reimbursement of attorneys' fees and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

016,213344.5

costs incurred to investigate and prosecute this matter, to negotiate this Consent Judgment, and manage the Opt-In provision; and 30% to the American Sportfishing Association ("ASA") Trust Fund to administer the Opt-in provision, to implement the Lead Reduction Policy, and to pursue uniform State and Federal regulations concerning hazard warnings applicable to lead fishing products.

10. SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS FOR OPT-IN DEFENDANTS

The settlement payments for Opt-In Defendants shall be determined by the schedules set forth in paragraphs 10.1 and 10.6 below.

- Any Opt-In Defendant whose gross sales of such Products in the State of 10.1 California did not exceed \$5,000 in calendar year 1999, must pay to Plaintiff the sum of \$10,000.
- Any Opt-In Defendant whose gross sales of Products in California in calendar year 1999 exceeded \$5,000 but did not exceed \$15,000, must pay to Plaintiff the sum of \$15,000.
- Any Opt-In Defendant whose gross sales of Products in California in calendar year 1999 exceeded \$15,000 but did not exceed \$30,000, must pay to Plaintiff the sum of \$20,000.
- Any Opt-In Defendant whose gross sales of such Products in California in calendar year 1999 exceeded \$30,000 but did not exceed \$75,000, must pay to Plaintiff the sum of \$30,000.
- Any Opt-In Defendant whose gross sales of such Products in California in 10.5 calendar year 1999 exceeded \$75,000 but did not exceed \$150,000, must pay to Plaintiff the sum of \$40,000.
- 10.6 Any Opt-In Defendant whose gross sales of such products in California in calendar year 1999 exceeded \$150,000 but did not exceed \$250,000, must pay to Plaintiff the sum of \$50,000.
- Any Opt-in Defendant whose gross sales of such products in California in calendar year 1999 exceeded \$250,000 must pay to Plaintiff the sum of \$60,000.

FULCT GLEAKUNEK ONE MARTINE '22, SIXTH FLOOR SAN FRANÇISC FORNIA 84111-340 TELEPH. (415) 434-484 FACSIMILE (415) 434-487

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

016.213344.5

11. USE OF DOCUMENTS AND INFORMATION

The Plaintiff shall not use confidential documents or information that Settling

Defendants have produced in the course of this action or in settlement discussions, except in
the course of monitoring compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment. The Parties
acknowledge that that there is a Protective Order filed with the Court in this matter and that its
terms shall continue to in force after entry of Judgment.

12. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to implement the Consent Judgment.

13. CHANGE OF LAW

In the event that there is a change of state or federal law which Settling Defendants contend warrants modification of the warnings given under this Consent Judgment ("Change of Law"), Settling Defendants shall provide Plaintiff with ninety (90) days prior written notice of their intent to revise or eliminate the warning provisions under this Consent Judgment and shall submit a Notification of Intent to Revise Warnings ("Notification") given under this Consent Judgment to Plaintiff along with an explanation of the bases of their claim and all supporting data. Within ninety (90) days of receipt of Settling Defendants' Notification, Plaintiff shall provide Settling Defendants with written notice of his intent to challenge Settling Defendants' position (in the event that he chooses to make such a challenge). If Plaintiff fails to provide Settling Defendants written notice of his intent to challenge the Notification within ninety (90) days of receipt, Plaintiff shall waive all rights to challenge Settling Defendants determination that a change of law has occurred that warrants modification of the Consent Judgment as set forth in the Notification. Settling Defendants shall be entitled to limit or eliminate the warning provisions required under this Consent Judgment with respect to those Product(s) to which the Change of Law applies, and may, at their election, Petition this Court to Modify this Consent Judgment in accordance with the Notification.

If Plaintiff timely notifies Settling Defendants of his intent to challenge the Notification, Plaintiff and Settling Defendants shall negotiate in good faith for a period not to exceed thirty (30) days to attempt to reach a settlement of this issue. If a settlement is not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

For purposes of this paragraph, Change of Law shall be broadly construed to include analytical, risk assessment or other data that shows an exposure to any or all Products poses "no significant risk" or will have "no observable effect," as each such standard is applicable and as each is defined under Health & Safety Code § 25249.10(c).

14. SEVERABILITY

In the event that any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

15. ATTORNEYS' FEES

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

16. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.

17. NOTICES

All correspondence to Michael DiPirro shall be mailed to:

Hudson Bair, Esq. Kapsack & Bair, L.L.P. 1440 Broadway, Suite 610 Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 645-0027

or

///

| ///

28 | ///

2	2
3	3
4	ļ
5	5
6	ó
7	,
8	}
9)
10)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	l
24	l
25	
26	١
27	

5.213344.5

1

Clifford A Chanler Chanler Law Group Magnolia Lane (off Huckleberry Hill) New Canaan, CT 06840-3801 (203) 966-9911

All correspondence to Settling Defendants or ASA shall be mailed to:

Carol René Brophy Foley & Lardner One Maritime Plaza – Sixth Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3404 (415) 984-9840

18. COMPLIANCE WITH REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Parties affirm that a copy of this Consent Judgment was submitted to the California Attorney General's Office, in fulfillment of Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(f). (See Exhibit E.)

19. COUNTERPARTS AND FACSIMILE

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

20. AUTHORIZATION

Each undersigned signatory to this Consent Judgment certifies that he or she has read, understood and agreed to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment. Each signatory further certifies that he or she is fully authorized by the party he or she represents to stipulate to this Consent Judgment and to enter into and execute the Consent Judgment on behalf of the party represented and to legally bind that party.

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 0/1/00 Mulus Doff-
4	Michael DiPirro PLAINTIFF
5	
6	
7 8	
9	·
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

116.213344.5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6-28.00 Tony Paino
4	DATE: (0-)8.00 Tony Paiño
5	Tony Pairio General Manager AA Worms, Inc.
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-

14:11 00-82-90

PROSPECT & LAKKIEK

Q1B-213344.5

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6/8/00 Shirley Andrews
4	Shirley Andrews
5	President Andrews Sporting Goods, Inc. d/b/a/
6	Turner's Outdoorsman DEFENDANT
7	DEFENDAN
8	
9	
10	
11	·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: July 20 200 Jarren T. Wood Larren T. Wood
4	Larren T. Wood President
5	Angler Technologies, Inc.
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10	·
11	
12	
13 14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	•

1	AGREED TO:
2	AGKEDO 10.
3	DATE: 6/8/00 Monte & Minuag?
4	DATE: 6/8/00 Ilmio 5/lunuay (' Monte Minugh
5	Bass Menu
6	DEFENDANT
7	BASS MENU PRO-TACKLE DISTRIBUTORS P.O. BOX 71016 SHASTA LAKE, CA 96079 (800) 224-8127
8	P.O. BOX 71016 SHASTA LAKE, CA 96079 (800) 224-8127
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
20	
_	

AGREED TO:	
	~ \
DATE: 5/31/00	Susie Henry
	Bass Pro Outdoors Online, L.L.C.
	By its sole member Bass Pro, Inc DEFENDANT
•	,
	•

	`
1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 5/31/00 Dank Reichun
4	Dana R. Pickup
5	President Bead Tackle Inc.
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	
28	-
016.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

-: TO; CI OL BROPHY RE 054300-0101

1	AGREED TO:
2	\sim \sim \sim
3	DATE: 5/31/00 / De Alusy fra.
4	Dana R. Pickup
5	President Bead Tackle Inc.
6	DEFENDANT
7	•
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	JUNO 9 2000
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
20	
,	CONSENT JUDGMENT
	OS-00-00 10:13 From-FOLEY & LARDNER 418444501 19:13 From-FOLEY & LARDNER

015.213344.5

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: May 31, 2000 Say S. Meade
4	dary 5. 1919apc
5	Vice President Big 5 Corp. d/b/a Big 5 Sporting Goods
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	·
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	-

	1
1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	David Vort
4	DATE: June 9, 2000 Daniel J. Reitz
5	CFO Big Rock Sports, Inc. and subsidiaries All
6	Sports 1 1 C · Avis Sports, Inc.; AWR
7	Sports, Inc.; Big Rock Sports Marketing, Inc.; CSI Sports, L.L.C.; Henry's Tackle, L.L.C.
8	DEFENDANT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
.	

016.213344.5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 7-11-00 Soe 9 Half
4	DATE: Joe P Hall Blakemore Sales
5	Blakemore Sales DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
21 22 ·	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
)16.213344.5	CONSENT LIDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 5/19/2000 Salvatore Vallegie
4	
5	Bob Sands Fishing Tackle DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	·
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-
.	

FIGURES & LANDER

85:01 AA-81-08

016.214129.1

AGREED TO:

DATE: 7-21-2000

Peter F. Foley, III

President

Boone Bait Company, Inc.

DEFENDANT

PROMPER & LAKUNEK

29:11 BB-12-JA

AGREED TO:	
DATE: 5/31/00	Susie Henry BPS Catalog, L.P. By its general partner,
	BPS Catalog GP, Inc. DEFENDANT

CONSENT JUDGMENT

AGREED TO: DATE: Dustan E. McCoy Vice President and General Counsel Brunswick Corporation and its subsidiaries and Affiliates including but not limited to Zebco Division & Zebco Corporation **DEFENDANT**

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6-1200
4	David Roehr
5	Vice President Cabela's Inc. & its subsidiaries
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
16.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

COD_4 70/20 4 /AR-1

1057787517

From-FOLEY & LAPONER

EZ:81 00-80-90

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6-9-00 6/- 8/1
4	W. Eric Cosby
5	Secretary for the Corporation & National Sales Manager
6	CEMCO, Inc. d/b/a Top Brass Tackle DEFENDANT
7	DEPENDANT
8	,
9	
10	
11	,
12	
13	·
14	
15	
16	
17	C-P-OND
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

016.213344 5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1-888 P.02/02 F-864

1054464614

From-FOLEY & LANDNER

90-00-90 jg:52

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 7/10/2000 Aufart the tarelly
4	Michael H. L'avallee
5	Columbia Packaging & Marketing, L.L.C. DEFENDANT
. 6	
7	
8	,
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	•
16.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

AGREED TO:

DATE: 1 June 2000

Victorial Haney

President

Comal Tackle Company. Inc.

DEFENDANT

)18.21**3344**.5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1007 B 12704_MAIJ 18:31 AR_80_6

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 7/24/00 Michaelle. Ford
4	Michael R. Ford Dolphin Tackle
5	DEFENDANT
6	
8	
9	,
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	·
28	-

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	11/1/1
3	DATE: 6/9/00 Neil June PAG.
4	Neil J/Miritello F.J. Neil Co., Inc. DEFENDANT
5	DEFENDANT
6	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

016.213344.5

- ERS L'AC'AT Lane

LOSSPENSIN

From-FOLEY & LARDNER

\$6:81 00-80-80

1	AGREED TO:
2	
, 3	DATE: 7-6-00 Little (6)
4	DATE:
5	Gilbert Chapman Fishco Manufacturing Co., Inc. DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	·
16	·
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
B.213 344 .5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
	AGREED TO:
2	DATE: 7-3-00 (Snall Brown)
3	DATE: 7-3-00 (Snall Brown
4	Ronald Brown Frank's Fisherman Supply, Inc.
5	DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	·
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	i !
17	
18	: }
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT
2.00-1.0	CONSERT TODGMENT

4154344507 T-259 P.02/03 F-272

01-03-00 14:23 From-FOLEY & LARONER

1	AGREED TO:	
2) Va 0 74
3	DATE: 6-30-00 Tem	2 19150V
4	Perry(K	erson
5	II G&M	Sales, Inc.
6	DEFEN	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	·	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-	
016,213344.5	CONSENT JUDGME	WT
18had	11	07-20-00 11:40 From-FOLEY & LAKOWER

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 06-09-00
4	DATE: 06-09-00 John Pucci Giamaicio Pucc. EEO
5	G. Pucci & Sons, Inc.
6	DEFENDANT
7	•
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
- 1	

AGREED TO:

DATE: June 1/2000



Sydney T. Pallister

President

Gibbs/Nortac Industries Ltd. Gibbs/Nortac

Industries (U.S.) Ltd.

DEFENDANT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: <u>S/30/00</u> Edward F. John
4	Edward F. John // President, CEO
5	Gudebrod, Inc.
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	,
9	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6 12 2000 Cail C. Lage II
4	Cecil C. Hoge, Jr. Harrison Hoge Industries, Inc.
5	DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	·
9	
10	
11 12	
13	·
14	
15	:
16	
17	
18	·
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CONSENT JUDGMENT

5,213344.3

1	
2	AGREED TO:
3	
4	DATE: 6/26/2000 Antonio Figueiredo
5	President /
6	Hayward Fishing Supplies. Inc. DEFENDANT
7	DEPENDANT
8	,
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	,
26	•
27	
28	

5.213344 5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

PROM-FOLEY & LAKUNEK

14:41 00-92-90

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	
4	DATE: Jane 9, 2000 Wayne Hendrix
5	Hendrix Ranch d/b/a Hendrix Bait & Tackle
6	DEFENDANT
7	•
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24 25	
26	
27	CAXED
28	

016 213344 5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

Į.	
1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: (0/30/2,000 Jonal hi
4	
5	His Tackle Box DEFENDANT
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	,
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	-
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-
1,3344.5	CONSENT DIDGMENT THANKING ES:01 00-00-90
11 1-230	1 CONTROL STATE OF THE STATE OF

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 7/24/00 cm Care
4	DATE: 7/24/00 Im Carey
5	Timothy Carey Hogan's Store, Inc. DEFENDANT
6	DEFENDANT .
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

... 78/78'J ECD...

1000000010

From-FOLEY & LARONER

27:61 00-72-10

015.213344.5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6/1/00 ome 0/ laves /4
4	James A. Pedice, Jr.
5	James A. Pearce, Jr. d/b/2/ Lead Masters d/b/a/ Strike Masters
6	DEFENDANT
7	,
8	
9	
10	
11	FARED: 6/9/00 9:49 AM ATTA DEBOKAH FRITT
12	ATTA DESCENT
13	
14	concert subsaces do 10 w/c
15	, C 92
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	· ·
26	
27	
28	
	·

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1054564517

010-1 70/70'A NOR-1

67:91 00-80-90

From-FOLEY & LARDNER

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 7/21/00 (25)
4	Deriglas J. Smith
5	Just Fishin' L.L.C. d/b/a Jerry's Tournament Tackle
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	· ·
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
n6.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	DATE: June 5,00 level W Brown CFO - Berkley, Inc.
3	DATE: June 5,00 Level W Brown CFO - Berkley, I'm.
4	DATE: CFO - Berkley II-c. Johnson Worldwide Associates, Inc.
5	DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CONCENT IT IT CHENT

1	AGREED TO:	
2		. 4
3	DATE: 6-29-00	Wayne Kent Wayne Kent Knight Manufacturing Company, Inc. d/b/a
4	DATE:	Wayne Kent
5		Knight Manufacturing Company, Inc. d/b/a Créme Lure Company, Burke Flex-O
6		Products, and Burke Fishing
7		DEFENDANT
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		•
16		
17		
18	,	
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
5,		PANCENT HIMAMENT

018.213344 5/ 214129 1/red

1	
1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: June 09, 2000 Portula Varleign
4	DATE: JUNE 09, 2000 [all holds.] Carl W. Vogler. Sr.]
5	Carl W. Vogler, Sr. Lawson's Landing, Inc. DEFENDANT
6	DEPENDAN I
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2627	
28	
20	
۶ <u> </u>	CONSENT JUDGMENT

016.213344.5

.

ŗ.

46.213344.5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	100 A
3	DATE: 1/19/00 Might Euser
4	DATE: 7/14/00 Philip W. Jensen Luhr Jensen & Sons, Inc.
5	Luhr Jensen & Sons, Inc. DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-
344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6/5/00 St. Welawle-
4	F.J. Oelerich, Jr.
5	President Mann's Bait Company, Inc.
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	•
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CONSENT JUDGMENT

3344.5

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 1/10/00 (veled about)
4	DATE: Sichard Powell
5	President Mason Tackle Co.
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-
,	CONSENT JUDGMENT

,	AGREED TO:
1 2	AGREED TO:
3	$d \rightarrow d$
4	DATE: Andrew Katlin
5	General Counsel
6	Maurice Sporting Goods Company DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	,
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	· ·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
20	
16.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	AGREED TO.
3	
4	DATE: 7-7-00 Jany Cilovinich
5	Larry Glavinich Mojo Lures DEFENDANT
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
6.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:	\cap \cap
2		
3		Vandon Van I hoop
4	DATE:	Richard Randall
5		Vice President
6		Oshman Sporting Goods Co., California DEFENDANT
7		DEI ENDAMI
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONSEN	T JUDGMENT

Δ	CR	EED	TO
~	\mathbf{n}	œu	10.

Outdoor Innovations, L.L.C. d/b/a Horizon Lures, L.P. and Okiebug Design and

Manufacturing L.L.C. DEFENDANT

CONSENT JUDGMENT

016-213344.5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	
4	DATE: 6 12 00 John Kippen
5	Sr. Vice President of Sales and Marketing
6	Plano Molding Company DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	·
10	
11	·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
16.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	1 The side of the
4	DATE: 6/1/00 William H. Wilson
5	Vice President & General Manager
6	Plastic Research and Development Corporation d/b/a PRADCO
7	DEFENDANT
8	
9	• •
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
Б.213344.3	CONSENT JUDGMENT

AGREED TO:

DATE: 5 JULY 00

Neil D Acquisto President

Point Wilson Co. **DEFENDANT**

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	
4	DATE: 7-7-00 Michael Seaglione
5	Raccolta Del Pacifico d/b/a Pacific Catch d/b/a Black Belt Offshore Fishing Equipment
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	CONSENT JUDGMENT

16.213344.5

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	
4	DATE: 4/13/05 William J. Coyne
5	Secretary
6	Raley's on behalf of itself & its subsidiaries: Bel Air Mart Nob Hill General Store, Inc.
7	Warehouse Concepts d/b/a/ Food Source
8	DEFENDANT .
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	_

016.213344.3

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: June 17, 2000 Stan Dulle
4	Glen R. Weile R.J. Tackle, Inc./Bagley International
5	DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	•
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	•
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	·
25	
26	
27	
28	
16.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT
************************************	OG-08-00 18:29 From-FOLEY & LARDNER elacaceout for the contraction of

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6/1/00.
4	DATE: 6 1 00. Stephen C. Greer Chief Operating Officer – U.S.
5	Chief Operating Officer – U.S. Rapala Normark Corporation
6	Rapala Normark Corporation DEFENDANT
7	
8	,
9	•
10	
11	·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
21	•
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
6.213344.3	CONSENT JUDGMENT

	•
1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6-7-00 Silvero I Mendez
4	Silvero J. Mendez Rodstrainer Tackle Company
5	DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	•
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	`
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
16.213344.5	
10.213344.0	CONSENT JUDGMENT

_	
	A CREEK FO
1	AGREED TO:
2	1 .
3	DATE: August 8, 2000 Valerie D. Lewis
4	Valerie D. Lewis Senior Corporate Counsel
5	Safeway, Inc. & the Vons Companies, Inc.
. 6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	·
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
1	
.213344.5	COMPENT WITCHENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: July 5 2000 Troy of Henry Je
4	DATE: July 5, 2000 Troy D. Henry, Jr. Sea Striker, Inc.
5	Sea Striker, Inc. DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
20	
6.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

AGREED TO:

DATE: 6/24/00

William Buchanan

Seven Strand Tackle Corporation

DEFENDANT

1	AGREED TO:
2 3 4 5	DATE: 6-1-00 M.M. Sheldon Sheldons' Inc. DEFENDANT
6 7 8 9	•
10 11 12	
13 14 15	
16 17 18	
19 20 21 22	
23 24 25	
26 27 28	

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	20 /2 / / Xotherta Salamen
4	Robert A. Salamon
5	President Sport & Court, Inc. d/b/a Sav-On Tackle,
6	Sav-On, Wayne's Tackle. Fishermen's
7	Hardware DEFENDANT
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

81-01 86-81-06

1	AGREED TO:	•
2		
3	DATE: 5/31/00	Butstinker
4		Burt Steinburg
5		Sportsman's Specialty Group, Inc. DEFENDANT
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	-	

16.213344.3

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 7-11-00 Ham M Ellech
4	Harry M. Ehlers, Jr.
5	President Snag Proof Manufacturer, Inc.
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	•
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
22	·
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
16.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

AGREED TO:

DATE: 6/29/00

Kenneth R. Bell

Senior Vice President

Sunbeam Corporation & The Coleman

Company, Inc.
DEFENDANT

1	AGREED TO:
2	,
3	DATE: 7-7-00 Milel Dowener
·4	DATE: 7-7-00 Michael D. Sweeney Sweeney's Sports
5	Sweeney's Sports DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
I <u>6.2</u> 13344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

	A CONTROL TO
1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: July 21, 2800 Sauch Change
. 4	Samuel N. Harrosh President
5	Tri-City Sporting Goods, Inc. DEFENDANT
6	DEFENDANT
7	
8	
9	
10 11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	·
24	·
25	
26	
27	
28	
16.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 06/01/00 MA Marchandani
4	Keith Mirchandani Tristar Products, Inc.
5	DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	,
9	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-

16.213344.5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

ATTO US TROTTE

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: June 1, 2000 Bear Toma 52
4	Alun 1. Johns, Jr.
5	Uncle Josh Bait Company DEFENDANT
6	
7	
8	
9	· ·
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
20	-
)16.213344.3	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 4/8/00
4	DATE: 4/8/00 Angelo Pucci Universal Telescopic, L.L.C. DEFENDANT
5	DEPENDANT
. 6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT
	06-08-00 19:22 From-FOLEY & LARDNER 4154344507 T-815 P.06/13

415434507 T-815 P.06/13 F-844

1	AGREED TO:
2	AGREED TO:
3	
4	DATE:
5	Robert Kaplan Corporate Secretary
6	Umpqua Acquisition Corp. c/o Columbia Naples Capital, L.L.C. d/b/a Umpqua
7	Feather Merchants
8	DEFENDANT
9	,
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	,
25	
26	
27	
28	
16.219344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

AGREED TO: DATE: Robert K. Rhoads Senior Vice President, General Counsel, & Secretary Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. **DEFENDANT** 016.213344.5 CONSENT JUDGMENT

13:25 FAX 310 4" 0220 05/30/00

ELOWITT LAW

P.002

RX NO.1622

02:91 00/81/90

6.214129.1

75/70'4 BSZ-1

Prom-POLEY & LABONER

02-18-00 12:30

1	AGREED TO:	
2		
3		
4	DATE: 06/28/00 Ed Wolfe	_
5	Woody Manufacturing Co. & Awesome	
6	Waters DEFENDANT	
7		
8		
9	· ·	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		1
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25	·	
26		
27		
28		
	CONSENT JUDGMENT	1

16.213344.5

NO. 2302--- P. 2/2-JUN. 12. 2000 1 1:26PM **AGREED TO:** DATE: 6/12/00 Donn Schaible Chief Financial Officer Wright & McGill Co. d/b/a Eagle Claw Fishing Tackle DEFENDANT

016.213344.5

CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
. 3	DATE: 62-2-7,000 Will My Mont
4	DATE: 6-8-2000 William M. Masterson
5	President
6	Yakima Bait Company DEFENDANT
7	
. 8	
9	•
10	
11	
12	
13	•
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
. 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	_
I 6.213344.5	CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	AGREED TO:
2	
3	DATE: 6/19/00 Plantin 12 March
4	Roderick Marecle President
5	Zak Tackle Mfg. Co., Inc. DEFENDANT
6	DEI ENDAN I
7	
8	
9	
10	
12	•
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	
20	

08-18-00 08:82 Etom-EOTEX F PREMER

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
2	(1/00	DATE: June 1, 2000
3	DATE: 6/1/00	DATE: //www./, 2000
4	Hulin 73	(and Burky
5	Hudson T. Bair,	Carol René Brophy
6	Counsel to Michael DiPirro PLAINTIFF	Foley & Lardner Counsel to SETTLING DEFENDANTS
7		•
8		
9		
10		
. 11	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
12	Al Al	FREN G. CHIANTELLI Presiding Judge
13	DATE: AUG 2 9 2000	OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 2000.00000000000000000000000000000000		

Exhibit A

EXHIBIT A

List of Products Covered by this Consent Judgment

It is the intent of this Consent Judgment to cover all fishing tackle products and components that contain lead, and that the term "fishing tackle" shall be broadly construed to include all articles, components, devices, accouterments and other equipment that an individual may use to catch a fish contain lead, including metal alloys and other substrates that contain lead. For example, "fishing tackle" includes all fishing tackle products and components, and is not limited to the following:

Sinkers, weights, and anchors
Jigs, jig heads, and lead heads
Lures, lure heads and "leaded" core line
Hooks
Bobbers and floats
Buoys
Spinners and spinner blades
Spinnerbaits
Leaders, ganions and rigs

Fittings, closures, and decorations on fishing tackle (such as, tackle boxes, rods, reels, and waders)

Components: swivels, snaps, bells, rings

Accessories: handles, plates, bait threading needles, traps, nets, baskets, cages, gaffs, sinker

releases, rod holders, skinners, hook removers, bait boxes, swagger, line winders, wire, wire twisters, line protectors, and fisherman's tools.

Exhibit B

ENDORSED FILED San Francisco County Superior Court

Hudson Bair, State Bar No. 172593 1 AUG 1 1 2000 KAPSACK & BAIR, LLP 1440 Broadway, Suite 610 ALAN CARLSON, Clerk 2 Oakland, CA 94612 BY KEVIN R. DOUGHERTY Tel: (510) 645-0027 3 Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534 4 CHANLER LAW GROUP Magnolia Lane (off Huckleberry Hill) 5 New Canaan, CT 06840-3801 Tel: (203) 966-9911 6 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DIPIRRO 8 9 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 12 13 MICHAEL DIPIRRO, Case No. 306243 14 Plaintiff FIRST AMENDED CONSOLIDATED 15 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE ٧. RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES 16 WESTERN-HOEGEE COMPANY; BIG 5 SPORTING GOODS CORP.; COLEMAN 17 COMPANY. INC.; SUNBEAM Health & Safety Code §25249; CORPORATION; GUDEBROD, INC.: JWA-) Bus. & Prof. Code §17200; 18 FISHING; JWA MANKATO, INC.; JOHNSON WORLDWIDE ASSOCIATES. 19 INC.;; MISTER TWISTER L.L.C.; (other) THRIFTY PAYLESS, INC D/B/A RITE) 20 AID: WAL-MART STORES, INC:: BRUNSWICK CORPORATION AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES AND AFFILIATES INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO 22 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

23

```
ZEBCO DIVISION & ZEBCO
   1
        CORPORATION; AA WORMS, INC.;
        ANDREWS SPORTING GOODS, INC.
   2
        D/B/A TURNER'S OUTDOORSMAN:
       ANGLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.: BASS
   3
       MENU: BASS PRO OUTDOORS ONLINE.
       L.L.C.; BEAD TACKLE, INC.; BIG ROCK)
   4
       SPORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES: ALL.)
       SPORTS, L.L.C., AVIS SPORTS, INC.,
   5
       AWR SPORTS, INC., BIG ROCK SPORTS
       MARKETING, INC., CSI SPORTS, L.L.C., )
   6
       HENRY'S TACKLE, L.L.C.; BLAKEMORE)
       SALES; BOB SANDS FISHING TACKLE;
   7
       BOONE BAIT COMPANY, INC.; BPS
       CATALOG, L.P.; BRAID PRODUCTS,
  8
       INC.: CABELA'S INC. & ITS
       SUBSIDIARIES; CEMCO, INC. D/B/A TOP)
  9
       BRASS TACKLE; COLUMBIA
       PACKAGING & MARKETING, L.L.C.:
       COMAL TACKLE COMPANY, INC.;
       DOLPHIN TACKLE; F.J. NEIL CO., INC.: )
 11
      FISHCO MANUFACTURING CO., INC.:
      FRANK'S FISHERMAN SUPPLY, INC.:
 12
      G & M SALES, INC.; G. PUCCI & SONS.
      INC.; GIBBS/NORTAC INDUSTRIES LTD. )
 13
      GIBBS/NORTAC INDUSTRIES (U.S.)
      LTD.: HARRISON HOGE INDUSTRIES.
 14
      INC.: HAYWARD FISHING SUPPLIES.
      INC.; HENDRIX RANCH D/B/A HENDRIX)
 15
      BAIT & TACKLE; HI'S TACKLE BOX:
      HOGAN'S STORE, INC.; ITC/ANGLERS
16
      ATTIC; JAMES A. PEARCE, JR. D/B/A
      LEAD MASTERS D/B/A STRIKE
17
      MASTERS; JUST FISHIN' L.L.C. D/B/A
      JERRY'S TOURNAMENT TACKLE:
18
      KNIGHT MANUFACTURING COMPANY.
     INC. D/B/A CRÈME LURE COMPANY.
19
     BURKE FLEX-O PRODUCTS, AND
     BURKE FISHING; LAWSON'S LANDING. )
20
     INC.: LONGS DRUG STORES
     CALIFORNIA, INC.; LUHR JENSEN &
     SONS, INC.; MANN'S BAIT COMPANY.
     INC.; MASON TACKLE CO.; MAURICE
22
```

```
SPORTING GOODS COMPANY; MOJO
   1
        LURES: OSHMAN SPORTING GOODS
        CO., CALIFORNIA; OUTBACK
   2
       INDUSTRIES, INC.; OUTDOOR
       INNOVATIONS, L.L.C. D/B/A HORIZON
   3
       LURES, L.L.P. AND OKIEBUG DESIGN
       AND MANUFACTURING, L.L.C.;
   4
       OWNER AMERICAN CORP.: PACE
       PRODUCTS, INC.; RACCOLTA DEL
   5
       PACIFICO, D/B/A PACIFIC CATCH
       D/B/A/ BLACK BELT OFFSHORE
   6
       FISHING EQUIPMENT; PLANO
       MOLDING COMPANY: PLASTIC
   7
       RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT
       CORPORATION, D/B/A PRADCO; POINT
  8
       WILSON COMPANY; R.J. TACKLE,
       INC./BAGLEY INTERNATIONAL:
  9
       RALEY'S & ITS SUBSIDIARIES: BEL AIR)
      MART, NOB HILL GENERAL STORE,
      INC., WAREHOUSE CONCEPTS D/B/A
      FOOD SOURCE; RAPALA NORMARK
 11
      CORPORATION; RODSTRAINER TACKLE)
      COMPANY; SAFEWAY, INC. & THE
 12
      VONS COMPANIES, INC.; SEA STRIKER. )
      INC.; SEVEN STRAND TACKLE
 13
      CORPORATION; SHELDONS' INC.; SNAG)
      PROOF MANUFACTURING, INC.;
 14
      SPORT & COURT, INC. D/B/A SAV-ON
      TACKLE, SAV-ON WAYNE'S TACKLE,
 15
      FISHERMEN'S HARDWARE:
      SPORTSMAN'S SPECIALTY GROUP.
16
      INC.; SWEENEY'S SPORTS; TRI-CITY
      SPORTING GOODS, INC.: TRISTAR
17
      PRODUCTS, INC.; TRUE NORTH
      TRADING COMPANY, INC. (PARENT
18
      COMPANIES/BUZZ BOMB & ZZINGER
     LURES); UMPQUA ACQUISITION CORP.
19
     C/O COLUMBIA NAPLES CAPITAL.
     L.L.C. D/B/A UMPQUA FEATHER
20
     MERCHANTS; UNCLE JOSH BAIT
     COMPANY; UNIVERSAL TELESCOPIC.
     L.L.C.; WOODY MANUFACTURING CO. )
     & AWESOME WATERS COMPANY:
22
```

WRIGHT & MCGILL COMPANY D/B/A 1 EAGLE CLAW FISHING TACKLE; YAKIMA BAIT COMPANY; ZAK TACKLE) 2 MFG., INC. and DOES 1 through 1000, 3 Defendants. 4 5 6 MICHAEL DiPIRRO, by and through his counsel, hereby alleges: 7 INTRODUCTION 8 1. This complaint seeks to remedy defendants' continuing failure to 9 warn thousands of individuals in California of their exposure to chemicals known to the חר State of California to cause either cancer or birth defects (or other reproductive harm), or 11 both. Such exposure has occurred, and continues to occur, through the use of defendants' 12 fishing tackle products that contain lead, which shall be broadly construed to include all 13 articles, devices, accouterments and other equipment that an individual may use to catch a 14 fish that contain lead, including metal alloys and other substrates that contain lead 15 (hereinafter the "PRODUCTS"). 16 17 2. Under California's Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act 18 of 1986, Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. (also known as "Proposition 65"), a 19 business must provide individuals with a "clear and reasonable warning" before exposing 20 1 Unless specifically noted, all statutory citations refer to California law. 22 23 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

them to certain toxic chemicals designated by the State of California as known to cause cancer or birth defects (or other reproductive harm), unless the business responsible for the exposure can prove that it fits within a statutory exemption.

- 3. Primary defendants' failure to provide proper warnings with the sale of the PRODUCTS is a violation of Proposition 65 and constitutes an act of unfair competition which may be enjoined by the Court pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a) and Business & Professions Code §17203.
- 4. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to compel defendants to provide

 California users of the PRODUCTS with clear and reasonable warnings regarding the

 known carcinogenicity and reproductive toxicity of lead contained in the PRODUCTS.
- 5. Plaintiff also seeks civil penalties against primary defendants for their violations of Proposition 65, as provided for by Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), as well as restitution, as provided for by Health & Safety Code §25249.7. Additionally, plaintiff seeks restitution against all defendants for unfair competition pursuant to Business & Professions Code §17203.

3

5

4

6

7

8 9

٦0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff Michael DiPirro is a citizen of California residing in the City and County of San Francisco, who is dedicated to protecting the health of California citizens, including the elimination or reduction of toxic exposures.
- 7. Michael DiPirro is bringing this enforcement action in the public interest pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(d) and Business & Professions Code §17204.
- 8. Primary defendants WESTERN-HOEGEE COMPANY, BIG 5 SPORTING GOODS CORP., COLEMAN COMPANY, INC., SUNBEAM CORPORATION, GUDEBROD, INC., JWA-FISHING, JWA MANKATO, INC., JOHNSON WORLDWIDE ASSOCIATES, INC., MISTER TWISTER, L.L.C., THRIFTY PAYLESS INC. D/B/A RITE AID, WAL-MART STORES, INC., ZEBCO CORP., BRUNSWICK CORP. (hereinafter "PRIMARY DEFENDANTS"), are persons within the meaning of Business & Professions Code §17201 and are persons doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11.
- 9. Related defendants subject to Proposition 65 regulation (hereinafter "RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS") ANDREWS SPORTING GOODS, INC. D/B/A TURNER'S OUTDOORSMAN; ANGLER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; BASS PRO OUTDOORS ONLINE, L.L.C.; BIG ROCK SPORTS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES ALL-SPORTS, L.L.C., AVIS SPORTS, INC., AWR SPORTS, INC., BIG ROCK SPORTS MARKETING, INC., CSI SPORTS, L.L.C., HENRY'S TACKLE, L.L.C.; BLAKEMORE SALES; BPS CATALOG, L.P.: CABELA'S INC. & ITS

22

23

24

SUBSIDIARIES; CEMCO, INC. D/B/A TOP BRASS TACKLE; COMAL TACKLE COMPANY, INC.; FISHCO MANUFACTURING CO., INC.; GIBBS/NORTAC INDUSTRIES LTD. GIBBS/NORTAC INDUSTRIES (U.S.) LTD.: HARRISON HOGE INDUSTRIES, INC.; HENDRIX RANCH D/B/A HENDRIX BAIT & TACKLE; HOGAN'S STORE, INC.; JAMES A. PEARCE, JR. D/B/A LEAD MASTERS D/B/A STRIKE MASTERS; KNIGHT MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC. D/B/A CRÈME LURE COMPANY, BURKE FLEX-O PRODUCTS, AND BURKE FISHING: LAWSON'S LANDING, INC.; LONGS DRUG STORES CALIFORNIA, INC.; LUHR JENSEN & SONS, INC.; MANN'S BAIT COMPANY, INC.; MASON TACKLE CO.; MAURICE SPORTING GOODS COMPANY: MOJO LURES: OSHMAN SPORTING GOODS CO., CALIFORNIA; OUTDOOR INNOVATIONS, L.L.C. D/B/A HORIZON LURES, L.L.P. AND OKIEBUG DESIGN AND MANUFACTURING, L.L.C.; PLASTIC RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION, D/B/A PRADCO: POINT WILSON COMPANY; R.J. TACKLE, INC./BAGLEY INTERNATIONAL; RALEY'S & ITS SUBSIDIARIES: BEL AIR MART, NOB HILL GENERAL STORE, INC.. WAREHOUSE CONCEPTS D/B/A FOOD SOURCE: SAFEWAY INC.: SEA STRIKER, INC.; SEVEN STRAND TACKLE CORPORATION; SNAG PROOF MANUFACTURING, INC.; SPORT & COURT, INC. D/B/A SAV-ON TACKLE, SAV-ON WAYNE'S TACKLE, FISHERMEN'S HARDWARE; SPORTSMAN'S SPECIALTY GROUP, INC.; THE VONS COMPANIES, INC.; TRISTAR PRODUCTS, INC.; TRUE NORTH TRADING COMPANY, INC. (PARENT COMPANIES/BUZZ BOMB & ZZINGER LURES); UMPQUA ACQUISITION CORP. C/O COLUMBIA

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

-

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

NAPLES CAPITAL, L.L.C. D/B/A UMPQUA FEATHER MERCHANTS;

UNIVERSAL TELESCOPIC, L.L.C.; WRIGHT & MCGILL COMPANY D/B/A

EAGLE CLAW FISHING TACKLE; YAKIMA BAIT COMPANY; ZAK TACKLE

MFG., INC. (hereinafter "RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS"), are persons within the meaning of Business & Professions Code §17201 and are persons doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.11.

Related defendants not subject to Proposition 65 regulation 10. (hereinafter "RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS") AA WORMS, INC.; BASS MENU; BEAD TACKLE, INC.; BOB SANDS FISHING TACKLE; BOONE BAIT COMPANY, INC.; BRAID PRODUCTS, INC.; COLUMBIA PACKAGING & MARKETING, L.L.C.; DOLPHIN TACKLE; F.J. NEIL CO., INC.; FRANK'S FISHERMAN SUPPLY, INC.; G & M SALES, INC.; G. PUCCI & SONS, INC.; HAYWARD FISHING SUPPLIES, INC.; HI'S TACKLE BOX; ITC/ANGLERS ATTIC; JUST FISHIN' L.L.C. D/B/A JERRY'S TOURNAMENT TACKLE; OUTBACK INDUSTRIES, INC.; OWNER AMERICAN CORP.; PACE PRODUCTS, INC.; RACCOLTA DEL PACIFICO, D/B/A PACIFIC CATCH D/B/A/ BLACK BELT OFFSHORE FISHING EQUIPMENT; PLANO MOLDING COMPANY; RAPALA NORMARK CORPORATION; RODSTRAINER TACKLE COMPANY; SHELDONS' INC.; SWEENEY'S SPORTS; TRI-CITY SPORTING GOODS, INC.; UNCLE JOSH BAIT COMPANY; WOODY MANUFACTURING CO. & AWESOME WATERS; (hereinafter "RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS"), are persons doing business within the meaning of the Business and Professions Code §17201.

<u>.</u> .

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

11. All defendants manufacture and/or distribute and/or sell the PRODUCTS for sale or use in California.

12. The PRODUCTS manufactured, packaged, distributed and/or sold in California by PRIMARY DEFENDANTS RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS without the requisite warnings, are the subject of this lawsuit.

JURISDICTION

- pursuant to California Constitution Article VI, Section 10, which grants the Superior Court "original jurisdiction in all causes except those given by statute to other trial courts." The statutes under which this action is brought do not specify any other basis of jurisdiction.
- 14. The California Superior Court has jurisdiction over all defendants based on plaintiff's information and good faith belief that each defendant is a business which has sufficient minimum contacts in California; is a citizen of California; or which otherwise purposefully avails itself of the California market. Defendants' purposeful availment renders the exercise of jurisdiction by California courts consistent with traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.
- 15. Venue is proper in the San Francisco Superior Court because one or more of the violations arise in San Francisco County.

BACKGROUND FACTS

- and reasonable warning" before being exposed to chemicals listed by the State of California as carcinogens or reproductive toxins. Health & Safety Code §25249.6 states, in pertinent part: "No person in the course of doing business shall knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical known to the state to cause cancer or reproductive toxicity without first giving clear and reasonable warning to such individual.
- 17. Based on information and a good faith belief, plaintiff alleges that one or more of the PRODUCTS have been offered for sale to, or used by, individuals in California without clear and reasonable warning since June 22, 1995. The PRODUCTS continue to be offered for sale in California without the requisite warning.
- persons in the course of doing business within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 and §25249.11, individuals throughout the State of California have been exposed to the Proposition 65-listed chemicals without "clear and reasonable warnings." The individuals subject to exposure include normal and foreseeable users of the PRODUCTS, and all other persons exposed to the hazardous chemical at issue. This complaint seeks relief only to the extent it would be consistent with the June 6, 1997, decision of the U.S. Occupational Safety & Health Administration with respect to exposures occurring in settings regulated by the OSH Act as a result of PRODUCTS manufactured outside the State of California.

17 18

19

20

22

23

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

- Based on information and good faith belief, plaintiff alleges that, at 19. all times relevant to this action, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED DEFENDANTS knew and intended that the PRODUCTS contained and continue to contain lead, and knew and intended that individuals using these PRODUCTS would be exposed to lead.
- 20. Individuals using the PRODUCTS are exposed to lead in excess of the level determined to cause no significant risk and to cause no observable reproductive effect.
- 21. Beginning on June 22, 1999, "60-Day Notices" of Proposition 65 violations were provided to public enforcement agencies and to PRIMARY DEFENDANTS, RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS stating that exposures to lead were occurring in California from the use of the PRODUCTS, which give no prior "clear and reasonable warning" of the significant risk of cancer or the observable reproductive effect from such use.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of Proposition 65)

- 22. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if specifically set forth herein Paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive.
- 23. The People of the State of California have declared in Proposition 65 their right "[t]o be informed about exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm." Proposition 65, §1(b).

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

- 24. To effectuate the goal, Proposition 65 requires that persons who, in the course of business, knowingly and intentionally expose any individual to a chemical, deemed a carcinogen or a reproductive toxin by the State of California, must provide "clear and reasonable warning" prior to exposure.
- 25. Based on information and good faith belief, plaintiff alleges that, since June 22, 1995, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have engaged in conduct that violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6 et seq. This conduct includes placing into commerce PRODUCTS containing lead, without a "clear and reasonable warning," within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §\$25249.6 and 25249.11.
- 26. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as a chemical officially known to cause cancer. Lead and lead compounds became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65, beginning on October 1, 1993. 22 Code of Regulations §12000(b); Health and Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.
- 27. On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a chemical officially known to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Lead became subject to the warning requirement one year later and was therefore subject to the "clear and reasonable warning" requirements of Proposition 65, beginning on February 27, 1988. 22 Code of Regulations §12000(c); Health and Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.

- 28. At all times relevant to this action, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have knowingly and intentionally made or sold the PRODUCTS containing lead and lead compounds available for sale or use to California businesses, consumers and other individuals.
- 29. At all times relevant to this action, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have known and intended that the normal and foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS containing lead would expose individuals to a known carcinogen.
- 30. At all times relevant to this action, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have known and intended that the normal and foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS containing lead would expose individuals to a known reproductive toxicant.
- 31. Contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition of Proposition 65, enacted directly by California voters, individuals exposed to lead in the PRODUCTS have suffered and still suffer irreparable harm, without prior "clear and reasonable warning."
- 32. By committing the acts alleged above, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have, in the course of business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals to lead without first giving "clear and reasonable warning" to such individuals within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 33. The appropriate public enforcement agencies have failed to commence and diligently prosecute a cause of action under Health & Safety Code COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

§25249.6 et seq. against PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS based on the claims asserted herein.

- 34. By the above-described acts, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS are liable, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b), for a maximum civil penalty of \$2,500 per day for each violation.
- 35. Health & Safety Code §25249.7 specifically authorizes action for injunctive relief under Proposition 65.
- 36. Continuing commission by PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS of the acts alleged above will irreparably harm plaintiff and the citizens of the State of California, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.

Wherefore, plaintiff prays judgment against PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS as set forth hereafter.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Business & Professions Code §17200 for violations of Proposition 65)

- 37. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if specifically set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 36, inclusive.
- 38. Based on information and good faith belief, plaintiff alleges that, since June 22, 1995, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have engaged in conduct which violates Health & Safety Code §25249.6.

 et seq. This conduct includes placing into commerce PRODUCTS containing lead without

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

2. 22

23

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

"clear and reasonable warning" within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §§25249.6 and 25249.11.

- 39. At all times relevant to this action, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have knowingly and intentionally made available PRODUCTS containing lead for sale or use in California.
- 40. At all times relevant to this action, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have known and intended to expose individuals to lead through the normal and foreseeable uses of the PRODUCTS.
- 41. PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have failed to provide "clear and reasonable" warning to individuals, prior to their exposure to lead through the normal and foreseeable use of the PRODUCTS.
- 42. Individuals have suffered and continue to suffer irreparable harm due to exposure to lead from the PRODUCTS without prior "clear and reasonable" warning, contrary to the express policy and statutory prohibition enacted by direct vote of the People of California in Proposition 65.
- 43. By committing the acts alleged above, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have, in the course of doing business, knowingly and intentionally exposed individuals to lead, without prior "clear and reasonable" warning within the meaning of Health & Safety Code §25249.6.
- 44. By committing the acts alleged above, PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have engaged in an unlawful business

practice which constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq.

- 45. An action for injunctive relief and restitution under the Unfair Practices Act is specifically authorized by Business & Professions Code §§17203 and 17204.
- 46. Continuing commission by PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and
 RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS of the alleged acts will irreparably harm
 California citizens, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.

Wherefore, plaintiff prays judgment against PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS, as set forth hereafter.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Violation of Business & Professions Code §17200)

- 47. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference, as if specifically set forth herein, Paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive.
- 48. Based on information and good faith belief, plaintiff alleges that, since June 22, 1995, RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS published and distributed throughout the State of California, an advertisement that did not identify the hazardous substance contained in, or released by the normal and foreseeable use of, the PRODUCT.
- 49. The advertisement was specifically contained in the marketing materials for RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS' PRODUCTS. Additional advertising was specifically contained on the PRODUCT labels.

- 50. The purpose of this advertisement was to induce any person who can read it to purchase from RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS the merchandise described in the advertisement.
- 51. RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS' representations in the advertisement were known, or should have been known by the exercise of reasonable care, to be untrue or misleading, and were made to deceive any person who might read the advertisement.
- 52. Citizens believed and relied upon the representations made in the advertisement, i.e. RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS' PRODUCTS do not identify the toxins, contained therein, or produced by the normal and foreseeable use thereof. This made the PRODUCTS more attractive than competing products.
- 53. Citizens want to know the contents of the PRODUCTS that they may make an informed decision to avoid using a PRODUCT that contains a hazardous substance.
- 54. The RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS know and intend that users rely on such information. Such information is likely to mislead or deceive the purchasers or user into believing that there are no hazardous substances in the PRODUCT.
- 55. With the intent of having consumers rely on the statements made in the advertisement, defendants sold such PRODUCTS at various locations throughout California.

21

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND CIVIL PENALTIES

1.

Cause of Action only);

- 56. Individuals have suffered and continue to suffer irreparable harm due to buying the PRODUCTS which fail to provide an adequate warning or lack identification of the HAZARDOUS CHEMICAL on the PRODUCT label.
- *5*7. By committing the acts alleged above, RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS have engaged in an act of untruthful or misleading advertisement which constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of Business & Professions Code §17200 et seq.
- 58. An action for injunctive relief and restitution under the Unfair Practices Act is specifically authorized by Business & Professions Code §§17203 and 17204.
- 59. Continuing commission by RELATED SECONDARY DEFENDANTS of the alleged acts will irreparably harm California citizens, for which harm they have no plain, speedy or adequate remedy at law.

Wherefore, plaintiff prays judgment against the DEFENDANTS, as set forth hereafter.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

assess civil penalties against PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY

DEFENDANTS in the amount of \$2,500 per day for each violation alleged herein (First

Wherefore, plaintiff prays for judgment against defendants as follows:

That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(b)

- 2. That the Court, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7(a) and Business & Professions Code §§17203 and 17204, preliminarily and permanently enjoin PRIMARY DEFENDANTS and RELATED PRIMARY DEFENDANTS from offering the PRODUCTS for sale in California, without providing "clear and reasonable warning[s]," as plaintiff shall specify in further application to the Court;
- 3. That the Court, pursuant to Business & Professions Code §§17203 and 17204, preliminarily and permanently enjoin RELATED SECONDARY

 DEFENDANTS from offering the PRODUCTS for sale in California, without providing "clear and reasonable warning[s]," as plaintiff shall specify in further application to the Court:
- 4. That the Court grant appropriate restitution to individuals in the state of California for the PRODUCTS sold in California in violation of the warning requirements of Proposition 65 and/or the Business & Professions Code;
- 5. That the Court grant plaintiff its reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and
- 6. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: August 17, 2000

Respectfully Submitted,

KAPSACK & BAIR, LLP

Hudson Bair, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL DiPIRRO My name is Michael DiPirro. I am a citizen of California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in or produced by consumer and industrial products. This letter is provided to you pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.6 at seq ("Proposition 65"). As required, notice is also being provided to the violators which are listed on Exhibit B ("Violators"). The violations covered by this notice consist of the routes of exposures to the following toxic chemicals:

CHEMICAL(S)

ì

ROUTE(S) OF EXPOSURE

1. Lead (and lead compounds)

Ingestion, Dermal

A list of the specific types of products that are causing consumer and occupational exposures in violation of Proposition 65 (and are covered by this notice) is provided below as Exhibit A. The Violators' sales of these products have been occurring from May 23, 1996 to the present. As a result of the sale of these products, exposures to Proposition 65 chemicals have been occurring without adequate warnings. California consumers purchase the products at issue and are exposed to the listed chemical in the products. Similarly, men and women in California use the products as a part of their jobs and are, therefore, subject to occupational exposures to the listed chemical. In addition, these products are used by fishermen, fishing enthusiasts, sole proprietors and other persons in settings not covered by the OSH Act. Without proper warnings as to the toxic effects of exposures to the listed chemical in the products, California citizens lack the information necessary to make informed decisions whether to eliminate or reduce risk of exposure to the toxic chemical in the products.

Please direct all questions concerning this notice to my attorneys at the following addresses:

Hudson Bair, Esq. Kapsack & Bair, LLP 1440 Broadway, Suite 610 Oakland, CA 94612 (510) 645-0027 Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. Chanler Law Group Magnolia Lane (off Huckleberry Hill) New Canaen, CT 06840-3801 (203) 966-9911

For general information concerning the provisions of Proposition 65, please feel free to contact the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment's Proposition 65 Implementation Office at (916) 445-6900. For the Violators' reference, I have attached a copy of "Proposition 65: A Summary" which has been prepared by OEHHA.

EXHIBIT A

Product

Toxin

Fishing Tackle

Lead (and lead compounds)

EXHIBIT B

Shirley Andrews, President Andrews Sporting Goods, Inc. d/b/s Turner's Outdoorsman 12615 Colony Street Chino, CA 91770

Larren T. Wood, President Angler Technologies, Inc. 150 N. Gibson Road, Suite A Henderson, NV 89014

Susie Henry
Bass Pro Outdoors Online, LLC
c/o Green & Curtis
1340 East Woodhurst Drive
Springfield, MO 65804

Daniel J. Reitz, CEO
Big Rock Sports, Inc. and subsidieries
All Sports, LLC; Avis Sports, Inc.;
AWR Sports, Inc.; Big Rock Sports Marketing, Inc.;
CSI Sports, LLC; Henry's Tackle, LLC
173 Hankison Drive
Newport, NC 28570

Jos P. Hall Blakemore Sales P.O. Box 1149 Branson, MO 65615

Susie Henry BPS Catalog, L.P. c/o Green & Curtis 1340 East Woodhurst Drive Springfield, MO 65804

David Roehr, Vice President Cabela's Inc. & its subsidiaries One Cabela Drive Sidney, NE 69162

W. Eric Cosby
Secretary for the Corporation &
National Sales Manager
CEMCO, Inc. d/b/s Top Brass Tackle
P.O. Box 209
Starkville, MS 39760

Robert B. Roach Comal Tackle Company, inc P.O. Box 606 FM2770 1.2 Miles West of Buda Buda, TX 78610

Gilbert Chapman Fishco Manufacturing Co., Inc. 37925 N. 6th Street E., Unit # 111 Palmdale, CA 93550 Sydney T. Pallister, President Glbbs/Nortac Industries Ltd. Glbbs/Nortac Industries (U.S.) Ltd. 7455 Conway Avenue Bunaby, BC V5E2P7

Cecil C, Hoge, Jr. Harrison Hoge Industries, Inc. 19 North Columbia Street Port Jefferson Station, NY 11776

Wayne Hendrix Hendrix Ranch d/b/a Hendrix Balt & Tackle; 570 N. Downs Lane Fallon, NV 89406

Timothy Carey Hogan's Store, Inc. 1500 Contre Costa Blvd. Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

James A. Pearce, Jr.
James A. Pearce, Jr.
d/b/a Lead Masters
d/b/a Strike Masters
17229 Lemon Street, Unit B4
Hesperia, CA 92345

Wayne Kent
Knight Manufacturing Company, Inc.
d/b/a Crème Lure Company,
Burke Flex-O Products, and Burke Fishing
P.O. Box 6162
Tyler, TX 75711

Carl W. Vogler, Sr. Lawson's Landing, Inc. P.O. Box 57 Dilion Beach, CA 94929

Orio Jones Senior Vice President Longs Drug Stores California, Inc. 141 N. Civic Drive Walnut Creek, CA 94696-3858

Philip W. Jensen, President Luhr Jensen & Sons, Inc. 400 Portway Avenue P.O. box 297 Hood River, OR 97031

F.J. Oelerich, Jr., President Menn's Bait Company, Inc. 1111 State Docks Road Eufaula, AL 36027 HEN IV. 10 FRA

EXHIBIT B

Richard Powell, President Mason Tackle Co. P.O. Box 56 Otisville, MI 48463

Richard Randall, Vice President
Oshman Sporting Goods Co., California
2302 Maxwell Lane
Houston, TX 77023

William J. Stava III
Outdoor Innovations, L.L.C
d/b/a Horizon Lures, L.P and
Okiebug Design and Manufacturing, LLC
7146 S. Braden, Suite 700
Tulsa, OK 74136

William H. Wilson
Vice President & General Manager
Plastic Research and Development Corporation
d/b/a PRADCO
3601 Jenny Lind Road
P.O. Box 1587
Fort Smith, AR 72902

Glen R. Welle R.J. Tackle, Inc./Bagley International 5719 Corporation Circle, Unit 1 Ft. Meyers, FL 33905

William J. Coyne, Secretary
Raley's on behalf of itself & its subidiaries:
Bel Air Mart. Nob Hill General Store, Inc.,
Warehouse Concepts d/b/a Food Source

Troy D. Henry, Jr. Sea Striker, Inc. P.O. Box 469 Morehead City, NC 28557

Harry M. Ehlers, Jr., President Snag Proof Manufacturing, Inc. 11387 Deerfield Road Cincinnati, OH 45242

Robert A. Salamon, President Sport & Court, Inc. d/b/a Sav-On Tackle, Sav-On Wayne's Tackle, Fishermen's Hardware 9917 Orr & Day Road Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670

Burt Steinburg Sportsman's Specialty Group, Inc. C/o Green & Curtis 1340 East Woodhurst Drive Springfield, MO 65804 James J.Comitale, Esq. Thrifty Payless, Inc. d/b/a Rite Aid P.O. Box 3165 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Keith Mirchandani, President Tristar Products, Inc. 4 Century Drive Parsippany, NJ 07054

Douglas Field
True North trading Company, Inc.
(parent companies/ Buzz Bornb & Zzinger Lures)
2498 Cousins Ave
Courtenay, B.C. Canada
V9N7T5

Robert Kaplan, Corporate Secretary Umpqua Acquisition Corp. c/o Columbia Naples Capital, L.L.C. d/b/a Umpqua Feather Merchants P.O. Box 2525 Richmond, VA 23218-2525

Angelo Pucci Universal Telescopic, LLC 41 Park Lane P.O. Box 140 Brisbane, CA 94005

Donn Schaible, Chief Financial Officer Wright & McGill Co. d/b/a Eagle Claw Fishing Tackle 4245 East 46th Avenue Denver, CO 80216

William M. Masterson, President Yakima Balt Company P. O. Box 310 Granger, WA 98932-0310

Roderick Marecle, President Zak Tackle Mfg. Co., Inc. 10901 26th Avenue Tacoma, WA 98499

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjuny:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1440 Broadway, Suite 610, Oakland, CA 94612.

On May 23, 2000, I served the following document

60-DAY NOTICE OF VIOLATION SENT IN COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.7(d)

on the Violators' counsel by facsimile and U.S. Mail to the address below:

Carol Rene Brophy
Foley & Lardner
One Maritime Plaza, Sixth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Fax: (415) 434-4507

as well as providing copies of the notice to:

- 1. The Attorney General of the State of California;
- 2. The District Attorney for Each of the 58 counties in California; and
- 3. The City Attorney for Los Angeles, San Diego, San Jose, San Francisco and Sacramento;

I served these notices by placing a true and correct copy in a sealed envelope, first class: postage prepaid, addressed to each party and placing each envelope in a United States Postal Service mail box.

Executed on May 23, 2000, at Oakland, California.

ce van Ginke

Exhibit D

EXHIBIT D

OPT-IN STIPULATION

[Company Letterhead]

Clifford A. Chanler, Esq.
Chanler Law Group
Counsel for Michael DiPirro
Magnolia Lane (off Huckleberry Hill)
New Canaan, CT 06840-3801

Carol Rene Brophy, Esq.
Foley & Lardner
Counsel for Settling Defendants
One Maritime Plaza – Sixth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111

Re: Opt-In Stipulation: DiPirro v. Western Hoegee, et. al. Fishing Tackle Settlement

Dear Mr. Chanler and Ms. Brophy:

We are writing to inform you that [COMPANY NAME] has elected to become an Opt-In Defendant in DiPirro v. Western Hoegee, et. al., San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. 306243. In accordance with the terms of the paragraph 9.1.1 of the Consent Judgment filed in the case, [COMPANY NAME] hereby stipulates and affirms that it:

- (1) sold lead fishing products for use in the State of California since January 1, 1988; and
- (2) will accept service of a Notice of Intent to Sue under Cal. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d), as implemented pursuant to Cal. Code Regs. tit. 22, § 12903 ("Notice"), and waive any rights to assert any defense based on inadequate notice, lack of compliance with the requirements of Cal. Code Regs., tit. 22 § 12903, or any other defense alleged under Cal. Health and Safety Code § 25249.6 et. seq., or the regulations promulgated thereunder, and any right to extension of any service period pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 1013; and
- (3) will accept service of the Summons and Complaint as a Doe defendant to be designated by Plaintiff, acting on behalf of the general public; and
- (4) agrees to be bound by all terms and conditions of the Consent Judgment.

Enclosed a check for \$350 made payable to Chanler Law Group to cover the cost of preparing and mailing the Notice required by Cal. Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d). Please mail the notice to:

[Name and Title of Company Representative] [COMPANY] [Mailing Address]

If you have any questions concerning the above stipulation, please contact [NAME] at [Telephone Number] of contact.

Sincerely,

[Company Representative]
[Title]
[Company Name]

Exhibit E

FOLEY & LARDNER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

CHICAGO
DENVER
JACKSONVILLE
LOS ANGELES
MADISON
MILWAUKEE
DRLANDO

ONE MARITIME PLAZA, SIXTH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94 1 1 1-3404 TELEPHONE: (4 1 5) 434-4484 FACSIMILE: (4 1 5) 434-4507 SACRAMENTO SAN DIEGO SAN FRANCISCO TALLAHASSEE TAMPA WASHINGTON, D.C. WEST PALM BEACH

WRITER'S DIRECT LINE (415) 984-9840

EMAIL ADDRESS
Cbrophy@foleylaw.com

CLIENT/MATTER NUMBER 063396-0101

August 21, 2000

VIA MESSENGER

Edward G. Weil
Deputy Attorney General
State of California Department of Justice
1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor
Oakland, California 94612

Re: DiPirro v. Western-Hoegee, et al.: Notification of Settlement Pursuant to

Senate Bill 1269 (Alpert)

Dear Mr. Weil:

Enclosed is a copy of the executed Consent Judgment in *DiPirro v. Western-Hoegee*, et al. We trust that this fulfills the parties' obligation to comply with the requirements imposed under Senate Bill 1269.

If you have any questions or comments concerning the Consent Judgment, or wish to discuss this matter with us, please don't hesitate to contact me. We anticipate filing the Consent Judgment with the Court, on or about August 25, 2000.

Sincerely,

Carol Rene Brophy

Counsel for Settling Defendants

CRB/tcg Enclosure(s)

Cc: Clifford Chanler (w/o attachments)

Hudson Bair (w/o attachments)

Settling Defendants (w/o attachments)

Exhibit F

EXHIBIT F

[Company Letterhead]

Date:

To: Customers of [Company].

Re: California Proposition 65 Warnings

This letter is to advise you that the [Company], ("[Company]") products listed in Exhibit A to this letter contain a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. Pursuant to Proposition 65 (California Health & Safety Code Section 25249.5 et seq.), the purchasers and/or users of the products listed on Exhibit A must be given clear and reasonable warning that a particular product contains a carcinogen, and a reproductive toxin.

Pursuant to an agreement, the [Company] has already commenced packaging and labeling fishing products that are sold unpackaged or in "bulk" with the appropriate Proposition 65 warnings, the agreement specifically provides that any fishing product that weighs 4 ounces, or more, does not have to be packaged or labeled by the manufacturer [Company]. This provision places sole responsibility on you, the retailer, to provide warning signs in such a manner that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected products. Further, you may have in your possession or receive certain unpackaged "bulk" products that were manufactured, distributed and/or sold before the new labeling procedures were implemented. Until the older inventory has been sold, we are asking you to take the following actions to help ensure that purchasers and/or users of the listed products receive adequate Proposition 65 warnings:

- (1) For "bulk" products sold in any weight, place one of the Retail Warning Signs in front of, or next to, unpackaged "bulk" products so that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected products. Signs may be removed as properly packaged and labeled products arrive from the manufacturer or distributor.
- (2) For "bulk" products weighing 4.0 ounces or more, place one of the Retail Warning Signs in front of, or next to, unpackaged "bulk" products so that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected products. Signs subject to this provision may not be removed unless, or until, the products are packaged and labeled with a Proposition 65 warning.
- (3) Return the enclosed Notice of Acknowledgment form indicating that you have received the enclosed materials and will comply with the warning requirements of Proposition 65 for the listed products.

You should be aware that failure to provide a Proposition 65 warning for these products on the enclosed list may subject you to legal action by the California

can be sough fails to comp	t. If you have any	questions concerning ments of Proposition	Ities of up to \$2,500 per violation per day the potential legal liability of one who 65, you may wish to consult with
contact	at ()	oout this matter, please do not hesitate to _ by phone, or by mail at the address additional warning signs if requested.
listed above.	in addition, we wi	Sincerely,	additional waiting signs it requested.
		(Title)	

EXHIBIT G

WARNING! This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm. Do not place your hands in your mouth after handling the product. Do not place the product in your mouth. Wash your hands after touching this product.

OR

WARNING! This product contains lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

EXHIBIT H:

EXHIBIT H

[Company Letterhead]

Date:

To: Customers of [Company].

Re: California Proposition 65 Warnings

INSTRUCTIONS TO RETAILERS:

Enclosed you will find Proposition 65 Retail Warning Signs. You need to place these warning signs in front of, or next to, unpackaged "bulk" products so that the warning is visible to the consumer and will be understood to apply only to the affected products. Please place these warning signs in a conspicuous manner to ensure that your customers understand that the 'bulk" products contain lead and that exposure to lead causes cancer and birth defects and other reproductive harm.

Thank you for assistance with this important public health matter.

	_		
(Title)		 	

Notice of Acknowledgment

of [Co of war will co warning	rnia Proposition 65 ompany] products a ning signs to be af omply with the Pro- ng signs in front of	Notice Letter dated	of [Company], has received: (1) the, 2000, (b) the Exhibit A list sition 65 warning labels, and (3) a supply the undersigned further represents that it ments for these products by placing the alk products which do not have a visible
	[Name and Title]		·
	[Company]		<u> </u>
	[Address]		-
Please	send me	_ additional warning signs.	

EXHIBIT I

LEAD REDUCTION POLICY

By entering into the Consent Judgment, Settling Defendants adopt this Lead Reduction Policy. The purpose of the Lead Reduction Policy is to sets forth specific actions that Settling Defendants will take to reduce lead in fishing tackle, which shall be deemed sufficient to qualify for the deduction in civil penalty pursuant to paragraph 3 of the Consent Judgment. (By entering into the Consent Judgment, Opt-In Defendants also agreed to be bound by all terms of the Consent Judgment. The specific actions that each Opt-In Defendant must take shall be substantially the same as the terms in this Lead Reduction Policy, and shall be set forth expressly in an attachment to this exhibit at the time the Opt-In Defendants' executed Consent Judgment is adopted by the Court.)

Reduction of exposure to lead – general definition and understanding of the Parties. Settling Defendants shall be deemed to have reduced exposure to lead by taking any of the following actions to eliminate or reduce contact by consumers to "bare" lead: coating, painting, point of sale packaging, embedding, plating and/or using other metals or alloys containing lead (where the substituted material will result in a reduction of lead exposure by 20% or more from what the exposure would be if bare lead was used instead.)

Settling Defendant Distributors and Retailers will reduce the amount of unpackaged bulk bare lead split/shot, sinkers, and weights under four ounces that are sold in California from January 1, 2000 levels by 100% (by packaging them and placing appropriate warnings on each package.)

Settling Defendant manufacturers will eliminate "bare" (uncoated) lead split/shot and other bare lead terminal tackle in fishing kits marketed in California to persons who are sixteen years of age and under. For purposes of this agreement, the Parties agree that the following definition of terms shall apply:

"Bare" lead means that the product substrate is lead, or an alloy exceeding 96% lead, where the lead is exposed and may be touched by consumers.

"in California" includes all the Settling Defendant manufacturers' direct shipments to California addresses, and sales to distributors and multi-state chain retailers (whether shipment is to a California address or not) where Settling Defendant manufacturer knows or reasonably should know that the product may be sold to California Consumers.

"fishing kits" means a fishing kit that contains at least a rod, a reel, fishing line and terminal tackle. The fishing kits subject to the Lead Reduction Policy contain everything needed to catch a fish.

"marketed ... to persons who are sixteen years of age and under" means those fishing kits whose packaging, product name, and or design clearly indicates that the products are meant to be sold for use by children or teens sixteen years of age or under. For clarity, the Parties agree that the products listed below by Settling Defendant manufacturers are the

Identification of Settling Defendants Manufacturers that do not manufacture any fishing kits. The following Settling Defendants do not manufacture fishing kits (containing at minimum a rod, reel and terminal tackle) at this time and will not manufacture or market fishing kits that contain bare lead split-shot terminal tackle to youths sixteen and under in the future: Angler Technologies; Bass Pro Outdoors Online, L.L.C.; Bead Tackle Inc.; Blakemore Sales; BPS Catalog, L.P.; Braid Products; Cabela's Inc. & its subsidiaries; CEMCO; Columbia Packaging & Marketing; Comal Tackle Co.; Dolphin Tackle; Fishco Manufacturing Co.; G. Pucci & Sons; Gibbs/Nortac; Gudebrod; Harrison Hoge Industries; Hayward Fishing Supplies; James A Pearce Jr. d/b/a Lead Masters; Knight Manufacturing; Luhr Jensen & Sons, Inc.; Mann's Bait Company; Mason Tackle Company; Outback Industries, Inc.; Outdoor Innovations d/b/a Horizon Lures d/b/a Okiebug Design & Manufacturing; Owner America Corp.; Pace Products; Plano Molding Company; PRADCO; Point Wilson Company; R.J. Tackle/ Bagley International; Raccolta Del Pacifico d/b/a/ Pacific Catch; Rodstrainer Tackle; Sea Striker, Inc.; Seven Strand Tackle Corporation; Snag Proof Manufacturing, Inc.; Sportsman's Specialty Group, Inc.; True North Trading Company; Umpqua Acquisition Corp. c/o Columbia Naples Capital, L.L.C. d/b/a Umpqua Feather Merchants Uncle Josh Bait Company; Woody Manufacturing; Wright & McGill Co. d/b/a Eagle Claw Fishing Tackle; Yakima Bait Company; Zak Tackle Mfg.

products to which this provision applies.

"Settling Defendant manufacturers" includes Settling Defendants that manufacture, or fabricate fishing tackle products subject to this Lead Reduction Policy, and, any Settling Defendant that imports, distribute or sell products where its name or trademark appears on the label.

Identification of "Fishing Kits" subject to the Lead Reduction Policy. Settling Defendants manufacture the following fishing kits to youths sixteen and under, which will comply with the Lead Reduction policy by removing the bare lead split-shot pursuant to this Settlement Agreement:

F. J. Neil Co. Inc.:	Bamboo Pole	NNP-82
Zebco:	Spincast combo on flatboard Spinning combo on flatboard	1045T2A,08,FB6 1046T,,FB6
Coleman/Sunbeam:	Trout spinning kit Trout Spincast Kit Panfish Spinning Kit Panfish Spincast Kit Bass Spinning Kit Walleye Spinning Kit Spinning Kit Spinning Kit Spincast Kit Spinning Combo Spincast Combo Child's Combo	CSK-T CSCK-T CSK-P CSCK-P CSK-B CSK-W COSKIT COSCKIT COSCBO COCCBO CKCKIT
JWA:	Junior Pro Kit Trout spinning kit Trout Spincast Kit Panfish Spinning Kit Panfish Spincast Kit Bass Spinning Kit Walleye Spinning Kit Spinning Kit Spinning Kit Spinning Combo Spincast Combo Child's Combo	1224107 CSK-T CSCK-T CSCK-P CSCK-P CSK-B CSK-W COSKIT COSCKIT COSCBO COCCBO CKCKIT
AA Worms	1SB Kit	description 7 47907 22008
Hendrix Bait & Tackle	Spincast combo Spinning combo Nibbler Kit	1045(Zebco) 1506(Zebco) BCK-1(Purefishing)